arXiv:0911.3599v2 [math.AG] 13 Apr 2011

HIGHER DIRECT IMAGES OF THE STRUCTURE SHEAF IN POSITIVE CHARACTERISTIC

ANDRE CHATZISTAMATIOU AND KAY RÜLLING

Abstract. We prove vanishing of the higher direct images of the structure (and the canonical) sheaf for a proper birational morphism with source a smooth variety and target the quotient of a smooth variety by a finite group of order prime to the characteristic of the ground field. We also show that for smooth projective varieties the cohomology of the structure sheaf is a birational invariant. These results are well-known in characteristic zero.

Contents

Introduction 2
1. Chow groups with support 5
1.1. Cohomology theories with support 5
1.2. Chow groups with support as initial object 12
1.3. Correspondences 18
2. Hodge cohomology with support 22
2.1. Pullback 22
2.2. Push-forward in the derived category 24
2.3. Push-forward for Hodge cohomology with support 31
2.4. The Künneth morphism 37
2.5. Summary 40
3. Cycle class map to Hodge cohomology and applications 41
3.1. Cycle class 41
3.2. Main theorems 43
4. Generalization to tame quotients 50
4.1. The action of finite correspondences 50
4.2. Tame quotients 52
4.3. Main theorem for tame quotients 55
4.4. Open questions 59
Appendix A. 59
A.1. Local Cohomology 60
A.2. The trace for a regular closed embedding 61
A.3. The trace for a finite and surjective morphism 66
References 68

This work has been supported by the SFB/TR 45 “Periods, moduli spaces and arithmetic of algebraic varieties”.

Introduction

In characteristic zero it is a well-known and frequently used fact that the higher direct images $R^if_*\mathcal{O}_X$ of a projective birational morphism $f : X \to Y$ between smooth schemes vanish for $i > 0$. This statement was proved as a corollary of Hironaka's resolution of singularities by resolving the indeterminacies of f^{-1} by successively blowing-up smooth subvarieties of Y. In this article we consider the situation over an arbitrary field k and prove this and related results.

In the following all schemes are assumed to be separated and of finite type over k, all morphisms are assumed to be k-morphisms. The two main results of this paper are:

Theorem 1. *Assume k is perfect. Let S be an arbitrary scheme and let X, Y be integral S-schemes. Assume that X, Y are smooth over k and properly birational over S, i.e. there exists an integral scheme Z and a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd} & Z \arrow[dl, "\tau_X"'] \arrow[dr, "\tau_Y"] & \\ X \arrow[dr, "f"'] & & Y \arrow[dl, "g"] \\ & S & \end{tikzcd}$$

such that τ_X, τ_Y are proper and birational (f and g being the fixed morphisms to S). Then for all i, there are isomorphisms of $\mathcal{O}_S$-modules

$$R^if_*\mathcal{O}_X \cong R^ig_*\mathcal{O}_Y, \quad R^if_*\omega_X \cong R^ig_*\omega_Y.$$

Theorem 2. *Consider a diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd} & Y \arrow[d, "f"] \\ \tilde{X} \arrow[r, "\pi"] & X, \end{tikzcd}$$

where Y and $\tilde{X}$ are connected smooth schemes, X is integral and normal, f is surjective and finite such that $\deg(f) \in k^*$, and π is birational and proper. Then X is Cohen-Macaulay and

$$R\pi_*\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}} = \mathcal{O}_X, \quad R\pi_*\omega_{\tilde{X}} = \omega_X,$$

where ω_X is the dualizing sheaf of X.

By duality, the two identities in Theorem 2 imply each other.

Both theorems are known in characteristic zero: Theorem 1 follows from Hironaka's resolution of singularities, for Theorem 2 see [Vie77] (which also uses resolution of singularities). If resolution of singularities is available in positive characteristic then it easily yields Theorem 1.

Recall from [KKMS73, I, §3, p.50], that a rational resolution of an integral normal scheme X is a resolution (i.e. a proper birational morphism $g : \tilde{X} \to X$ with $\tilde{X}$ smooth) which satisfies $R^ig_*(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}) = 0 = R^ig_*(\omega_{\tilde{X}})$ for all $i > 0$. Thus Theorem 1 implies that if an integral normal scheme over a perfect field has a rational resolution, then any resolution of X is a rational resolution. For a smooth scheme X we obtain $R^ig_*(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}) = 0 = R^ig_*(\omega_{\tilde{X}})$ for $i > 0$ and any resolution g (Corollary 3.2.7). Theorem 2 asserts that $\pi : \tilde{X} \to X$ is a rational resolution; this includes the important special case where X is the quotient of Y by a finite group of order prime to the characteristic of k.

Since resolution of singularities is not yet available in positive characteristic, we develop a different approach based on algebraic correspondences. To get an idea of the methods involved let us sketch the proof of Theorem 1 for $S = \operatorname{Spec} k$ and X, Y projective (see §3 for the details and a rigorous proof).

For a scheme X we write $H(X) = \bigoplus_{i,j} H^i(X, \Omega^j_X)$ and $\mathrm{CH}(X) = \oplus_i \mathrm{CH}^i(X)$, with $\mathrm{CH}^i(X)$ the Chow group of codimension i cycles on X. Given smooth projective schemes X, Y, Z, there is a composition of correspondences:

$$\mathrm{CH}(X \times Y) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathrm{CH}(Y \times Z) \longrightarrow \mathrm{CH}(X \times Z),$$
$$H(X \times Y) \otimes_k H(Y \times Z) \to H(X \times Z).$$

Moreover $a \in \mathrm{CH}(X \times Y)$ (resp. $\in H(X \times Y)$) defines a map on the cohomology $\mathrm{CH}(X) \to \mathrm{CH}(Y)$ (resp. $H(X) \to H(Y)$) by $c \mapsto \mathrm{pr}_{2*}(\mathrm{pr}_1^*(c) \cup a)$, and composition of correspondences corresponds to the composition of maps. Furthermore, there is a cycle map $cl : \mathrm{CH} \to H$, which is compatible with composition.

Now, the proof proceeds as follows. By assumption there exists a closed integral subscheme $Z \subset X \times Y$ projecting birational to X and Y. Let $Z' \subset Y \times X$ be its transpose. By using the refined intersection product of Fulton we will see that

$$[Z'] \circ [Z] = \mathrm{id}_X + E \quad \text{in } \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{pr}_{13}\big((Z \times X) \cap (X \times Z')\big)\right),$$

with E a cycle on $X \times X$ which projects on both sides to subsets of codimension at least one in X. We will show that the map defined by $cl(E) \in H(X \times X)$ acts as zero on $H^*(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \oplus H^*(X, \omega_X)$. A similar argument applies for $[Z] \circ [Z']$. Thus the maps defined by $cl([Z])$ and $cl([Z'])$ are inverse to each other (when restricted to $H^*(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \oplus H^*(X, \omega_X)$). This proves Theorem 1 in the case $S = \operatorname{Spec} k$ and X, Y projective.

It is not hard to deduce the general statement of Theorem 1 once we know it in the case $S = \operatorname{Spec} k$. Therefore we have to generalize the above argument to the case of smooth but not necessarily proper k-schemes. The problem is that in general a push-forward on CH or H does not exist. However, the variety $Z \subset X \times Y$ is proper over X, Y, and by working with cohomology (or Chow groups) with support we can conclude as outlined above.

One of the main points in this paper is the construction of a cycle map, or natural transformation between cohomology theories with support, $\mathrm{CH} \to H$. For this, we first give a definition for (weak) cohomology theories with support. We introduce two categories V^* and V_*. The objects in both categories are (X, Φ), where X is smooth and Φ is a family of supports on X (see 1.1.1 for the definition of a family with supports). A morphism $f : X \to Y$ induces a morphism $(X, \Phi) \to (Y, \Psi)$ in V_* if and only if $f \mid \Phi$ is proper and $f(\Phi) \subset \Psi$; f induces a morphism in V^* if and only if $f^{-1}(\Psi) \subset \Phi$.

Then we consider the data (F_*, F^*, T, e), where

$$F_* : V_* \longrightarrow \mathbf{GrAb}, \quad F^* : (V^*)^{\mathrm{op}} \longrightarrow \mathbf{GrAb},$$

are functors to graded abelian groups with $F_*(X, \Phi) = F^*(X, \Phi) =: F(X, \Phi)$ as abelian groups, T gives for all (X, Φ), (Y, Ψ) a morphism of abelian groups

$$T_{(X,\Phi),(Y,\Psi)} : F(X, \Phi) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} F(Y, \Psi) \longrightarrow F(X \times Y, \Phi \times \Psi),$$

and $e : \mathbb{Z} \to F(\operatorname{Spec} k)$ is a morphism of abelian groups.

These data define a weak cohomology theory with support if the following conditions are satisfied.

(1) The map T is functorial for F_*, F^*.

(2) For all diagrams

$$\begin{tikzcd}
(X', \Phi') \arrow[r, "f'"] \arrow[d, "g_X"] & (Y', \Psi') \arrow[d, "g_Y"] \\
(X, \Phi) \arrow[r, "f"] & (Y, \Psi),
\end{tikzcd}$$

in which the underlying diagram of schemes is cartesian and *transversal*, with $g_X, g_Y \in V^*$, $f, f' \in V_*$, the following equality holds:

$$F^*(g_Y) \circ F_*(f) = F_*(f') \circ F^*(g_X).$$

(3) Some more (very natural) conditions.

The conditions allow us to obtain a calculus with correspondences. One key example of a weak cohomology theory with supports is the Chow group

$$\mathrm{CH}(X, \Phi) := \varinjlim_{W \in \Phi} \mathrm{CH}(W),$$

with CH_* the (proper) push forward for cycles and CH^* the refined Gysin homomorphism. Another example is the Hodge cohomology $H(X, \Phi) := \bigoplus_{i,j} H^i_\Phi(X, \Omega^j_X)$. Here, the definition of H^* is straightforward, but for H_* we use Grothendieck duality for singular schemes since smooth compactifications are not available in characteristic p. That the Hodge cohomology defines a (weak) cohomology theory with supports is a non-trivial fact, of which the proof occupies §2.

In Theorem 1.2.3 we give necessary and sufficient conditions for a (weak) cohomology theory with supports F to be target of a morphism from CH. Unfortunately, we can do this only with an additional semi-purity assumption on F (see 1.2.1). As an application we prove the existence of a cycle map $\mathrm{CH} \to H$. We hope that Theorem 1.2.3 will turn out to be useful for proving similar results for the Witt vector cohomology.

Let us give a short overview of the content of each section.

In §1 we define weak cohomology theories with supports and prove basic properties. We show that CH is an example and prove Theorem 1.2.3. Moreover, we explain the calculus of correspondences attached to a cohomology theory.

In §2 we show that the Hodge cohomology is another example for a cohomology theory with supports. The hard part is the definition of push-forward maps. We use Grothendieck's duality theory for singular schemes as developed in [Har66], [Con00], and make extensive use of the results given in these references. There are also other approaches to duality theory, which are more elegant (see e.g. [LH09]). But since we use at several places the explicit description of duality theory as developed by Grothendieck and since it is not clear to the authors, how this classical approach compares to the one e.g. in [LH09], we will solely stick to the references [Har66] and [Con00].

In §3 we show the existence of a cycle map $\mathrm{CH} \to H$. Moreover, a vanishing statement Proposition 3.2.2 is proved which enables us to prove Theorem 1 and 2.

In §4 we generalize Theorem 1 to the case where X and Y are tame quotients (see Theorem 4.3.1). This theorem also implies Theorem 2.

We finish with some open questions.

In the appendix finally we give a well-known description of the trace morphism for closed embeddings between smooth schemes and for finite and surjective morphisms between smooth schemes, which we need in §2.

Acknowledgments. We are deeply grateful to Hélène Esnault for her encouragement and patience. We thank Manuel Blickle, Georg Hein and Eckart Viehweg for useful discussions. Finally, we thank the referee for a careful reading and for suggesting many improvements in the exposition.

1. Chow groups with support

1.1. **Cohomology theories with support.** Let k be a field. All schemes are assumed to be of finite type and separated over k. We begin by recalling basic definitions and notations concerning families of supports.

Definition 1.1.1. A family of supports Φ on X is a non-empty set of closed subsets of X such that the following holds:

(i) The union of two elements in Φ is contained in Φ.
(ii) Every closed subset of an element in Φ is contained in Φ.

Let A be any set of closed subsets of X. The smallest family of supports Φ_A which contains A is given by

$$\Phi_A := \{\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} Z_i' \,;\, Z_i' \underset{\text{closed}}{\subset} Z_i \in A\}. \tag{1.1.1.1}$$

For a closed subset $Z \subset X$ we write Φ_Z for $\Phi_{\{Z\}}$.

Notation 1.1.2. Let $f : X \to Y$ be a morphism of schemes and Φ resp. Ψ a family of supports of X resp. Y.

(1) We denote by $f^{-1}(\Psi)$ the smallest family of supports on X which contains $\{f^{-1}(Z); Z \in \Psi\}$.
(2) We say that $f \mid \Phi$ is proper if $f \mid Z$ is proper for every $Z \in \Phi$. If $f \mid \Phi$ is proper then $f(\Phi)$ is a family of supports on Y.
(3) If Φ_1, Φ_2 are two families of supports then $\Phi_1 \cap \Phi_2$ is a family of supports.
(4) If Φ resp. Ψ is a family of supports of X resp. Y then we denote by $\Phi \times \Psi$ the smallest family of supports on $X \times_k Y$ which contains $\{Z_1 \times Z_2; Z_1 \in \Phi, Z_2 \in \Psi\}$.

When working with cohomology theories with support it is convenient to define the following two categories V_* and V^*, where for the morphisms in V_* a "push-forward" map can be expected and for the morphisms in V^* a "pull-back" map can be expected.

Definition 1.1.3. We denote by V_* the category with objects (X, Φ) where X is a smooth scheme and Φ is a family of supports of X, and morphisms

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{V_*}((X,\Phi),(Y,\Psi)) = \{f \in \mathrm{Hom}_k(X,Y); f \mid \Phi \text{ is proper and } f(\Phi) \subset \Psi.\}$$

We denote by V^* the category with objects (X, Φ) where X is a smooth scheme and Φ is a family of supports of X ($\mathrm{ob}(V_*) = \mathrm{ob}(V^*)$), and morphisms

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{V^*}((X,\Phi),(Y,\Psi)) = \{f \in \mathrm{Hom}_k(X,Y); f^{-1}(\Psi) \subset \Phi\}.$$

The composition and the identity comes in both cases from the category of schemes (over k).

1.1.4. Let X be a smooth scheme. For a closed subscheme $W \subset X$ we write $(X, W) := (X, \Phi_W)$ in V^* and V_*, respectively. We simply write X for (X, X).

We have forgetful functors $V_* \to \mathbf{Sch}_k$ resp. $V^* \to \mathbf{Sch}_k$ to the category of schemes, and we often denote the morphism of schemes induced by a morphism in V_* and V^* respectively by the same letter.

For a morphism f in V_* we will say that f is an immersion, flat, …, if the corresponding morphism of schemes has this property, and similarly for morphisms in V^*. We say that a diagram

(1.1.4.1)
$$\begin{tikzcd}(X', \Phi') \arrow[r, "f'"] \arrow[d, "g_X"] & (Y', \Psi') \arrow[d, "g_Y"] \\ (X, \Phi) \arrow[r, "f"] & (Y, \Psi)\end{tikzcd}$$

is cartesian if the diagram of the corresponding schemes is cartesian.

1.1.5. *Coproducts and "products".* For both categories V^* and V_* finite coproducts exist:

$$(X, \Phi) \coprod (Y, \Psi) = (X \coprod Y, \Phi \cup \Psi).$$

For (X, Φ) let $X = \coprod_i X_i$ be the decomposition into connected components, then

$$(X, \Phi) = \coprod_i (X_i, \Phi \cap \Phi_{X_i}).$$

In general products don't exist, and we define

$$(X, \Phi) \otimes (Y, \Psi) := (X \times Y, \Phi \times \Psi)$$

which together with the unit object $\mathbf{1} = \operatorname{Spec}(k)$ and the obvious isomorphism $(X, \Phi) \otimes (Y, \Psi) \to (Y, \Psi) \otimes (X, \Phi)$ makes V_* and V^* into a symmetric monoidal category (see [Lan98, VII.1]).

1.1.6. Consider the following data (F_*, F^*, T, e):

1. Two functors $F_* : V_* \to \mathbf{GrAb}$ and $F^* : (V^*)^{op} \to \mathbf{GrAb}$ to the symmetric monoidal category of graded abelian groups, such that $F_*(X) = F^*(X)$ as ungraded groups for every object $X \in \operatorname{ob}(V_*) = \operatorname{ob}(V^*)$. We will simply write $F(X) := F_*(X) = F^*(X)$. We use lower indexes for the grading on $F_*(X)$, i.e. $F_*(X) = \oplus_i F_i(X)$, and upper indexes for $F^*(X)$.
2. For every two objects $X, Y \in \operatorname{ob}(V_*) = \operatorname{ob}(V^*)$ a morphism of graded abelian groups (for both gradings):
$$T_{X,Y} : F(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} F(Y) \to F(X \otimes Y).$$
3. A morphism of abelian groups $e : \mathbb{Z} \to F(\operatorname{Spec}(k))$. For all smooth schemes $\pi : X \to \operatorname{Spec}(k)$ we denote by 1_X the image of $1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ via the map $\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{e} F^*(\operatorname{Spec}(k)) \xrightarrow{F^*(\pi)} F^*(X)$.

1.1.7. The data (F_*, F^*, T, e) is called a *weak cohomology theory with supports* if the following conditions are satisfied:

(1) The functor F_* preserves coproducts and F^* maps coproducts to products. Moreover, for $(X, \Phi_1), (X, \Phi_2) \in \mathrm{ob}(V_*)$ with $\Phi_1 \cap \Phi_2 = \{\emptyset\}$ we require that the map

$$F^*(\jmath_1) + F^*(\jmath_2) : F^*(X, \Phi_1) \oplus F^*(X, \Phi_2) \to F^*(X, \Phi_1 \cup \Phi_2),$$

with $\jmath_1 : (X, \Phi_1 \cup \Phi_2) \to (X, \Phi_1)$ and $\jmath_2 : (X, \Phi_1 \cup \Phi_2) \to (X, \Phi_2)$ in V^*, is an isomorphism.

(2) The data (F_*, T, e) and (F^*, T, e) respectively define a (right-lax) symmetric monoidal functor (see below).

(3) (Grading) For (X, Φ) such that X is connected the equality

$$F_i(X, \Phi) = F^{2\dim X - i}(X, \Phi)$$

holds for all i.

(4) For all cartesian diagrams 1.1.4.1 with $g_X, g_Y \in V^*$ and $f, f' \in V_*$ such that either g_Y is smooth or g_Y is a closed immersion and f is transversal to g_Y the equality

$$F^*(g_Y) \circ F_*(f) = F_*(f') \circ F^*(g_X)$$

holds.

Recall that f is transversal to g_Y if $(f')^* N_{Y'/Y} = N_{X'/X}$ where N denotes the normal bundle. The case $X' = \emptyset$ is also admissible; in this case the equality 1.1.7(4) reads:

$$F^*(g_Y) \circ F_*(f) = 0.$$

The condition 1.1.7(4) implies the projection formula (see Proposition 1.1.11) and will be needed for a calculus with correspondences.

Recall that (F_*, T, e) is called a right-lax symmetric monoidal functor if

- T is associative, i.e. for $X, Y, Z \in \mathrm{ob}(V_*)$ the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{tikzcd} F(X) \otimes F(Y) \otimes F(Z) \arrow[r, "id\otimes T"] \arrow[d, "T\otimes id"] & F(X) \otimes F(Y \otimes Z) \arrow[d, "T"] \\ F(X \otimes Y) \otimes F(Z) \arrow[r, "T"] & F(X \otimes Y \otimes Z). \end{tikzcd}$$

- T is commutative, i.e. for $X, Y \in \mathrm{ob}(V_*)$ the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} F(X) \otimes F(Y) \arrow[r, "T"] \arrow[d] & F(X \otimes Y) \arrow[d] \\ F(Y) \otimes F(X) \arrow[r, "T"] & F(Y \otimes X) \end{tikzcd}$$

is commutative. Here, for two graded abelian groups A, B the morphism $A \otimes B \to B \otimes A$ maps $a \otimes b \mapsto (-1)^{\deg(a)\deg(b)} b \otimes a$.

- The map $e : \mathbb{Z} \to F(\mathrm{Spec}(k))$ renders commutative the diagrams

$$\begin{tikzcd}
F(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z} \arrow[r, "id\otimes e"] \arrow[dr, "="'] & F(X) \otimes F(\mathrm{Spec}(k)) \arrow[r, "T"] & F(X \otimes \mathrm{Spec}(k)) \arrow[dl, "="] \\
& F(X), &
\end{tikzcd}$$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} F(X) \arrow[r, "e\otimes id"] \arrow[dr, "="'] & F(\mathrm{Spec}(k)) \otimes F(X) \arrow[r, "T"] & F(\mathrm{Spec}(k) \otimes X) \arrow[dl, "="] \\
& F(X). &
\end{tikzcd}$$

- T is a natural transformation

$$T : (V_* \times V_* \xrightarrow{F_* \times F_*} \mathbf{GrAb} \times \mathbf{GrAb} \xrightarrow{\otimes} \mathbf{GrAb}) \to (V_* \times V_* \xrightarrow{\otimes} V_* \xrightarrow{F_*} \mathbf{GrAb}).$$

Example 1.1.8. A first example which satisfies these conditions (see Proposition 1.1.24) is the Chow group

$$(X, \Phi) \mapsto \varinjlim_{W \in \Phi} \mathrm{CH}_*(W).$$

The push-forward $V_* \to \mathbf{GrAb}$ is defined in the usual way. To define the pull-back $(V^*)^{op} \to \mathbf{GrAb}$ we use Fulton's refined Gysin homomorphism. However, in order to get a symmetric functor we have to put the Chow group $\mathrm{CH}_d(W)$ in degree $= 2d$.

It will be shown in §2 that the Hodge cohomology with support

$$(X, \Phi) \mapsto \bigoplus_{i,j} H^i_\Phi(X, \Omega^j_X)$$

is another example. The push-forward is an application of Grothendieck's duality theory.

An example which isn't further considered in this paper is the étale cohomology with support

$$(X, \Phi) \mapsto H^*_\Phi(X \times \bar{k}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell).$$

Definition 1.1.9. Let $(F_*, F^*, T, e), (G_*, G^*, U, \epsilon)$ be as in 1.1.6 and satisfying the conditions 1.1.7. By a morphism

$$(F_*, F^*, T, e) \to (G_*, G^*, U, \epsilon) \tag{1.1.9.1}$$

we understand a morphism of graded abelian groups (for both gradings)

$$\phi : F(X) \to G(X) \quad \text{for every } X \in \mathrm{ob}(V_*) = \mathrm{ob}(V^*),$$

such that ϕ induces a natural transformation of (right-lax) symmetric monoidal functors

$$\phi : (F_*, T, e) \to (G_*, U, \epsilon) \text{ and } \phi : (F^*, T, e) \to (G^*, U, \epsilon),$$

i.e. ϕ induces natural transformations $F_* \to G_*, F^* \to G^*$, and

$$\phi \circ T = U \circ (\phi \otimes \phi), \quad \phi \circ e = \epsilon. \tag{1.1.9.2}$$

We denote by $\mathbf{T}$ the category of weak cohomology theories with supports, i.e. it is the category consisting of objects (F_*, F^*, T, e) as in 1.1.6, and satisfying the properties 1.1.7, together with morphisms 1.1.9.1.

1.1.10. *Cup product.* Let $(F_*, F^*, T, e) \in \mathbf{T}$. For all $(X, \Phi) \in \mathrm{ob}(V^*)$ we obtain a cup product:

$$\cup : F(X, \Phi_1) \otimes F(X, \Phi_2) \xrightarrow{T} F(X \times X, \Phi_1 \times \Phi_2) \xrightarrow{F^*(\Delta_X)} F(X, \Phi_1 \cap \Phi_2),$$

where $\Delta_X : (X, \Phi_1 \cap \Phi_2) \to (X \times X, \Phi_1 \times \Phi_2)$ is induced by the diagonal immersion. The cup product is associative and graded commutative.

By functoriality we obtain

$$F^*(f_1)(a) \cup F^*(f_2)(b) = F^*(f_3)(a \cup b) \tag{1.1.10.1}$$

for all morphisms $f_1 : (X', \Phi_1') \to (X, \Phi_1), f_2 : (X', \Phi_2') \to (X, \Phi_2)$ in V^* with $f_1 = f_2 := f$ as morphisms of schemes; $f_3 : (X', \Phi_1' \cap \Phi_2') \to (X, \Phi_1 \cap \Phi_2)$ in V^* is induced by f.

Proposition 1.1.11 (Projection formula)**.** *Let $(F_*, F^*, T, e) \in \mathbf{T}$ and let $f : X \to Y$ be a morphism between smooth schemes, inducing morphisms*

$$\begin{aligned} f_1 :& (X, \Phi_1) \to (Y, \Phi_2) \quad \textit{in } V_*, \\ f_2 :& (X, f^{-1}(\Psi)) \to (Y, \Psi) \quad \textit{in } V^*. \end{aligned}$$

Then f also induces a morphism

$$f_3 : (X, \Phi_1 \cap f^{-1}(\Psi)) \to (Y, \Phi_2 \cap \Psi) \quad \textit{in } V_*$$

and for all $a \in F(X, \Phi_1), b \in F(Y, \Psi)$ the following formulas hold in $F(Y, \Phi_2 \cap \Psi)$:

$$\begin{aligned} F_*(f_3)(a \cup F^*(f_2)(b)) &= F_*(f_1)(a) \cup b, \\ F_*(f_3)(F^*(f_2)(b) \cup a) &= b \cup F_*(f_1)(a). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We prove the first equality of the statement, the second is proved in the same way. The diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
(X, \Phi_1 \cap f^{-1}(\Psi)) \arrow[r, "f_3"] \arrow[d, "\Delta_X"'] & (Y, \Phi_2 \cap \Psi) \arrow[dd, "\Delta_Y"] \\
(X \times X, \Phi_1 \times f^{-1}(\Psi)) \arrow[d, "id \times f_2"'] & \\
(X \times Y, \Phi_1 \times \Psi) \arrow[r, "f_1 \times id"] & (Y \times Y, \Phi_2 \times \Psi)
\end{tikzcd}$$

is cartesian and $f \times id$ is transversal to Δ_Y. Thus by 1.1.7(4) we get

$$\begin{aligned} F_*(f_3)(a \cup F^*(f_2)(b)) &= F_*(f_3)F^*(\Delta_X)F^*(id \times f_2)(T(a \otimes b)) = \\ &F^*(\Delta_Y)F_*(f_1 \times id)(T(a \otimes b)) = F_*(f_1)(a) \cup b. \end{aligned}$$

□

The proof of the following Lemma is straightforward.

Lemma 1.1.12.

1. *For all (X, Φ) and $a \in F(X, \Phi)$ the equality*
$$1_X \cup a = a = a \cup 1_X$$
holds. In particular $F^*(X)$ is a (graded) ring.
2. *For smooth schemes X, Y we have*
$$T(1_X \otimes 1_Y) = 1_{X \times Y}.$$

1.1.13. *Definition of Chow groups with support.* In the following we define a first example of an object $(\mathrm{CH}_*, \mathrm{CH}^*, \times, e) \in \mathbf{T}$.

Definition 1.1.14 (Chow groups with support)**.** Let Φ be a family of supports on X. We define:

$$\begin{aligned} Z_\Phi(X) &:= \text{abelian group freely generated by irreducible closed subsets } Z \in \Phi. \\ \mathrm{Rat}_\Phi(X) &:= \text{subgroup of } Z_\Phi(X) \text{ generated by } div(f), \text{ where } f \in k(W)^* \\ &\qquad \text{is a non-zero rational function and } W \in \Phi \text{ is irreducible.} \\ \mathrm{CH}(X,\Phi) &:= Z_\Phi(X)/\mathrm{Rat}_\Phi(X). \end{aligned}$$

For $(X,\Phi), (Y,\Psi)$ we obtain

$$\mathrm{CH}((X \coprod Y, \Phi \cup \Psi)) = \mathrm{CH}(X,\Phi) \oplus \mathrm{CH}(Y,\Psi). \tag{1.1.14.1}$$

1.1.15. *Grading.* The groups $Z_\Phi(X)$ and $\mathrm{Rat}_\Phi(X)$ can be graded by dimension:

$$\mathrm{CH}_*(X,\Phi) = \bigoplus_{d\geq 0} \mathrm{CH}_d(X,\Phi)[2d],$$

where the bracket $[2d]$ means that $\mathrm{CH}_d(X,\Phi)$ is considered to be in degree $2d$.

There is also a grading by codimension. Let $X = \coprod_i X_i$ be the decomposition into connected components then $\mathrm{CH}^*(X,\Phi) = \bigoplus_i \mathrm{CH}^*(X_i, \Phi \cap \Phi_{X_i})$ and

$$\mathrm{CH}^*(X_i, \Phi \cap \Phi_{X_i}) = \bigoplus_{d\geq 0} \mathrm{CH}^d(X_i, \Phi \cap \Phi_{X_i})[2d]$$

where $\mathrm{CH}^d(X_i,\Phi)$ is generated by cycles $[Z]$ with $Z \in \Phi \cap \Phi_X$, Z irreducible, and $\mathrm{codim}_{X_i}(Z) = d$.

1.1.16. *Examples.* If $W \subset X$ is a closed subset then we get

$$\mathrm{CH}(X, \Phi_W) = \mathrm{CH}(X, W) = \mathrm{CH}(W),$$

the usual Chow group of W.

If X is proper, U is affine, and $\Phi := \{Z' ; Z' \subset U\}$ then

$$\mathrm{CH}(X,\Phi) = Z_\Phi(X) = \text{freely generated by closed points of } U.$$

1.1.17. *Push forward for Chow groups.* Let Φ be a family of supports on X (1.1.1). If $W \subset X$ is a closed subscheme with $W \in \Phi$ then $Z(W) = Z_{\Phi_W}(X) \subset Z_\Phi(X)$, $\mathrm{Rat}(W) = \mathrm{Rat}_{\Phi_W}(X) \subset \mathrm{Rat}_\Phi(X)$ (Φ_W as defined in 1.1.1.1), and we obtain a map

$$\mathrm{CH}(W) = \mathrm{CH}(X,W) \to \mathrm{CH}(X,\Phi). \tag{1.1.17.1}$$

Obviously, $\mathrm{CH}(X,\Phi)$ is the largest quotient of $Z_\Phi(X)$ such that there are push-forward maps 1.1.17.1 for every $W \in \Phi$.

1.1.18. In general let $f : (X,\Phi) \to (Y,\Psi)$ be a morphism in V_*. There is a push-forward of cycles

$$f_* : Z_\Phi(X) \to Z_\Psi(Y), \quad f_*([Z]) = \deg(Z/f(Z)) \cdot [f(Z)],$$

for $Z \in \Phi$ irreducible ($\deg(Z/f(Z)) = 0$ if $\dim(f(Z)) < \dim(Z)$). Push-forward is functorial [Ful98, §1.4].

Lemma 1.1.19. *With the assumption of 1.1.18 we get* $f_*(\mathrm{Rat}_\Phi(X)) \subset \mathrm{Rat}_\Psi(Y)$.

Proof. Indeed, $\mathrm{Rat}_\Phi(X)$ is generated by the images of $\mathrm{Rat}(W)$ where $W \in \Phi$. The restriction $f \mid W$ is proper and [Ful98, Proposition 1.4] yields

$$f_*(\mathrm{Rat}(W)) \subset \mathrm{Rat}(f(W)),$$

which proves the claim. □

Thus we get an induced map

$$f_* : \mathrm{CH}(X, \Phi) \to \mathrm{CH}(Y, \Psi), \tag{1.1.19.1}$$

and a functor

$$\mathrm{CH}_* : V_* \to \mathbf{GrAb}, \quad \mathrm{CH}_*(X, \Phi) := \mathrm{CH}(X, \Phi), \quad \mathrm{CH}_*(f) := f_*. \tag{1.1.19.2}$$

Proposition 1.1.20. *Let Φ be a family of supports of X. The following map is an isomorphism*

$$\varinjlim_{W \in \Phi} \mathrm{CH}(X, W) \to \mathrm{CH}(X, \Phi).$$

Proof. This is straightforward. □

1.1.21. *Pull-back for Chow groups.* In order to define a functor

$$\mathrm{CH}^* : (V^*)^{op} \to \mathbf{GrAb}$$

we have to recall Fulton's work on refined Gysin morphisms [Ful98, §6.6].

Let $f : X \to Y$ be a morphism between smooth schemes and let $V \subset Y$ be a closed subscheme. There is a morphism of abelian groups

$$f^! : \mathrm{CH}(V) \to \mathrm{CH}(f^{-1}(V))$$

($f^{-1}(V) = X \times_Y V$) with the following properties:

1. For a closed subscheme $V' \subset Y$ with $V \subset V'$ (denote the immersion by $\imath$, and the immersion $f^{-1}(V) \subset f^{-1}(V')$ by $\jmath$), the equality
$$f^! \imath_* = \jmath_* f^!$$
(as maps $\mathrm{CH}(V) \to \mathrm{CH}(f^{-1}(V'))$) holds.
2. If $g : Y \to Z$ is another morphism between smooth schemes and $S \subset Z$ a closed subscheme then
$$f^! \circ g^! = (g \circ f)^!$$
as maps $\mathrm{CH}(S) \to \mathrm{CH}((g \circ f)^{-1}(S))$.
3. If $f : X \to Y$ is flat then $f^! = f^*$ where f^* is the usual pull-back map for flat morphisms.
4. Let
$$\begin{tikzcd} X' \arrow[r, "f'"] \arrow[d, "g_X"] & Y' \arrow[d, "g_Y"] \\ X \arrow[r, "f"] & Y \end{tikzcd}$$
be a cartesian diagram of smooth schemes and $W \subset X$ a closed subscheme such that $f \mid W$ is proper. Assume that either g_Y is flat or g_Y is a closed immersion and f is transversal to g_Y. Then
$$g_Y^! f_* = f'_* g_X^!$$

as maps $\mathrm{CH}(W) \to \mathrm{CH}(f'(g_X^{-1}W)) = \mathrm{CH}(g_Y^{-1}f(W))$. This statement is proved in [Ful98, Proposition 1.7] for flat morphisms and in [Ful98, Theorem 6.2(a),(c)] for the case of a closed immersion.

Remark 1.1.22. Note that $\mathrm{CH}(W) = \mathrm{CH}(W_{red})$ for every scheme W.

1.1.23. *Definition of the pull-back map.* Let $f : X \to Y$ be a morphism between smooth schemes and let $V \subset Y$ be a closed subscheme, thus $f : (X, f^{-1}(V)) \to (Y, V)$ is a morphism in V^*. We define

$$\mathrm{CH}^*(f) := f^! : \mathrm{CH}(Y, V) = \mathrm{CH}(V) \to \mathrm{CH}(f^{-1}(V)) = \mathrm{CH}(X, f^{-1}(V)).$$

For the general case let $f : (X, \Phi) \to (Y, \Psi)$ be any morphism in V^*. For every $V \in \Psi$ the map f induces $(X, f^{-1}(V)) \to (Y, V)$ in V^*. Because of 1.1.21(1) and Proposition 1.1.20 we obtain

$$\mathrm{CH}^*(f) : \mathrm{CH}(Y, \Psi) = \varinjlim_{V\in\Psi} \mathrm{CH}(Y, V) \to \varinjlim_{W\in\Phi} \mathrm{CH}(X, W) = \mathrm{CH}(X, \Phi).$$

The assignment

$$\mathrm{CH}^* : (V^*)^{op} \to \mathbf{GrAb}, \quad \mathrm{CH}^*(X, \Phi) = \mathrm{CH}(X, \Phi), \quad f \mapsto \mathrm{CH}^*(f), \tag{1.1.23.1}$$

defines a functor by 1.1.21(1),(2).

Proposition 1.1.24. *Together with the exterior product* $\times$ *(see* [Ful98, §1.10]*) and the obvious unit* $1 : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{Spec}(k))$, *we obtain an object* $(\mathrm{CH}_*, \mathrm{CH}^*, \times, e) \in \mathbf{T}$.

Proof. The formula 1.1.7(4) follows from 1.1.21(1),(4). □

1.2. **Chow groups with support as initial object.**

Given $(\mathrm{CH}_*, \mathrm{CH}^*, \times, 1)$ we are interested in objects $(F_*, F^*, T, e) \in \mathbf{T}$ which admit a morphism $(\mathrm{CH}_*, \mathrm{CH}^*, \times, 1) \to (F_*, F^*, T, e)$. Such morphisms should be viewed as a kind of cycle map, which is compatible with push-forward and pull-back. Unfortunately, we can only give a satisfactory answer under an additional hypotheses on (F_*, F^*, T, e), which we call semi-purity.

Definition 1.2.1 (Semi-purity)**.** We say that (F_*, F^*, T, e) satisfies the semi-purity condition if the following holds:

- For all smooth schemes X and irreducible closed subsets $W \subset X$ the groups $F_i(X, W)$ vanish if $i > 2 \dim W$.
- For all smooth schemes X, closed subsets $W \subset X$, and open sets $U \subset X$ such that U contains the generic point of every irreducible component of W, we require the map
$$F^*(\jmath) : F_{2\dim W}(X, W) \to F_{2\dim W}(U, W \cap U),$$
induced by $\jmath : (U, W \cap U) \to (X, W)$ in V^*, to be *injective.*

Remark 1.2.2. For $(\mathrm{CH}_*, \mathrm{CH}^*, \times, 1)$ the condition is satisfied since $\mathrm{CH}_{2\dim W}(X, W) = \mathbb{Z} \cdot [W]$ and $\mathrm{CH}_i(X, W) = 0$ for $i > 2 \dim W$.

Let c be the codimension of W in X, so that $F_{2\dim W} = F^{2c}$. Whenever there are exact sequences

$$F^{2c}(X, W \backslash U) \to F^{2c}(X, W) \to F^{2c}(U, U \cap W),$$

the conditions in 1.2.1 follow from $F^i(X, W) = 0$ for $i < 2c$ (and all pairs (X, W)), which is known as semi-purity in the literature.

Theorem 1.2.3. *Let k be a perfect field and assume $(F_*, F^*, T, e) \in \mathbf{T}$ satisfies the semi-purity condition 1.2.1. Then $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{T}}((\mathrm{CH}_*, \mathrm{CH}^*, \times, 1), (F_*, F^*, T, e))$ is either empty or contains only one element. The set $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{T}}((\mathrm{CH}_*, \mathrm{CH}^*, \times, 1), (F_*, F^*, T, e))$ is non-empty if and only if the following conditions hold:*

1. *If $f : X \to Y$ is a finite morphism between smooth connected schemes of equal dimension then*
$$F_*(f)(1_X) = \deg(f) \cdot 1_Y.$$
2. *For the 0-point $\imath_0 : \mathrm{Spec}(k) \to \mathbb{P}^1$ and the ∞-point $\imath_\infty : \mathrm{Spec}(k) \to \mathbb{P}^1$ the following equality holds:*
$$F_*(\imath_0) \circ e = F_*(\imath_\infty) \circ e.$$
3. *For a closed immersion $\imath : X \to Y$ between smooth schemes and an effective smooth divisor $D \subset Y$ such that*
   - *D meets X properly, thus $D \cap X := D \times_Y X$ is a divisor on X,*
   - *$D' := (D \cap X)_{red}$ is smooth and connected, and thus $D \cap X = n \cdot D'$ as divisors (for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}, n \geq 1$),*

   we denote by $\imath_X : X \to (Y, X), \imath_{D'} : D' \to (D, D')$ the morphisms in V_* induced by $\imath$, and we define $g : (D, D') \to (Y, X)$ in V^* by the inclusion $D \subset Y$. Then the following equality is required to hold:
$$F^*(g)(F_*(\imath_X)(1_X)) = n \cdot F_*(\imath_{D'})(1_{D'}).$$
4. *If $W \subset X$ is an irreducible closed subset then there is an element $cl_{(X,W)} \in F_{2\dim W}(X, W)$ with*
$$F^*(\jmath)(cl_{(X,W)}) = F_*(\imath)(1_{U \cap W})$$
   for all open sets $U \subset X$ such that $U \cap W \neq \emptyset$ is smooth, and where $\jmath : (U, W \cap U) \to (X, W)$ in V^*, $\imath : W \cap U \to (U, W \cap U)$ in V_*.

We will give the proof after the proof of the following Proposition.

Proposition 1.2.4. *Let k be a perfect field and let $F := (F_*, F^*, T, e) \in \mathbf{T}$ satisfy the semi-purity condition 1.2.1. Furthermore, we assume that the conditions of Theorem (1.2.3),(1)-(4) hold for F. Then there is a unique natural transformation of (right-lax) symmetric monoidal functors*
$$\phi : (\mathrm{CH}_*, \times, 1) \to (F_*, T, e)$$
such that $\phi(1_X) = 1_X$ for every smooth scheme X.

Proof. *Uniqueness:* In view of the semi-purity condition 1.2.1,
$$\phi([W]) = cl_{(X,W)} \tag{1.2.4.1}$$
is the only choice for an irreducible closed subset W of X, $[W] \in \mathrm{CH}_*(X, W)$. For a general family of supports Φ of X the group $\mathrm{CH}_*(X, \Phi)$ is generated by the images of $[W]$ via $\mathrm{CH}_*(X, W) \to \mathrm{CH}_*(X, \Phi)$ where W runs through all irreducible closed subsets $W \in \Phi$.

Existence: For every smooth scheme X and a family of supports Φ of X we define a homomorphism of abelian groups
$$\phi'_{(X,\Phi)} : Z_\Phi(X) \to F(X, \Phi) \tag{1.2.4.2}$$
by $\phi'_{(X,\Phi)}([W]) = F_*(\imath_W)(cl_{(X,W)})$ for every irreducible closed subset $W \in \Phi$ and $\imath_W : (X, W) \to (X, \Phi)$ in V_* induced by id_X.

1st Step: For every morphism $f : (X, \Phi) \to (Y, \Psi)$ in V_* the push-forward $f_* : Z_\Phi(X) \to Z_\Psi(Y)$ is well-defined 1.1.18. We claim that for every $f : (X, \Phi) \to (Y, \Psi)$ in V_* the following equality holds:

$$\phi'_{(Y,\Psi)} \circ f_* = F_*(f) \circ \phi'_{(X,\Phi)}. \tag{1.2.4.3}$$

Let $W \in \Phi$ be irreducible. If $\dim(f(W)) < \dim(W)$ then $F_{2\dim W}(Y, f(W)) = 0$ by semi-purity 1.2.1, thus 1.2.4.3 holds in this case.

In the case $\dim f(W) = \dim W =: d$ the map $W \to f(W)$ is generically finite, so that we may find an open $U \subset Y$ with $U \cap f(W) \neq \emptyset$, $U \cap f(W)$ is smooth, $f^{-1}(U) \cap W$ is smooth, and $f' : f^{-1}(U) \cap W \to U \cap f(W)$ induced by f is finite. Consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
F_{2d}(X, W) \arrow[r, "F^*(\jmath')"] \arrow[d, "F_*(f)"] & F_{2d}(f^{-1}(U), W \cap f^{-1}(U)) \arrow[d, "F_*(f)"] & F_{2d}(W \cap f^{-1}(U)) \arrow[l, "F_*(\imath')"'] \arrow[d, "F_*(f')"] \\
F_{2d}(Y, f(W)) \arrow[r, "F^*(\jmath)"] & F_{2d}(U, f(W) \cap U) & F_{2d}(f(W) \cap U), \arrow[l, "F_*(\imath)"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $\jmath : (U, f(W) \cap U) \to (Y, f(W))$ resp. $\jmath' : (f^{-1}(U), W \cap f^{-1}(U)) \to (X, W)$ in V^* are induced by the obvious open immersions, and $\imath : f(W) \cap U \to (U, f(W) \cap U)$ resp. $\imath' : W \cap f^{-1}(U) \to (f^{-1}(U), W \cap f^{-1}(U))$ in V_* are induced by the obvious closed immersions. From the diagram and condition (1.2.3),(1) we obtain

$$F^*(\jmath) F_*(f)(cl_{(X,W)}) = \deg(W/f(W)) \cdot F_*(\imath)(1_{f(W) \cap U}).$$

Now, semi-purity 1.2.1 implies

$$F_*(f)(cl_{(X,W)}) = \deg(W/f(W)) \cdot cl_{(Y,f(W))},$$

which proves the claim 1.2.4.3.

2nd Step: Let X be a smooth scheme, $W \subset X$ an irreducible closed subset, and $D \subset X$ a smooth divisor intersecting W properly, so that $W \cap D := W \times_X D$ is an effective Cartier divisor on W. We denote by $[W \cap D]$ the associated Weil divisor and claim that

$$F^*(\imath_D)(\phi'_{(X,W)}([W])) = \phi'_{(D,W\cap D)}([W \cap D]), \tag{1.2.4.4}$$

where $\imath_D : (D, W \cap D) \to (X, W)$ is induced by $D \subset X$.

Note that by semi-purity we may replace X by an open subset which contains the generic points of $(W \cap D)_{red}$. In particular we may assume that the irreducible components of $(W \cap D)_{red}$ are disjoint. Letting $V_1, \ldots, V_r$ be the irreducible components of $(W \cap D)_{red}$ we obtain

$$\bigoplus_{i=1}^{r} F(D, V_i) \xrightarrow{\cong} F(D, W \cap D)$$

from (1.1.7)(1); thus we may assume that $r = 1$. If W is regular (=smooth) in codimension one (e.g. W is normal) then we can find an open $U \subset X$ such that $W \cap U$ and $V_1 \cap U \neq \emptyset$ is smooth; thus 1.2.4.4 follows from (1.2.3)(3).

Now, let W be not necessarily normal. Since we may assume that X is affine we can find a closed immersion $\tilde{W} \to W \times \mathbb{P}^n$ (over W) of the normalisation $\tilde{W}$ of W. Setting

$$\tilde{X} := X \times \mathbb{P}^n,\ \tilde{D} := D \times \mathbb{P}^n,\ \tilde{\imath} : (\tilde{D}, \tilde{V} \cap \tilde{D}) \to (\tilde{X}, \tilde{V}),$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} F^*(\imath)(\phi'_{(X,W)}[W]) &= F^*(\imath)F_*(\mathrm{pr}_1)(\phi'_{(\tilde{X},\tilde{W})}([\tilde{W}])) \quad (1.2.4.3)\\ &= F_*(\mathrm{pr}_{1|\tilde{D}})F^*(\tilde{\imath})(\phi'_{(\tilde{X},\tilde{W})}([\tilde{W}])) \quad (1.1.7)(4)\\ &= F_*(\mathrm{pr}_{1|\tilde{D}})(\phi'_{(\tilde{D},\tilde{W}\cap\tilde{D})}([\tilde{W}\cap\tilde{D}]))\\ &= \phi'_{(D,W\cap D)}(\mathrm{pr}_{1*}([\tilde{W}\cap\tilde{D}]))) \quad (1.2.4.3)\\ &= \phi'_{(D,W\cap D)}([W\cap D]). \end{aligned}$$

3rd Step: For all (X,Φ) we claim that the map $\phi'_{(X,\Phi)}$ satisfies

$$\phi'_{(X,\Phi)}(\mathrm{Rat}_{\Phi}(X)) = 0; \tag{1.2.4.5}$$

and thus induces a natural transformation

$$\phi : \mathrm{CH}_* \to F_*.$$

Let $W \subset X \times \mathbb{P}^1$ be irreducible such that $\mathrm{pr}_1(W) \in \Phi$ and $W \to \mathbb{P}^1$ is dominant. By using the 2nd Step (1.2.4.4) we obtain

$$F^*(\imath_\epsilon)(\phi'_{(X\times\mathbb{P}^1,W)}([W])) = \phi'_{(X,\mathrm{pr}_1(W))}([W\cap(X\times\{\epsilon\})])$$

for $\epsilon \in \{0,\infty\}$, $\imath_\epsilon : (X\times\{\epsilon\}, \mathrm{pr}_1(W)) \to (X\times\mathbb{P}^1, W)$.

Thus $F^*(\imath_0) = F^*(\imath_\infty)$ will prove the claim (1.2.4.5). It is not difficult to see that this follows from the projection formula and

$$F_*(\imath'_0)(1_X) = F_*(\imath'_\infty)(1_X) \tag{1.2.4.6}$$

in $F(X\times\mathbb{P}^1)$, where $\imath'_\epsilon : X\times\{\epsilon\} \xrightarrow{\subset} X\times\mathbb{P}^1$.

In view of (1.1.7)(4) the equality 1.2.4.6 is implied by (1.2.3)(2).

4th Step: The only assertion left to prove is

$$\phi\circ\times = T\circ(\phi\otimes\phi), \quad \phi\circ 1 = e.$$

The second equality holds by definition. For the first equality it is sufficient to show

$$\phi'_{(X\times Y,W\times V)}([W]\times[V]) = T(\phi'_{(X,W)}([W])\otimes\phi'_{(Y,V)}([V]))$$

for smooth schemes X, Y and irreducible closed subsets $W\subset X, V\subset Y$. Again by semi-purity we may assume that W and V are smooth in which case the statement follows from Lemma 1.1.12. □

Proof of Theorem 1.2.3. Set $\mathrm{CH} := (\mathrm{CH}_*, \mathrm{CH}^*, \times, 1)$ and $F := (F_*, F^*, T, e)$. For $\phi \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{T}}(\mathrm{CH}, F)$ we get

$$\phi(1_X) = 1_X$$

for all smooth schemes X; thus Proposition 1.2.4 implies that $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{T}}(\mathrm{CH}, F)$ is either empty or contains only one element.

Obviously, the conditions (1.2.3)(1)-(4) are necessary for $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{T}}(\mathrm{CH}, F)$ to be non-empty. So let us assume that the conditions are satisfied. Proposition 1.2.4 yields a natural transformation of right-lax symmetric monoidal functors

$$\phi : (\mathrm{CH}_*, \times, 1) \to (F_*, T, e).$$

We need to prove that ϕ induces a natural transformation

$$\phi : \mathrm{CH}^* \to F^*.$$

1st Step: Assume that $f : (X,\Phi) \to (Y,\Psi)$ in V^* is smooth. We claim the commutativity of the following diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{CH}(Y,\Psi) \arrow[r, "\mathrm{CH}^*(f)"] \arrow[d, "\phi"] & \mathrm{CH}(X,\Phi) \arrow[d, "\phi"] \\
F(Y,\Psi) \arrow[r, "F^*(f)"] & F(X,\Phi).
\end{tikzcd}$$

It is sufficient to prove

$$F^*(f)(\phi_{(Y,V)}([V])) = \phi_{(X,f^{-1}(V))}(f^*[V])$$

for all irreducible closed subsets $V \subset Y$. By using semi-purity we may replace Y by an open set and thus assume that V is smooth. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
F^*(f)(\phi_{(Y,V)}([V])) &= F^*(f)F_*(\imath_V)(1_V) \\
&= F_*(\imath_{f^{-1}(V)})F^*(f_{|f^{-1}(V)})(1_V) \quad (1.1.7)(4) \\
&= F_*(\imath_{f^{-1}(V)})(1_{f^{-1}(V)}) \\
&= \phi_{(X,f^{-1}(V))}([f^{-1}(V)]),
\end{aligned}$$

where $\imath_V : V \to (Y,V), \imath_{f^{-1}(V)} : f^{-1}(V) \to (X,f^{-1}(V))$.

2nd Step: Let $p : E \to X$ be a vector bundle and let $s : X \to E$ be the zero section. We claim that for every closed subscheme $W \subset X$ the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{CH}(E,p^{-1}(W)) \arrow[r, "\mathrm{CH}^*(s)"] \arrow[d, "\phi"] & \mathrm{CH}(X,W) \arrow[d, "\phi"] \\
F(E,p^{-1}(W)) \arrow[r, "F^*(s)"] & F(X,W).
\end{tikzcd}$$

Indeed, by homotopy invariance we may write any $a \in \mathrm{CH}(p^{-1}(W))$ as $a = \mathrm{CH}^*(p)(b)$ with $b \in \mathrm{CH}(W)$. Thus by the 1st Step:

$$F^*(s)(\phi(a)) = F^*(s)F^*(p)(\phi(b)) = \phi(b) = \phi(\mathrm{CH}^*(s)(a)).$$

3rd Step: For every closed subscheme $W \subset X$ denote by $\imath_0 : (X,W) \to (X \times \mathbb{P}^1, W \times \mathbb{P}^1)$, resp. $\imath_\infty : (X,W) \to (X \times \mathbb{P}^1, W \times \mathbb{P}^1)$, the morphisms in V^* and V_* induced by the inclusion $X \times \{0\} \subset X \times \mathbb{P}^1$, resp. $X \times \{\infty\} \subset X \times \mathbb{P}^1$. We claim that

$$F^*(\imath_0) = F^*(\imath_\infty).$$

Indeed, if $p : (X \times \mathbb{P}^1, W \times \mathbb{P}^1) \to (X,W)$ is the first projection, then

$$F^*(\imath_\epsilon)(a) = F_*(p)F_*(\imath_\epsilon)(\phi([X]) \cup F^*(\imath_\epsilon)(a)) = F_*(p)(F_*(\imath_\epsilon)(\phi([X])) \cup a)$$

for $\epsilon \in \{0,\infty\}$. Since $F_*(\imath_\epsilon)(\phi([X])) = \phi([X \times \{\epsilon\}])$ the claim follows from $[X \times \{0\}] = [X \times \{\infty\}]$ in $\mathrm{CH}^1(X \times \mathbb{P}^1)$.

4th Step: Let $f : X \to Y$ be a closed immersion and $V \subset Y$ a closed subscheme; set $W := f^{-1}(V) = V \times_Y X$. Then f induces $f : (X,W) \to (Y,V)$ in V^* and we claim that

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{CH}(Y,V) \arrow[r, "\mathrm{CH}^*(f)"] \arrow[d, "\phi"] & \mathrm{CH}(X,W) \arrow[d, "\phi"] \\
F(Y,V) \arrow[r, "F^*(f)"] & F(X,W)
\end{tikzcd}$$

is a commutative diagram.

Again, it is sufficient to prove

$$F^*(f)(\phi([V])) = \phi(\mathrm{CH}^*(f))$$

for V integral.

For the proof we use deformation to the normal cone (see [Ful98, §5]). Let

$$\begin{aligned} M^0 &:= \mathrm{Bl}_{X\times\{\infty\}}(Y \times \mathbb{P}^1)\backslash \mathrm{Bl}_{X\times\{\infty\}}(Y \times \{\infty\}), \\ \tilde{M}^0 &:= \mathrm{Bl}_{W\times\{\infty\}}(V \times \mathbb{P}^1)\backslash \mathrm{Bl}_{W\times\{\infty\}}(V \times \{\infty\}), \end{aligned}$$

then $\tilde{M}^0 \subset M^0$ is closed, and $M^0, \tilde{M}^0$ are flat over $\mathbb{P}^1$. We have a closed immersion $\imath_X : X \times \mathbb{P}^1 \to M^0$ resp. $\imath_W : W \times \mathbb{P}^1 \to \tilde{M}^0$ which deforms the immersion $X \subset Y$ resp. $W \subset V$ over $\mathbb{P}^1\backslash\{\infty\}$ to the zero section of the normal cone over ∞.

Since $W \times \mathbb{P}^1 = \tilde{M}^0 \cap (X \times \mathbb{P}^1)$ we obtain morphisms

$$\imath_\epsilon : (X \times \{\epsilon\}, W \times \{\epsilon\}) \to (M^0, \tilde{M}^0)$$

in V^* for $\epsilon \in \{0, \infty\}$. By the 3rd Step we know that $F^*(\imath_0) = F^*(\imath_\infty)$.

Consider the projection $p : (Y \times (\mathbb{P}^1\backslash\{\infty\}), V \times (\mathbb{P}^1\backslash\{\infty\})) \to (Y, V)$ in V^*. Note that $\tilde{M}^0$ is the closure of $V \times (\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{\infty\})$ in M^0, and thus

$$\mathrm{CH}^*(p)([V]) = \mathrm{CH}^*(\jmath)([\tilde{M}^0])$$

with $\jmath : (Y \times (\mathbb{P}^1\backslash\{\infty\}), V \times (\mathbb{P}^1\backslash\{\infty\})) \to (M^0, \tilde{M}^0)$ the open immersion. By using the 1st Step we get $F^*(p)(\phi([V])) = F^*(\jmath)(\phi([\tilde{M}^0]))$ and thus

$$F^*(f)(\phi([V])) = F^*(\imath_0)(\phi([\tilde{M}^0])) = F^*(\imath_\infty)(\phi([\tilde{M}^0])).$$

Now, let us compute $F^*(\imath_\infty)$. The morphism $\imath_\infty$ has a factorization

$$\imath_\infty : (X, W) \xrightarrow{s} (N_{Y/X}, C_{V/W}) \xrightarrow{t} (M^0, \tilde{M}^0),$$

where $N_{Y/X}$ is the normal bundle and $C_{V/W}$ is the normal cone. Note that $N_{Y/X}$ is a smooth divisor in M^0, which intersects $\tilde{M}^0$ properly (being the fiber of $M^0 \to \mathbb{P}^1$ over ∞), so that we may apply (1.2.4.4) to t. Moreover s is the zero section of the normal bundle. The zero section also induces a morphism

$$s' : (X, W) \to (N_{Y/X}, N_{Y/X} \times_X W)$$

in V^*; denote by $\tau : (N_{Y/X}, C_{V/W}) \to (N_{Y/X}, N_{Y/X} \times_X W)$ the morphism in V_* induced by the identity map. Then 1.1.7(4) yields

$$F^*(s) = F^*(s') \circ F_*(\tau).$$

Thus we get

$$\begin{aligned} F^*(\imath_\infty)(\phi([\tilde{M}^0])) &= F^*(s')F_*(\tau)F^*(t)(\phi([\tilde{M}^0])) \\ &= F^*(s')F_*(\tau)(\phi(\mathrm{CH}^*(t)([\tilde{M}^0]))) \quad (1.2.4.4) \\ &= \phi(\mathrm{CH}^*(\imath_\infty)([\tilde{M}^0])) \quad (\text{2nd Step}) \\ &= \phi(\mathrm{CH}^*(\imath_0)([\tilde{M}^0])) = \phi(\mathrm{CH}^*(f)([V])). \end{aligned}$$

5th Step: Let $f : (X, \Phi) \to (Y, \Psi)$ be any morphism in V^*. We have to prove that

$$\phi \circ \mathrm{CH}^*(f) = F^*(f) \circ \phi.$$

Indeed, f factors through

$$f : (X, \Phi) \xrightarrow{(id,f)} (X \times Y, \mathrm{pr}_2^{-1}(\Psi)) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{pr}_2} (Y, \Psi).$$

By the 1st Step we may reduce to the case of the closed immersion (id, f), and by using Proposition 1.1.20 the statement follows from the 4th Step. ☐

1.3. **Correspondences.** Let $(F_*, F^*, T, e) \in \mathbf{T}$. Let $X_i, i = 1, 2, 3$, be smooth varieties and Φ_{ij}, for $ij = 12, 23, 13$, be families of supports on $X_i \times X_j$. Denote by $p_{ij} : X_1 \times X_2 \times X_3 \to X_i \times X_j$ the projection. Suppose that

$$\begin{cases} p_{13} \mid p_{12}^{-1}(\Phi_{12}) \cap p_{23}^{-1}(\Phi_{23}) \text{ is proper,} \\ p_{13}(p_{12}^{-1}(\Phi_{12}) \cap p_{23}^{-1}(\Phi_{23})) \subset \Phi_{13}. \end{cases} \tag{1.3.0.7}$$

Then we define

$$F(X_1 \times X_2, \Phi_{12}) \otimes F(X_2 \times X_3, \Phi_{23}) \to F(X_1 \times X_3, \Phi_{13}), \quad a \otimes b \mapsto b \circ a$$

to be the composition

$$\begin{aligned} &F(X_1 \times X_2, \Phi_{12}) \otimes F(X_2 \times X_3, \Phi_{23}) \xrightarrow{F^*(p_{12}) \otimes F^*(p_{23})} \\ &F(X_1 \times X_2 \times X_3, p_{12}^{-1}(\Phi_{12})) \otimes F(X_1 \times X_2 \times X_3, p_{23}^{-1}(\Phi_{23})) \xrightarrow{\cup} \\ &F(X_1 \times X_2 \times X_3, p_{12}^{-1}(\Phi_{12}) \cap p_{23}^{-1}(\Phi_{23})) \xrightarrow{F_*(p_{13})} F(X_1 \times X_3, \Phi_{13}). \end{aligned} \tag{1.3.0.8}$$

1.3.1. Let Φ'_{ij} for $ij = 12, 23, 13$, be families of supports on $X_i \times X_j$. Suppose that

$$\begin{cases} p_{13} \mid p_{12}^{-1}(\Phi'_{12}) \cap p_{23}^{-1}(\Phi'_{23}) \text{ is proper,} \\ p_{13}(p_{12}^{-1}(\Phi'_{12}) \cap p_{23}^{-1}(\Phi'_{23})) \subset \Phi'_{13}, \end{cases}$$

and $\Phi'_{ij} \subset \Phi_{ij}$ for $ij = 12, 23, 13$. Obviously, the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{tikzcd} F(X_1 \times X_2, \Phi'_{12}) \otimes F(X_2 \times X_3, \Phi'_{23}) \arrow[r, "\circ"] \arrow[d] & F(X_1 \times X_3, \Phi'_{13}) \arrow[d] \\ F(X_1 \times X_2, \Phi_{12}) \otimes F(X_2 \times X_3, \Phi_{23}) \arrow[r, "\circ"] & F(X_1 \times X_3, \Phi_{13}). \end{tikzcd}$$

The most important case for us will be $(\mathrm{CH}_*, \mathrm{CH}^*, \times, 1)$. For later use we record the following particular case of the above discussion.

Lemma 1.3.2. *Let $X_i, i = 1, 2, 3$, be smooth schemes and Φ_{ij}, for $ij = 12, 23, 13$, be families of supports on $X_i \times X_j$, which satisfy* (1.3.0.7)*. For $a \in Z_{\Phi_{12}}(X_1 \times X_2)$ and $b \in Z_{\Phi_{23}}(X_2 \times X_3)$ we define*

$$\mathrm{supp}(a, b) := p_{13}(p_{12}^{-1}(\mathrm{supp}(a)) \cap p_{23}^{-1}(\mathrm{supp}(b))), \tag{1.3.2.1}$$

which is a closed subset contained in Φ_{13}. The families of supports

$$\Phi'_{12} = \Phi_{\mathrm{supp}(a)}, \quad \Phi'_{23} = \Phi_{\mathrm{supp}(b)}, \quad \Phi'_{13} = \Phi_{\mathrm{supp}(a,b)}$$

satisfy (1.3.0.7)*. The cycles a, b define in the obvious way classes*

$$\tilde{a} \in \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{supp}(a)), \quad \tilde{b} \in \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{supp}(b))$$
$$a \in \mathrm{CH}(X_1 \times X_2, \Phi_{12}), \quad b \in \mathrm{CH}(X_2 \times X_3, \Phi_{23}).$$

Then $b \circ a$ is the image of $\tilde{b} \circ \tilde{a}$ via the map

$$\mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{supp}(a, b)) \to \mathrm{CH}(X_1 \times X_3, \Phi_{13}).$$

The Lemma 1.3.2 helps to understand the composition of two cycles a, b via the purely set-theoretic computation of $\mathrm{supp}(a, b)$. Frequently we are able to compute the composition over suitable good open subsets; this is the motivation for the next Lemma.

Lemma 1.3.3. *Let $X_i, i = 1, 2, 3$, be smooth schemes. Let $a \in Z(X_1 \times X_2), b \in Z(X_2 \times X_3)$ be algebraic cycles such that*

$$p_{13} \mid p_{12}^{-1}\mathrm{supp}(a) \cap p_{23}^{-1}\mathrm{supp}(b) \quad \textit{is proper.}$$

Let $X'_1 \subset X_1, X'_3 \subset X_3$ be open subsets; define $a' \in Z(X'_1 \times X_2), b' \in Z(X_2 \times X'_3)$ as the restrictions of a, b. We denote by p'_{ij} the projections from $X'_1 \times X_2 \times X'_3$.

1. *The restriction of p'_{13} to $p'^{-1}_{12}\mathrm{supp}(a') \cap p'^{-1}_{23}\mathrm{supp}(b')$ is proper.*
2. *The equality*
$$\mathrm{supp}(a', b') = \mathrm{supp}(a, b) \cap (X'_1 \times X'_3)$$
holds, where $\mathrm{supp}(a, b)$ is defined in (1.3.2.1).
3. *The composition $b' \circ a'$ is the image of $b \circ a$ via the localization map*
$$\mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{supp}(a, b)) \to \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{supp}(a', b')).$$
(Here $\mathrm{supp}(a', b') \subset \mathrm{supp}(a, b)$ is an open subset by (2)).

Proof. By definition we obtain

$$\mathrm{supp}(a') = \mathrm{supp}(a) \cap (X'_1 \times X_2), \quad \mathrm{supp}(b') = \mathrm{supp}(b) \cap (X_2 \times X'_3).$$

For (1). Let $Z_{12} \subset X_1 \times X_2, Z_{23} \subset X_2 \times X_3$ be closed subsets such that

$$p_{13} \mid p_{12}^{-1} Z_{12} \cap p_{23}^{-1} Z_{23} \quad \text{is proper.}$$

Set $Z'_{12} = Z_{12} \cap (X'_1 \times X_2), Z'_{23} = Z_{23} \cap (X_2 \times X'_3)$. Obviously,

$$p'^{-1}_{12} Z'_{12} \cap p'^{-1}_{23} Z'_{23} = (p_{12}^{-1} Z_{12} \cap p_{23}^{-1} Z_{23}) \cap (X'_1 \times X_2 \times X'_3).$$

Thus, if $p_{12}^{-1} Z_{12} \cap p_{23}^{-1} Z_{23}$ is proper over $X_1 \times X_3$ then $p'^{-1}_{12} Z'_{12} \cap p'^{-1}_{23} Z'_{23}$ is proper over $X'_1 \times X'_3$.

Statement (2) is a straightforward computation. For (3): By using the definition of $\circ$ in (1.3.0.8) it is straightforward to show that the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{CH}(X_1 \times X_2, \mathrm{supp}(a)) \otimes \mathrm{CH}(X_2 \times X_3, \mathrm{supp}(b)) \arrow[r, "\circ"] \arrow[d] & \mathrm{CH}(X_1 \times X_3, \mathrm{supp}(a, b)) \arrow[d] \\
\mathrm{CH}(X'_1 \times X_2, \mathrm{supp}(a')) \otimes \mathrm{CH}(X_2 \times X'_3, \mathrm{supp}(b')) \arrow[r, "\circ"] & \mathrm{CH}(X'_1 \times X'_3, \mathrm{supp}(a', b'))
\end{tikzcd}$$

is commutative. □

1.3.4. For two smooth schemes X, Y and a family Φ (resp. Ψ) of supports of X (resp. Y) we define a family of supports $P(\Phi, \Psi)$ on the product by

$$(1.3.4.1) \quad P(\Phi, \Psi) := \{Z \subset X \times Y; Z \text{ is closed, } \mathrm{pr}_2 \mid Z \text{ is proper, } Z \cap \mathrm{pr}_1^{-1}(W) \in \mathrm{pr}_2^{-1}(\Psi) \text{ for every } W \in \Phi.\}$$

Let $X_i, i = 1, 2, 3$, be smooth schemes and let Φ_i be a family of supports on X_i for $i = 1, 2, 3$. It is easy to see that $\Phi_{ij} := P(\Phi_i, \Phi_j)$ satisfy the condition 1.3.0.7 and therefore

(1.3.4.2)

$$F(X_1 \times X_2, P(\Phi_1, \Phi_2)) \otimes F(X_2 \times X_3, P(\Phi_2, \Phi_3)) \to F(X_1 \times X_3, P(\Phi_1, \Phi_3)),$$

where $a \otimes b \mapsto b \circ a$, is well-defined.

Proposition 1.3.5. (1) *Let* $X_i, i = 1, \dots, 4$, *be a smooth scheme and let* $\Phi_i, i = 1, \dots, 4$, *be a family of supports of* X_i. *For all* $a_{ij} \in F(X_i \times X_j, P(\Phi_i, \Phi_j))$ *the following equality holds*

$$a_{34} \circ (a_{23} \circ a_{12}) = (a_{34} \circ a_{23}) \circ a_{12}.$$

(2) *For any* (X, Φ) *the diagonal immersion induces a morphism* $\imath : X \to (X \times X, P(\Phi, \Phi))$ *in* V_*. *We set*

$$\Delta_{(X,\Phi)} := F_*(\imath)(1_X).$$

The equality $\Delta_{(X,\Phi)} \circ g = g$ *resp.* $g \circ \Delta_{(X,\Phi)} = g$ *holds for all* (Y, Ψ) *and* $g \in F(Y \times X, P(\Psi, \Phi))$ *resp.* $g \in F(X \times Y, P(\Phi, \Psi))$.

Proof. The proof of the first statement is as in [Ful98, Proposition 16.1.1] but one has to keep track of the supports, which is straightforward.

The second statement is an easy computation. □

1.3.6. *Grading.* For (X, Φ) and (Y, Ψ) there are two different gradings on $F(X \times Y, P(\Phi, \Psi))$, coming from F_* and F^*. Unfortunately, neither are compatible with $\circ$ from (1.3.4.2). We define a new grading by

$$F(X \times Y, P(\Phi, \Psi))^i = \bigoplus_{X'} F^{2\dim(X')+i}(X' \times Y, P(\Phi, \Psi)),$$

where X' runs through the connected components of X. With this grading $\circ$ becomes a morphism of graded abelian groups.

By the definition of the grading there are choices. We could also define a grading

$$F(X \times Y, P(\Phi, \Psi))_i = \bigoplus_{X'} F_{2\dim(X')+i}(X' \times Y, P(\Phi, \Psi)).$$

Definition 1.3.7. To an object $F = (F_*, F^*, T, e) \in \mathbf{T}$ we attach the graded additive symmetric monoidal category Cor_F with objects $\mathrm{ob}(Cor_F) = \mathrm{ob}(V_*) = \mathrm{ob}(V^*)$ and morphisms

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{Cor_F}((X, \Phi), (Y, \Psi)) = F(X \times Y, P(\Phi, \Psi))$$

with composition law $a \otimes b \mapsto b \circ a$ (1.3.4.2). The identity is $\Delta_{(X,\Phi)}$.

The product $\otimes$ on Cor_F is defined by

$$(X, \Phi) \otimes (Y, \Psi) := (X \times Y, \Phi \times \Psi),$$

and for two morphisms $f \in F(X \times X', P(\Phi, \Phi')), g \in F(Y \times Y', P(\Psi, \Psi'))$, we define

$$\begin{aligned} f \otimes g &\in \mathrm{Hom}_{Cor_F}((X, \Phi) \otimes (Y, \Psi), (X', \Phi') \otimes (Y', \Psi')) \\ f \otimes g &:= F_*(id_X \times \mu_{X',Y} \times id_{Y'})(T(f \otimes g)), \end{aligned}$$

where $\mu_{X',Y}$ is the permutation of the factors $(X', \Phi'), (Y, \Psi)$.

1.3.8. Given two objects $F, G \in \mathbf{T}$ and a morphism $\phi : F \to G$ in $\mathbf{T}$ we obtain a functor of graded additive symmetric monoidal categories

$$Cor(\phi) : Cor_F \to Cor_G$$

which is given by

$$\phi : F(X \times Y, P(\Phi, \Psi)) \to G(X \times Y, P(\Phi, \Psi))$$

for all $(X, \Phi), (Y, \Psi)$. This provides a functor

$$Cor : \mathbf{T} \to \mathbf{Cat}_{\mathbf{GrAb},\otimes}, \quad F \mapsto Cor_F, \quad \phi \mapsto Cor(\phi).$$

Here, $\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathbf{GrAb},\otimes}$ is the category of graded additive symmetric monoidal categories.

1.3.9. In order to state the properties of Cor it is convenient to introduce the category V with objects $\mathrm{ob}(V) = \mathrm{ob}(V_*) = \mathrm{ob}(V^*)$ and only morphisms the identity id_X (for every $X \in \mathrm{ob}(V)$). There are obvious functors $V \to V_*, V \to V^*$, and $V \to Cor_F$ for all $F \in \mathbf{T}$. We define $\mathbf{Cat}_{V/\mathbf{GrAb},\otimes}$ to be the category with functors $V \to X$ as objects ($X \in \mathbf{Cat}_{\mathbf{GrAb},\otimes}$) and commutative diagrams

(1.3.9.1)
$$\begin{tikzcd} X \arrow[rr, "f"] & & Y, \\ & V \arrow[ul] \arrow[ur] & \end{tikzcd}$$

with $f \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathbf{GrAb},\otimes}}(X, Y)$, as morphisms. In general a functor f is called *under* V if the diagram 1.3.9.1 is commutative.

Proposition 1.3.10. *The functor* $Cor : \mathbf{T} \to \mathbf{Cat}_{V/\mathbf{GrAb},\otimes}$ *is fully faithful.*

Proof. Given $F, G \in \mathbf{T}$ and $\phi : F \to G$ we can recover $\phi : F(X) \to G(X)$, for $X \in \mathrm{ob}(V)$, from the map $Cor(\phi)$:

(1.3.10.1)
$$\mathrm{Hom}_{Cor_F}(\mathrm{Spec}(k), X) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{Cor_G}(\mathrm{Spec}(k), X).$$

On the other hand given $\psi : Cor_F \to Cor_G$ in $\mathbf{Cat}_{V/\mathbf{GrAb},\otimes}$ then 1.3.10.1 defines a morphism $F \to G$ in $\mathbf{T}$. □

1.3.11. For all $F \in \mathbf{T}$ there is a functor

$$\rho_F : Cor_F \to \mathbf{GrAb}$$

defined by

$$\rho_F(X, \Phi) = F(X, \Phi)$$
$$\rho_F(\gamma) = (a \mapsto F_*(\mathrm{pr}_2)(F^*(\mathrm{pr}_1)(a) \cup \gamma)) \quad \text{for } \gamma \in F(X \times Y, P(\Phi, \Psi)).$$

The map $\rho_F(\gamma) : F(X, \Phi) \to F(Y, \Psi)$ is well-defined since $\mathrm{pr}_2 \mid \mathrm{pr}_1^{-1}(\Phi) \cap P(\Phi, \Psi)$ is proper and $\mathrm{pr}_1^{-1}(\Phi) \cap P(\Phi, \Psi) \subset \mathrm{pr}_2^{-1}(\Psi)$ by definition of $P(\Phi, \Psi)$. Functoriality is again a straightforward computation.

Moreover, there are functors

$$\tau_*^F : V_* \to Cor_F, \quad \tau_F^* : (V^*)^{op} \to Cor_F,$$

(under V) such that

$$\rho_F \circ \tau_*^F = F_*, \quad \rho_F \circ \tau_F^* = F^*.$$

The functor $\tau_*^F : V_* \to Cor_F$ is defined by mapping a morphism $f : (X, \Phi) \to (Y, \Psi)$ to $F_*(id, f)(1_X)$ where $(id, f) : X \to (X \times Y, P(\Phi, \Psi))$ is in V_*. Similarly, the functor $\tau_F^*: V^* \to Cor_F$ is defined by mapping a morphism $f: (X, \Phi) \to (Y, \Psi)$ to $F_*(f, id)(1_X)$ with $(f, id): X \to (Y \times X, P(\Psi, \Phi))$ in V_*. The equalities $\rho_F \circ \tau_*^F = F_*$ and $\rho_F \circ \tau_F^* = F^*$ follow easily from the projection formula.

Lemma 1.3.12. *If* $\phi: F \to G$ *is a morphism in* $\mathbf{T}$ *then*

$$\begin{aligned} Cor(\phi) \circ \tau_*^F &= \tau_*^G, \\ Cor(\phi) \circ \tau_F^* &= \tau_G^*. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. For the first equality let $f: (X, \Phi) \to (Y, \Psi)$ be a morphism in V_*. We get

$$\begin{aligned} Cor(\phi)(\tau_*^F(f)) = Cor(\phi)(F_*(id, f)(1_X)) = \phi(F_*(id, f)(1_X)) = \\ G_*(id, f)(\phi(1_X)) = G_*(id, f)(1_X) = \tau_*^G(f). \end{aligned}$$

The second equality is proved in the same way. □

2. Hodge cohomology with support

For a smooth scheme X and a family of supports Φ of X, we define

$$H(X, \Phi) := \bigoplus_{i,j} H^i_\Phi(X, \Omega^j_X),$$

and call this k-vector space the Hodge cohomology of X with support in Φ. We denote by $H^*(X, \Phi)$ the graded abelian group, which in degree n equals

$$H^n(X, \Phi) = \bigoplus_{i+j=n} H^i_\Phi(X, \Omega^j_X). \tag{2.0.12.1}$$

We denote by $H_*(X, \Phi)$ the graded abelian group, which in degree n equals

$$H_n(X, \Phi) = \bigoplus_r H^{2\dim X_r - n}(X_r, \Phi), \tag{2.0.12.2}$$

where $X = \coprod_r X_r$ is the decomposition into connected components. We define

$$e: \mathbb{Z} \to H(\operatorname{Spec} k) = k \tag{2.0.12.3}$$

to be the natural map sending 1 to 1.

The goal of this section is to provide the object functions H_* and H^* with the structure of functors

$$H_*: V_* \to \mathbf{GrAb}, \quad H^*: (V^*)^{op} \to \mathbf{GrAb}$$

and to define for each $(X, \Phi), (Y, \Psi) \in \mathrm{ob}(V_*) = \mathrm{ob}(V^*)$ a morphism of graded abelian groups (for both gradings)

$$T_{(X,\Phi),(Y,\Psi)}: H(X, \Phi) \otimes H(Y, \Psi) \to H(X \times Y, \Phi \times \Psi)$$

such that (H_*, H^*, T, e) is an object in $\mathbf{T}$, i.e. it is a datum as in 1.1.6 and satisfies the properties 1.1.7.

2.1. **Pullback.**

2.1.1. We work in the bounded derived category of quasi-coherent sheaves $D^b(X)$ on a scheme X. (The bounded derived category of coherent sheaves will be denoted by $D^b_c(X)$.) Let $f : X \to Y$ be a morphism of schemes, Φ resp. Ψ a family of supports of X resp. Y. There is an isomorphism of functors

$$R\Gamma_{f^{-1}(\Psi)} \xrightarrow{\cong} R\Gamma_{\Psi} Rf_*. \tag{2.1.1.1}$$

If $\Psi \subset \Psi'$ for another family of supports Ψ' then the diagram

(2.1.1.2)

$$\begin{tikzcd}
R\Gamma_{f^{-1}(\Psi')} \arrow[r, "2.1.1.1"] & R\Gamma_{\Psi'} Rf_* \\
R\Gamma_{f^{-1}(\Psi)} \arrow[r, "2.1.1.1"] \arrow[u] & R\Gamma_{\Psi} Rf_* \arrow[u]
\end{tikzcd}$$

is commutative. Moreover, if $g : Z \to X$ is another morphism of schemes then the following diagram is commutative

(2.1.1.3)

$$\begin{tikzcd}
R\Gamma_{(f\circ g)^{-1}(\Psi)} \arrow[rr, "2.1.1.1 \text{ for } f\circ g"] \arrow[d, "2.1.1.1 \text{ for } g"'] & & R\Gamma_{\Psi} R(f\circ g)_* \\
R\Gamma_{f^{-1}(\Psi)} Rg_*. \arrow[urr, "2.1.1.1 \text{ for } f"'] & &
\end{tikzcd}$$

2.1.2. For a morphism $f : X \to Y$ of schemes we have

$$id \to Rf_* Lf^*,$$

and thus we obtain a morphism of functors

$$R\Gamma_{\Psi} \to R\Gamma_{f^{-1}(\Psi)} Lf^*; \tag{2.1.2.1}$$

it easily follows from 2.1.1.2 that the diagram

(2.1.2.2)

$$\begin{tikzcd}
R\Gamma_{\Psi'} \arrow[r, "2.1.2.1"] & R\Gamma_{f^{-1}(\Psi')} Lf^* \\
R\Gamma_{\Psi} \arrow[r, "2.1.2.1"] \arrow[u] & R\Gamma_{f^{-1}(\Psi)} Lf^* \arrow[u]
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutes for $\Psi \subset \Psi'$. From 2.1.2.2 and 2.1.1.3 it follows that for another morphism $g : Z \to X$ of schemes the following diagram is commutative

(2.1.2.3)

$$\begin{tikzcd}
R\Gamma_{\Psi} \arrow[rr, "2.1.2.1 \text{ for } f"] \arrow[d, "2.1.2.1 \text{ for } f\circ g"'] & & R\Gamma_{f^{-1}(\Psi)} Lf^* \arrow[dll, "2.1.2.1 \text{ for } g"] \\
R\Gamma_{(f\circ g)^{-1}(\Psi)} L(f\circ g)^*. & &
\end{tikzcd}$$

For a morphism $f : (X, \Phi) \to (Y, \Psi)$ in V^* (i.e. $f^{-1}(\Psi) \subset \Phi$) the morphism

$$R\Gamma_{\Psi}\Omega^d_Y \to R\Gamma_{f^{-1}(\Psi)} Lf^*\Omega^d_Y = R\Gamma_{f^{-1}(\Psi)} f^*\Omega^d_Y \to R\Gamma_{f^{-1}(\Psi)}\Omega^d_X \to R\Gamma_{\Phi}\Omega^d_X$$

(for $d \geq 0$) gives a morphism

$$H^*(f) : H(Y, \Psi) \to H(X, \Phi). \tag{2.1.2.4}$$

It is a straightforward computation that $f \mapsto H^*(f)$ defines a functor $(V^*)^{op} \to$ **GrAb**.

2.2. **Push-forward in the derived category.** We recall the following notations from duality theory (see [Har66], [Con00]): Let X be a separated k-scheme of finite type with structure map $\pi : X \to \operatorname{Spec} k$. We have $\pi^! k \in D^b_c(X)$. (In fact if X has dimension d then $\pi^! k$ has non-zero cohomology only in the interval $[-d, 0]$. This follows from [Har66, V, Prop.7.3 and its proof] and [Con00, (3.1.25)].) We denote

$$D_X := R\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}_X(-, \pi^! k) : D^b_c(X) \to D^b_c(X). \tag{2.2.0.5}$$

If $f : X \to Y$ is a *proper* morphism between k-schemes, we have the trace map

$$\mathrm{Tr}_f : Rf_* f^! \to \mathrm{id}, \tag{2.2.0.6}$$

which is a natural transformation of functors on $D^+_c(Y)$. For maps $f : X \to Y$ and $g : Y \to Z$, we have the canonical isomorphisms

$$c_{f,g} : (gf)^! \xrightarrow{\simeq} f^! g^! \quad \text{in } D^+_c(X). \tag{2.2.0.7}$$

Notation 2.2.1. Given a bounded complex C in $D(X)$ and a morphism of complexes $\varphi : A \to B$ in $D(X)$ we will often denote the morphism $R\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}_X(C, \varphi) : R\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}_X(C, A) \to R\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}_X(C, B)$ simply by φ and the morphism $R\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}_X(\varphi, C) : R\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}_X(B, C) \to R\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}_X(A, C)$ by $\varphi^\vee$. It will always be clear from the context what C is in the particular situation.

Definition 2.2.2. Let $f : X \to Y$ be a proper k-morphism. Let π_X and π_Y denote the structure maps of X and Y respectively. Then we define

$$f_* : Rf_* D_X(\Omega^q_X) \to D_Y(\Omega^q_Y), \quad q \geq 0,$$

to be the composition

$$\begin{aligned} Rf_* R\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}_X(\Omega^q_X, \pi^!_X k) &\xrightarrow{c_{f,\pi_Y}} Rf_* R\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}_X(\Omega^q_X, f^! \pi^!_Y k) \\ &\xrightarrow{nat.} R\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}_Y(Rf_*\Omega^q_X, Rf_* f^! \pi^!_Y k) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Tr}_f} R\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}_Y(Rf_*\Omega^q_X, \pi^!_Y k) \\ &\xrightarrow{(f^*)^\vee} R\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}_Y(\Omega^q_Y, \pi^!_Y k). \end{aligned}$$

Remark 2.2.3. (1) Notice that the composition of the middle two arrows in the above composition is just the standard Grothendieck duality isomorphism (see e.g. [Con00, (3.4.10)]) $Rf_* R\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}_X(-, f^!(-)) \xrightarrow{\simeq} R\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}_Y(Rf_*(-), -)$.

(2) It is straightforward to check that the above push-forward also equals the following composition:

$$\begin{aligned} Rf_* D_X(\Omega^q_X) &\xrightarrow{({}^a f^*)^\vee} Rf_* D_X(Lf^*\Omega^q_Y) \xrightarrow{c_{f,\pi_Y}} Rf_* R\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}_X(Lf^*\Omega^q_Y, f^! \pi^!_Y k) \\ &\xrightarrow{\mathrm{adj.}} R\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}_Y(\Omega^q_Y, Rf_* f^! \pi^!_Y k) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Tr}_f} D_Y(\Omega^q_Y). \end{aligned}$$

Here "adj." denotes the isomorphism (see [Har66, II, Prop. 5.10])

$$Rf_* R\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}_X(Lf^*(-), -) \cong R\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}_Y(-, Rf_*(-)) \quad \text{on } D^-_{\mathrm{c}}(Y) \times D^+(X)$$

and ${}^a f^* : Lf^*\Omega^q_Y \to \Omega^q_X$ is the morphism corresponding to $\Omega^q_Y \to Rf_*\Omega^q_X$ under $H^0(Y, -)$ applied to the above isomorphism.

Proposition 2.2.4. (1) $\mathrm{id}_* = \mathrm{id}$.

(2) *Let $f : X \to Y$ and $g : Y \to Z$ be two proper maps with X and Y of pure dimension d_X and d_Y respectively. Then*

$$(g \circ f)_* = g_* \circ Rg_*(f_*) : Rg_* Rf_* D_X(\Omega^q_X) \to D_Z(\Omega^q_Z).$$

(3) *Let*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X' \arrow[r, "u'"] \arrow[d, "f'"'] & X \arrow[d, "f"] \\
Y' \arrow[r, "u"] & Y
\end{tikzcd}$$

be a cartesian diagram with f *proper,* u *étale and* X *of pure dimension* d*, then the following diagram commutes*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
u^*Rf_*D_X(\Omega^q_X) \arrow[r, "u^*(f_*)"] \arrow[d, "\simeq"'] & u^*D_Y(\Omega^q_Y) \arrow[d, "\simeq"] \\
Rf'_*D_X(\Omega^q_{X'}) \arrow[r, "f'_*"] & D_{Y'}(\Omega^q_{Y'}),
\end{tikzcd} \tag{2.2.4.1}$$

where the vertical maps are the natural isomorphisms (in the proof we will make these isomorphisms precise).

Proof. (1) is clear. By [Con00, Lem 3.4.3, (TRA1)] and [Con00, p.139, (VAR1)] we have

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{g\circ f} = \mathrm{Tr}_g \circ Rg_*(\mathrm{Tr}_f) \circ R(g\circ f)_*(c_{f,g}) : Rg_*Rf_*(g\circ f)^! \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathrm{id}. \tag{2.2.4.2}$$

and

$$c_{f,g} \circ c_{g\circ f,h} = f^!(c_{g,h}) \circ c_{f,h\circ g} : (h\circ g\circ f)^! \to f^!g^!h^!, \tag{2.2.4.3}$$

where $h : Z \to W$ is a third map. This implies (2).

Now to make the vertical maps in (3) precise we need some further notations: Let $\beta_u : u^*R\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}(-,-) \xrightarrow{\simeq} R\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}(u^*(-), u^*(-))$, $\alpha : u^*Rf_* \xrightarrow{\simeq} Rf_*u'^*$ and $e_u : u^* \xrightarrow{\simeq} u^!$ be the natural isomorphisms. Then the vertical map on the left of (2.2.4.1) is given by $c^{-1}_{u',\pi_X} \circ e_{u'} \circ \beta_{u'} \circ \alpha$ and the vertical map on the right of (2.2.4.1) is given by $c^{-1}_{u,\pi_Y} \circ e_u \circ \beta_u$. Thus we have to prove

$$c^{-1}_{u,\pi_Y} \circ e_u \circ \beta_u \circ u^*(f_*) = f'_* \circ c^{-1}_{u',\pi_X} \circ e_{u'} \circ \beta_{u'} \circ \alpha. \tag{2.2.4.4}$$

Denote by

$$b_{u,f} : u'^*f^! \xrightarrow{\simeq} f'^!u^*$$

the isomorphism of [Har66, VII, Cor 3.4, (a), 5)] (see also [Con00, (3.3.24)]). Then it is easy (but tedious) to check, that (2.2.4.4) follows from

$$u^*(\mathrm{Tr}_f) = \mathrm{Tr}_{f'} \circ Rf'_*(b_{u,f}) \circ \alpha : u^*Rf_*f^! \longrightarrow Rf'_*f'^!u^*$$

(see [Con00, Lem 3.4.3, (TRA4)]) and the following Lemma. □

Lemma 2.2.5. *Let*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X' \arrow[r, "u'"] \arrow[d, "f'"'] \arrow[dr, "h"] & X \arrow[d, "f"] \\
Y' \arrow[r, "u"] & Y
\end{tikzcd}$$

be a cartesian diagram with u étale. Then the following diagram commutes (notations as above)

$$\begin{tikzcd}
u'^{*}f^{!} \arrow[rr, "b_{u,f}"] \arrow[d, "e_{u'}"'] & & f'^{!}u^{*} \arrow[d, "f'^{!}(e_u)"] \\
u'^{!}f^{!} & h^{!} \arrow[l, "c_{u',f}"'] \arrow[r, "c_{f',u}"] & f'^{!}u^{!}.
\end{tikzcd}$$

Proof of Lemma. We will make extensive use of the notations in [Har66] and [Con00]. All maps and functors involved in the statement are defined, for example, in [Con00, (3.3.6),(3.3.15), (3.3.21), (3.3.25)]. Using these definitions for the residual complex $K = \pi_Y^{\Delta}k$ on Y together with the identity $u^*K = u^{\Delta}K$ and the commutativity of

$$\begin{tikzcd}
u^{*}D_K D_{u^*K} D_{u^*K} \arrow[r, "\eta"] \arrow[d, "\beta_u"'] & u^{*}D_K \arrow[d, "\beta_u"] \\
D_{u^*K}u^{*}D_K D_K \arrow[r, "\eta"] & D_{u^*K}u^{*},
\end{tikzcd}$$

one checks that one is reduced to prove the commutativity of the following diagram:

(2.2.5.1)
$$\begin{tikzcd}
u'^{*}f^{\Delta}K & & f'^{\Delta}u^{*}K \arrow[ll, "d_{u,f}"'] \arrow[d, "f'^{!}(\varphi_u^{-1})"] \\
u'^{\Delta}f^{\Delta}K \arrow[from=u, "\varphi_{u'}^{-1}"'] & h^{\Delta}K \arrow[l, "c_{u',f}"'] \arrow[r, "c_{f',u}"] & f'^{\Delta}u^{\Delta}K.
\end{tikzcd}$$

Here the maps are the analogs in the category of residual complexes of the maps in the lemma (see [Har66, IV, Thm 3.1, Thm 5.5]). Since we work with actual complexes now, the commutativity of the above diagram is a local question. Thus take $U \subset X$ open such that $f_{|U}$ factors as $U \xrightarrow{i} P \xrightarrow{p} Y$ with i a closed immersion and p smooth. Then $f'_{|U'}$ also factors as $U' \xrightarrow{i'} P' \xrightarrow{p'} Y'$. By the construction of f^{Δ} in the proof of [Har66, VI, Thm 3.1, a)] we have $f^{\Delta} = i^{y}p^{z}$ and also $f'^{\Delta} = i'^{y}p'^{z}$. Now by [Har66, VI, Thm 5.5, 2)] we have

$$c_{p,i} \circ d_{u,f} = d_{u_P,i} \circ i'^{\Delta}(d_{u,p}) \circ c_{p',i'},$$

with u_P being the base change of u by $p : P \to Y$. This equality implies that it is sufficient to prove the commutativity of (2.2.5.1) for i and p separately. Thus we are reduced to consider the two cases f is finite or smooth. The case f smooth is immediate, the case f finite follows from [Con00, Thm 3.3.1, 2. (VAR4)]. ☐

Remark 2.2.6. (1) Let $\pi : X \to \operatorname{Spec} k$ be smooth of pure dimension d. Then there is a canonical isomorphism $\pi^{!}k \cong \Omega_X^d[d] =: \omega_X[d]$. More general for any $j \geq 0$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have the isomorphism

(2.2.6.1)
$$\Omega_X^j[n] \xrightarrow{\simeq} D_X(\Omega_X^{d-j})[n-d],$$

which is defined to be the composition of

$$\Omega_X^j[n] \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}_X(\Omega_X^{d-j}, \Omega_X^d)[n], \quad \alpha \mapsto (\beta \mapsto \alpha \wedge \beta)$$

(notice that we make the choice of a sign here) with

$$\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}_X(\Omega_X^{d-j}, \Omega_X^d)[n] = \mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}^{\bullet}(\Omega_X^{d-j}, \Omega_X^d[d])[n-d] \cong R\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}(\Omega_X^{d-j}, \pi^{!}k)[n-d].$$

(2) Let X be a k-scheme of pure dimension d and $U \subset X$ a smooth open subscheme, then we have for all $j \geq 0$
$$\Omega^j_U \simeq \mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}^\bullet_U(\Omega^{d-j}_U, \Omega^d_U[d])[-d] \simeq D_X(\Omega^{d-j}_X)_{|U}[-d],$$
where the first isomorphism is as in (1) and the second is given by restriction (or to be more precise, first use the isomorphism $\Omega^d_U[d] \simeq \pi^!_U k$ and then the vertical isomorphism on the right in (2.2.4.1) with $U \hookrightarrow X$ instead of $u : Y' \to Y$).

Lemma 2.2.7. *Let $\pi_X : X \to \operatorname{Spec} k$ be proper of pure dimension d_X and $\pi_Y : Y \to \operatorname{Spec} k$ smooth of pure dimension d_Y. We denote by $\mathrm{pr}_2 : X \times Y \to Y$ the projection (it is proper) and set $d := \dim(X \times Y)$. Then for all $j \geq 0$ there is a morphism in $D^+_{\mathrm{c}}(X \times Y)$*
$$\gamma : \mathrm{pr}_2^!(\mathcal{O}_Y) \otimes (\mathrm{pr}_2^*\Omega^{j-d_X}_Y[d_Y]) \longrightarrow D_{X\times Y}(\mathrm{pr}_2^*\Omega^{d-j}_Y)$$
satisfying the following conditions:

(1) *For $U \subset X$ open and smooth denote by $p_2 : U \times X \to Y$ the restriction of pr_2. Then $\gamma \mid U \times Y$ is the composition*
$$\begin{aligned}(\mathrm{pr}_2^!(\mathcal{O}_Y) \otimes \mathrm{pr}_2^*\Omega^{j-d_X}_Y[d_Y])_{|U\times Y} &\xrightarrow{\simeq} \Omega^{d_X}_{U\times Y/Y}[d_X] \otimes p_2^*\Omega^{j-d_X}_Y[d_Y]\\ &\xrightarrow{\simeq} \Omega^{d_X}_{U\times Y/Y}[d_X] \otimes p_2^* R\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}_Y(\Omega^{d-j}_Y, \Omega^{d_Y}_Y[d_Y]) \xrightarrow{\simeq\, nat.} D_{U\times Y}(p_2^*\Omega^{d-j}_Y).\end{aligned}$$
Here the last isomorphism is induced by the composition of the canonical isomorphisms $\Omega^{d_X}_{U\times Y/Y}[d_X] \otimes p_2^*\Omega^{d_Y}_Y[d_Y] \cong \Omega^d_{U\times Y}[d] \cong \pi^!_{U\times Y}(k)$.

(2) *The following diagram commutes:*
$$\begin{tikzcd}
R\mathrm{pr}_{2*}(\mathrm{pr}_2^!(\mathcal{O}_Y) \otimes \mathrm{pr}_2^*\Omega^{j-d_X}_Y[d_Y]) \arrow[rr, "\gamma"] \arrow[d, "proj.\ formula"'] & & R\mathrm{pr}_{2*}D_{X\times Y}(\mathrm{pr}_2^*\Omega^{d-j}_Y) \arrow[d] \\
R\mathrm{pr}_{2*}(\mathrm{pr}_2^!(\mathcal{O}_Y)) \otimes \Omega^{j-d_X}_Y[d_Y] \arrow[dr, "\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathrm{pr}_2}\otimes\mathrm{id}"'] & & R\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}_Y(\Omega^{d-j}_Y, \pi^!_Y k) \\
 & \Omega^{j-d_X}_Y[d_Y], \arrow[ur, "\simeq", "(2.2.6.1)"'] &
\end{tikzcd}$$
where the vertical map on the right is $\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathrm{pr}_2} \circ \mathrm{adjunction} \circ c_{\mathrm{pr}_2,\pi_Y}$.

Proof. In [Con00, (4.3.12)] is defined a map
$$e_{\mathrm{pr}_2} : \mathrm{pr}_2^!(\mathcal{O}_Y) \otimes^L \mathrm{pr}_2^*\pi^!_Y k \longrightarrow \mathrm{pr}_2^!\pi^!_Y k$$
such that

(2.2.7.1)
$$\begin{tikzcd}
(\mathrm{pr}_2^!(\mathcal{O}_Y) \otimes^L \mathrm{pr}_2^*\pi^!_Y k)_{|U\times Y} \arrow[r, "e_{\mathrm{pr}_2}|U\times Y"] & (\mathrm{pr}_2^!\pi^!_Y k)_{|U\times Y} \\
\Omega^{d_X}_{U\times Y/Y}[d_X] \otimes p_2^*\Omega^{d_Y}_Y[d_Y] \arrow[u, "\simeq"'] \arrow[r, "\simeq"] & \Omega^d_{U\times Y}[d] \arrow[u, "\simeq"]
\end{tikzcd}$$
commutes, where the vertical map on the left is the composition of the canonical isomorphism $\Omega^d_{U\times Y}[d] \cong \pi^!_{U\times Y}(k)$ with $c_{p_2,\pi_Y} : \pi^!_{U\times Y} \cong p_2^!\pi^!_Y$. Furthermore by [Con00, Thm 4.4.1] the following diagram commutes:

(2.2.7.2)
$$\begin{tikzcd}
R\mathrm{pr}_{2*}(\mathrm{pr}_2^!\mathcal{O}_Y \otimes^L \mathrm{pr}_2^*\pi_Y^! k) \arrow[r, "e_{\mathrm{pr}_2}"] \arrow[d, "\text{proj. formula}"'] & R\mathrm{pr}_{2*}\mathrm{pr}_2^!(\pi_Y^! k) \arrow[d, "\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathrm{pr}_2}"] \\
R\mathrm{pr}_{2*}(\mathrm{pr}_2^!\mathcal{O}_Y) \otimes^L \pi_Y^! k \arrow[r, "\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathrm{pr}_2}\otimes\mathrm{id}"'] & \pi_Y^! k.
\end{tikzcd}$$

We define γ to be the composition

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{pr}_2^!(\mathcal{O}_Y) \otimes \mathrm{pr}_2^*\Omega_Y^{j-d_X}[d_Y] \quad & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}\otimes(2.2.6.1)} \quad \mathrm{pr}_2^!(\mathcal{O}_Y) \otimes \mathrm{pr}_2^* R\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}_Y(\Omega_Y^{d-j}, \pi_Y^! k) \\
& \xrightarrow{nat.} \quad R\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}(\mathrm{pr}_2^*\Omega_Y^{d-j}, \mathrm{pr}_2^!(\mathcal{O}_Y) \otimes^L \mathrm{pr}_2^*\pi_Y^! k) \\
& \xrightarrow{c^{-1}_{\mathrm{pr}_2,\pi_Y}\circ e_{\mathrm{pr}_2}} \quad D_{X\times Y}(\mathrm{pr}_2^*\Omega_Y^{d-j}).
\end{aligned}$$

It follows from (2.2.7.1) and (2.2.7.2), that γ satisfies (1) and (2). □

Proposition 2.2.8. *Let $i : X \hookrightarrow Y$ be a closed immersion of pure codimension c between smooth k-schemes of pure dimension d_X and d_Y, respectively. Then for all $q \geq 0$*

$$R\underline{\Gamma}_X \Omega_Y^q[c] \cong \mathcal{H}_X^c(\Omega_Y^q) \quad \text{in } D^b_{\mathrm{qc}}(\mathcal{O}_Y).$$

Suppose further the ideal sheaf of X in $\mathcal{O}_Y$ is generated by a sequence $t = t_1, \ldots, t_c$ of global sections of $\mathcal{O}_Y$. Define a morphism i_X^q by

$$i_X^q : i_*\Omega_X^q \to \mathcal{H}_X^c(\Omega_Y^{c+q}), \quad \alpha \mapsto (-1)^c \begin{bmatrix} dt\tilde{\alpha} \\ t \end{bmatrix},$$

where $\tilde{\alpha} \in \Omega_Y^q$ is any lift of α and $dt = dt_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge dt_c$. (Here we use the notation of A.1.) Then the following diagram commutes in $D^b_{\mathrm{qc}}(\mathcal{O}_Y)$
(2.2.8.1)

$$\begin{tikzcd}
i_*\Omega_X^q \arrow[r, "(2.2.6.1)"] \arrow[dr, "i_X^q"'] & i_*D_X(\Omega_X^{d_X-q})[-d_X] \arrow[r, "i_*"] & D_Y(\Omega_Y^{d_X-q})[-d_X] \arrow[r, "(2.2.6.1)"] & \Omega_Y^{c+q}[c] \\
& \mathcal{H}_X^c(\Omega_Y^{c+q}) \arrow[r, "\simeq"] & R\underline{\Gamma}_X(\Omega_Y^{c+q})[c]. \arrow[ur] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Proof. The first statement is well-known (see also Lemma A.2.1). It remains to prove the commutativity of (2.2.8.1). Let $\pi_X : X \to \mathrm{Spec}k$ and $\pi_Y : Y \to \mathrm{Spec}k$ be the structure maps. By Definition 2.2.2 the top row in (2.2.8.1) is given by the following composition in $D^b_{\mathrm{qc}}(\mathcal{O}_Y)$

$$\begin{aligned}
i_*\Omega_X^q \quad & \xrightarrow{(2.2.6.1)} \quad i_*R\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}(\Omega_X^{d_X-q}, \pi_X^! k)[-d_X] \\
& \xrightarrow{c_{i,\pi_Y}} \quad i_*R\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}(\Omega_X^{d_X-q}, i^!\pi_Y^! k)[-d_X] \\
& \xrightarrow{\text{nat.}} \quad R\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}(i_*\Omega_X^{d_X-q}, i_*i^!\pi_Y^! k)[-d_X] \\
& \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Tr}_i} \quad R\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}(i_*\Omega_X^{d_X-q}, \pi_Y^! k)[-d_X] \\
& \xrightarrow{(i^*)^\vee} \quad R\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}(\Omega_Y^{d_X-q}, \pi_Y^! k)[-d_X] \\
& \xrightarrow{(2.2.6.1)^{-1}} \quad \Omega_Y^{c+q}[c].
\end{aligned}$$

We set $\imath_X := \imath_X^{d_X}$. Then it follows from Lemma A.2.2 and the definition of (2.2.6.1), that the above composition equals

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.2.8.2) \qquad i_*\Omega^q_X &\xrightarrow{\text{multipl.}} i_*\mathcal{H}om(\Omega_X^{d_X-q}, \Omega_X^{d_X})\\
&\xrightarrow{\text{nat.}} \mathcal{H}om(i_*\Omega_X^{d_X-q}, i_*\Omega_X^{d_X})\\
&\xrightarrow{\imath_X} \mathcal{H}om(i_*\Omega_X^{d_X-q}, \mathcal{H}^c_X(\Omega_Y^{d_Y}))\\
&\xrightarrow{(i^*)^\vee} \mathcal{H}om(\Omega_Y^{d_X-q}, \mathcal{H}^c_X(\Omega_Y^{d_Y}))\\
&\xrightarrow{(*)} \mathcal{H}om^\bullet(\Omega_Y^{d_X-q}, \Omega_Y^{d_Y}[c])\\
&\xrightarrow{\text{multipl.}^{-1}} \Omega_Y^{c+q}[c],
\end{aligned}$$

where $(*)$ is induced by $\mathcal{H}^c_X(\Omega_Y^{d_Y}) \cong R\underline{\Gamma}_X(\Omega_Y^{d_Y})[c] \to \Omega_Y^{d_Y}[c]$. There is a natural isomorphism $\varphi : \mathcal{H}^c_X(\Omega_Y^{c+q}) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathcal{H}om(\Omega_Y^{d_X-q}, \mathcal{H}^c_X(\Omega_Y^{d_Y}))$ coming from the isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H}om(\Omega_Y^{d_X-q}, \mathcal{H}^c_X(\Omega_Y^{d_Y})) &\cong R\mathcal{H}om(\Omega_Y^{d_X-q}, R\underline{\Gamma}_X(\Omega_Y^{d_Y})[c])\\
&\cong R\underline{\Gamma}_X(R\mathcal{H}om(\Omega_Y^{d_X-q}, \Omega_Y^{d_Y}))[c] \cong R\underline{\Gamma}_X(\Omega_Y^{c+q})[c]\\
&\cong \mathcal{H}^c_X(\Omega_Y^{c+q}).
\end{aligned}$$

This isomorphism is explicitly given by

$$\varphi : \mathcal{H}^c_X(\Omega_Y^{c+q}) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathcal{H}om(\Omega_Y^{d_X-q}, \mathcal{H}^c_X(\Omega_Y^{d_Y})), \qquad \begin{bmatrix}\alpha\\ t^n\end{bmatrix} \mapsto \left(\beta \mapsto \begin{bmatrix}\alpha\beta\\ t^n\end{bmatrix}\right).$$

The composition (2.2.8.2) equals

$$i_*\Omega^q_X \xrightarrow{\varphi^{-1}\circ(i^*)^\vee\circ\imath_X\circ(\text{nat.})\circ(\text{multipl.})} \mathcal{H}^c_X(\Omega_Y^{c+q}) \cong R\underline{\Gamma}_X(\Omega_Y^{c+q})[c] \to \Omega_Y^{c+q}[c].$$

It is straightforward to check that $\imath^q_X = \varphi^{-1}\circ(i^*)^\vee\circ\imath_X\circ(\text{nat.})\circ(\text{multipl.})$ and this implies the commutativity of (2.2.8.1). □

Corollary 2.2.9. *Assume we have a cartesian square*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X' \arrow[r, hook, "i'"] \arrow[d, "g_X"'] & Y' \arrow[d, "g_Y"]\\
X \arrow[r, hook, "i"] & Y,
\end{tikzcd}$$

in which X, X', Y, Y' are smooth of pure dimension $d_X, d_{X'}, d_Y, d_{Y'}$, i is a closed immersion and we have $c := d_Y - d_X = d_{Y'} - d_{X'}$. Then for all $q \geq 0$ the following diagram commutes in $D^b_{\mathrm{qc}}(Y)$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
i_*Rg_{X*}\Omega^q_{X'} = Rg_{Y*}i'_*\Omega^q_{X'} \arrow[r] & Rg_{Y*}\Omega^{c+q}_{Y'}[c]\\
i_*\Omega^q_X \arrow[u, "g_X^*"] \arrow[r] & \Omega_Y^{c+q}[c], \arrow[u, "g_Y^*"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the lower horizontal morphism is given by the composition

$$i_*\Omega^q_X \xrightarrow{(2.2.6.1)} i_*D_X(\Omega_X^{d_X-q})[-d_X] \xrightarrow{i_*} D_Y(\Omega_Y^{d_X-q})[-d_X] \xrightarrow{(2.2.6.1)} \Omega_Y^{c+q}[c]$$

and the upper horizontal morphism by Rg_{Y*} applied to the analogous map for i'.

Proof. Since $R\underline{\Gamma}_X Rg_{Y*} = Rg_{Y*}R\underline{\Gamma}_{X'}$ we naturally have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
Rg_{Y*}\mathcal{H}^c_{X'}(\Omega^{c+q}_{Y'}) \arrow[r, "\simeq"] & Rg_{Y*}R\underline{\Gamma}_{X'}(\Omega^{c+q}_{Y'})[c] \arrow[r] & Rg_{Y*}(\Omega^{c+q}_{Y'})[c] \\
\mathcal{H}^c_X(\Omega^{c+q}_Y) \arrow[u, "g^*_Y"] \arrow[r, "\simeq"] & R\underline{\Gamma}_X(\Omega^{c+q}_Y)[c] \arrow[u, "g^*_Y"] \arrow[r] & \Omega^{c+q}_Y[c], \arrow[u, "g^*_Y"]
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the g^*_Y on the very left is defined in such a way that the left square commutes. By Proposition 2.2.8 it thus suffices to prove the commutativity of

$$\begin{tikzcd}
i_*g_{X*}\Omega^q_{X'} = g_{Y*}i'_*\Omega^q_{X'} \arrow[r, "\imath^q_{X'}"] & g_{Y*}\mathcal{H}^c_{X'}(\Omega^{c+q}_{Y'}) \\
i_*\Omega^q_X \arrow[u, "g^*_X"] \arrow[r, "\imath^q_X"] & \mathcal{H}^c_X(\Omega^{c+q}_Y). \arrow[u, "g^*_Y"]
\end{tikzcd}$$

This is a local question, we may therefore assume that the ideal of X in Y is generated by a sequence $t_1, \dots, t_c$ of global sections of $\mathcal{O}_Y$. Then $g^*_Y t_1, \dots, g^*_Y t_c$ is a sequence of global sections of $\mathcal{O}_{Y'}$, which generate the ideal sheaf of X' in Y'. Hence the assumption follows from the explicit description of $\imath^q_X$ and $\imath^q_{X'}$ in Proposition 2.2.8. □

Proposition 2.2.10. *Let $f : X \to Y$ be a finite and surjective morphism between smooth schemes, which are both of pure dimension n. We denote by*

$$\tau_f : \oplus_q f_*\Omega^q_X \to \oplus_q \Omega^q_Y$$

the composition

$$\oplus_q f_*\Omega^q_X \xrightarrow{(2.2.6.1)} \oplus_q f_*D_X(\Omega^{n-q}_X) \xrightarrow{f_*} \oplus_q D_Y(\Omega^{n-q}_Y) \xrightarrow{(2.2.6.1)} \oplus_q \Omega^q_Y.$$

Then:

1. *In degree 0 the map τ_f equals the usual trace on the finite and locally free $\mathcal{O}_Y$-module $f_*\mathcal{O}_X$, $Tr_{X/Y} : f_*\mathcal{O}_X \to \mathcal{O}_Y$.*
2. *For $\alpha \in f_*\Omega^a_X$ and $\beta \in \Omega^b_Y$ we have*
$$\tau_f(\alpha f^*\beta) = \tau_f(\alpha)\beta$$
3. *The composition $\tau_f \circ f^* : \oplus_q \Omega^q_Y \to \oplus_q \Omega^q_Y$ equals multiplication with the degree of f.*

Proof. All statements are local in Y. We may therefore assume, that f factors as $X \xrightarrow{i} P \xrightarrow{\pi} Y$, where i is a regular closed immersion of pure codimension d and π is smooth of relative dimension d; further we may assume that the ideal sheaf of X in P is generated by d global sections $t_1, \dots, t_d$ of $\mathcal{O}_P$. Then in degree n the map τ_f equals the trace map $\tau^n_f : f_*\omega_X \to \omega_Y$ from A.3.1 and in degree q the map τ_f thus equals the composition

$$\begin{aligned} f_*\Omega^q_X \cong f_*\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}_X(\Omega^{n-q}_X, \omega_X) &\xrightarrow{\text{nat.}} \mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}_Y(f_*\Omega^{n-q}_X, f_*\omega_X) \\ &\xrightarrow{\tau^n_f} \mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}(f_*\Omega^{n-q}_X, \omega_Y) \xrightarrow{\circ f^*} \mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}(\Omega^{n-q}_Y, \omega_Y) \cong \Omega^q_Y. \end{aligned}$$

Thus for $\alpha \in f_*\Omega^q_X$ Lemma A.3.2 gives the following formula for $\tau_f(\alpha)$: In

$$i^*\Omega^{d+q}_P = \bigoplus_{r+s=d+q} i^*(\Omega^r_{P/Y}) \otimes f^*\Omega^s_Y$$

write

$$i^*(dt_d \wedge \ldots \wedge dt_1 \wedge \tilde{\alpha}) = \sum_{r+s=d+q} \sum_j i^*\gamma_{j,r} \otimes f^*\beta_{j,s}, \quad \gamma_{j,r} \in \Omega^r_{P/Y},\ \beta_{j,s} \in \Omega^s_Y,$$

where $\tilde{\alpha} \in \Omega^q_P$ is a lift of α. Then

$$\tau_f(\alpha) = (-1)^{d(d-1)/2} \sum_j \mathrm{Res}_{P/Y}\begin{bmatrix} \gamma_{j,d} \\ t_1, \ldots, t_d \end{bmatrix} \beta_{j,q} \in \Omega^q_Y. \tag{2.2.10.1}$$

This formula immediately implies (2). For any $a \in \mathcal{O}_X$ we have

$$\tau_f(a) = (-1)^{d(d-1)/2}\mathrm{Res}_{P/Y}\begin{bmatrix} \tilde{a}dt_d \wedge \ldots \wedge dt_1 \\ t_1, \ldots, t_d \end{bmatrix} = \mathrm{Res}_{P/Y}\begin{bmatrix} \tilde{a}dt_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge dt_d \\ t_1, \ldots, t_d \end{bmatrix},$$

which equals $\mathrm{Tr}_{X/Y}(a)$ by [Con00, p.240, (R6)], hence (1). Finally (3) is a direct consequence of (1) and (2). □

Remark 2.2.11. The trace map from Proposition 2.2.10 and its properties are well-known, see e.g. [Kun86, §16], where the trace is considered in a much greater generality. But there the construction is done via an ad hoc method not using the duality formalism. Therefore the connection to the trace map above is not a priori clear.

2.3. **Push-forward for Hodge cohomology with support.**

Definition 2.3.1. Let $f : (X, \Phi) \to (Y, \Psi)$ be a morphism in V_* with X equidimensional. We define a compactification of f to be a factorization

$$f = \bar{f} \circ j : (X, \Phi) \hookrightarrow (\bar{X}, \Phi) \longrightarrow (Y, \Psi),$$

where $\bar{X}$ is equidimensional (but possibly singular), j is an open immersion and $\bar{f}$ is proper. Notice that since $f \mid \Phi$ is proper, Φ is also a family of supports on $\bar{X}$. The compactification will be denoted by $(j, \bar{f})$.

By Nagata's compactification theorem (see, e.g. [Con07]) any f in V_* admits a compactification.

Definition 2.3.2 (Push-forward)**.** Let $f : (X, \Phi) \to (Y, \Psi)$ be a morphism in V_* and assume that X and Y are of pure dimension d_X and d_Y respectively and set $r := d_X - d_Y$. Let

$$(X, \Phi) \xrightarrow{j} (\bar{X}, \Phi) \xrightarrow{\bar{f}} (Y, \Psi),$$

be a compactification of f. We define the push-forward

$$H_*(f) : H(X, \Phi) \longrightarrow H(Y, \Psi)$$

as the following composition:

$$H(X, \Phi) \simeq \bigoplus_{i,j} H^i_\Phi(\bar{X}, D_{\bar{X}}(\Omega^{d_X-j}_{\bar{X}})[-d_X]) \xrightarrow{\text{nat.}} \bigoplus_{i,j} H^{i-d_X}_{\bar{f}^{-1}(\Psi)}(\bar{X}, D_{\bar{X}}(\Omega^{d_X-j}_{\bar{X}}))$$

$$\xrightarrow{\oplus \bar{f}^j_*} \bigoplus_{i,j} H^{i-d_X}_\Psi(Y, D_Y(\Omega^{d_X-j}_Y)) \xrightarrow{\simeq (2.2.6.1)} \bigoplus_{i,j} H^{i-r}_\Psi(Y, \Omega^{j-r}_Y) = H(Y, \Psi),$$

where the first isomorphism is the composition of (2.2.6.1) for $n = 0$ with the excision isomorphism. Notice that we obtain a morphism of graded abelian groups $H_*(f) : H_*(X, \Phi) \to H_*(Y, \Psi)$, see (2.0.12.2).

This definition is independent of the chosen compactification.

We extend the definition to the case of non-equidimensional X and Y additively.

Proof. We have to prove the independence of $H_*(f)$ from the chosen compactification. Let

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X \arrow[r, "j_2"] \arrow[d, "j_1"'] & X_2 \arrow[d, "f_2"] \\
X_1 \arrow[ur, "g"] \arrow[r, "f_1"'] & Y
\end{tikzcd}$$

be a commutative diagram with $d := \dim X_1 = \dim X_2 = d_X$, j_1 and j_2 open and f_1, f_2 proper. Notice that g is automatically proper. Then the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& H^{i-d}_{\Phi}(D_{X_2}(\Omega^{d-j}_{X_2})) \arrow[r] & H^{i-d}_{f_2^{-1}(\Psi)}(D_{X_2}(\Omega^{d-j}_{X_2})) \arrow[dr, "f_{2*}"] & \\
H^i_{\Phi}(\Omega^j_X) \arrow[ur, "\simeq"] \arrow[dr, "\simeq"'] & & & H^{i-d}_{\Psi}(D_Y(\Omega^{d-j}_Y)). \\
& H^{i-d}_{\Phi}(D_{X_1}(\Omega^{d-j}_{X_1})) \arrow[r] \arrow[uu, "g_*"'] & H^{i-d}_{f_1^{-1}(\Psi)}(D_{X_1}(\Omega^{d-j}_{X_1})) \arrow[uu, "g_*"] \arrow[ur, "f_{1*}"'] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Indeed, the left triangle commutes since $g_* \mid X = \mathrm{id}_*$ by 2.2.4, (3), the square in the middle obviously commutes and the triangle on the right commutes by 2.2.4, (2).

Two arbitrary compactifications of f always receive a map from a third one and thus the general case follows from the case above.

□

Proposition 2.3.3. (1) $H_*(\mathrm{id}) = \mathrm{id}$.

(2) *Let* $f : (X, \Phi) \to (Y, \Psi)$ *and* $g : (Y, \Psi) \to (Z, \Xi)$ *be two morphisms in* V_*. *Then*

$$H_*(g \circ f) = H_*(g) \circ H_*(f) : H(X, \Phi) \longrightarrow H(Z, \Xi).$$

(3) *If* $f : (X, \Phi) \to (Y, \Psi)$ *in* V_* *is finite, then* $H_*(f)$ *is induced by the trace map* τ_f *from Proposition 2.2.10.*

Proof. (1) follows from 2.2.4, (1). Now for (2) we may assume that X, Y, Z are connected. Let (j_X, f_1) and (j_Y, g_1) be compactifications of f and g, respectively. Let (j_{X_1}, f_2) be a compactification of $j_Y \circ f_1$. Thus we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X_2 \arrow[dr, "f_2"] & & \\
X_1 \arrow[u, "j_{X_1}"] \arrow[dr, "f_1"] & Y_1 \arrow[dr, "g_1"] & \\
X \arrow[u, "j_X"] \arrow[r, "f"'] & Y \arrow[u, "j_Y"'] \arrow[r, "g"'] & Z,
\end{tikzcd}$$

with vertical arrows open immersions and diagonal arrows proper. Replacing X_1 by $f_2^{-1}(Y)$, we may assume that the parallelogram is cartesian. Now by 2.2.4, (3) the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H^i_{f_2^{-1}(\Psi)}(D_{X_2}(\Omega^j_{X_2})) \arrow[r, "f_{2*}"] \arrow[d, "\simeq"] & H^i_\Psi(D_{Y_1}(\Omega^j_{Y_1})) \arrow[d, "\simeq"] \\
H^i_{f_1^{-1}(\Psi)}(D_{X_1}(\Omega^j_{X_1})) \arrow[r, "f_{1*}"] & H^i_\Psi(D_Y(\Omega^j_Y)).
\end{tikzcd}$$

Thus (2) follows from 2.2.4, (2). (3) follows immediately from the definitions. ☐

Lemma 2.3.4. *Consider a cartesian diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
(X \times Y', \Phi') \arrow[r, "f'"] \arrow[d, "g_{X\times Y}"'] & (Y', \Psi') \arrow[d, "g_Y"] \\
(X \times Y, \Phi) \arrow[r, "f"] & (Y, \Psi),
\end{tikzcd}$$

such that f is induced by the projection to Y, $f, f' \in V_*$ and $g_{X\times Y}, g_Y \in V^*$. Then

$$H^*(g_Y) \circ H_*(f) = H_*(f') \circ H^*(g_{X\times Y}).$$

Furthermore, $H_*(f) : H(X \times Y, \Phi) \to H(Y, \Psi)$ factors over the projection $H(X \times Y, \Phi) \to \oplus_{i,j} H^i_\Phi(X \times Y, \mathrm{pr}_1^*\Omega^{d_X}_X \otimes \mathrm{pr}_2^*\Omega^j_Y)$.

Proof. We may assume X and Y of pure dimension d_X and d_Y, respectively and we set $d := d_X + d_Y$. We embed X as an open in a proper k-scheme $\bar{X}$ of pure dimension d_X. Then $(X \times Y, \Phi) \xrightarrow{j} (\bar{X} \times Y, \Phi) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{pr}_2} (Y, \Psi)$ is a compactification of f, where j is the open embedding and pr_2 is induced by the projection to Y. Similar we obtain a compactification for f', in which case we write pr_2' for the projection to Y'. The second statement of the lemma follows from Definition 2.3.2, Remark 2.2.3, (2) and the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Omega^j_{X\times Y} \arrow[r, "\simeq"] \arrow[d, "\text{projection}"'] & D_{X\times Y}(\Omega^{d-j}_{X\times Y})[-d] \arrow[d, "(\mathrm{pr}_2^*)^\vee"] \\
\mathrm{pr}_1^*\Omega^{d_X}_X \otimes \mathrm{pr}_2^*\Omega^{j-d_X}_Y \arrow[r, "\simeq"] & D_{X\times Y}(\mathrm{pr}_2^*\Omega^{d-j}_Y)[-d].
\end{tikzcd}$$

Now we come to the first statement of the lemma. Consider the following diagram (we use a shortened notation):

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & H^{i-d}_{\mathrm{pr}_2^{-1}(\Psi)}(D_{\bar{X}\times Y}(\Omega^{j-d}_{\bar{X}\times Y})) \arrow[d, "(\mathrm{pr}_2^*)^\vee"] \arrow[dr, "\mathrm{pr}_{2*}"] & \\
H^i_\Phi(\Omega^j_{X\times Y}) \arrow[ur] \arrow[r] \arrow[dr] & H^{i-d}_{\mathrm{pr}_2^{-1}(\Psi)}(D_{\bar{X}\times Y}(\mathrm{pr}_2^*\Omega^{j-d}_Y)) \arrow[r] & H^{i-d_X}_\Psi(\Omega^{j-d_X}_Y), \\
 & H^{i-d}_{\mathrm{pr}_2^{-1}(\Psi)}(\mathrm{pr}_2^!(\mathcal{O}_Y) \otimes \mathrm{pr}_2^*\Omega^{j-d_X}_Y[d_Y]) \arrow[u, "\gamma"] \arrow[ur] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

here we use the notation of Lemma 2.2.7, furthermore the upper map on the left is induced by excision, the middle and the lower map on the left are induced by projection and excision and the middle and the lower map on the right are induced by the corresponding maps from Lemma 2.2.7, (2). It follows from Lemma 2.2.7 and Remark 2.2.3, (2) that all the triangles in this diagram commute. Replacing Y by Y' and pr_2 by pr_2' we obtain a similar commutative diagram. Thus it remains to show that the following diagram is commutative:
(2.3.4.1)

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H^i_{\Phi}(\Omega^j_{X\times Y}) \arrow[r, "\text{proj.}"] \arrow[d, "H^*(g_{X\times Y})"] & H^{i-d}_{\mathrm{pr}_2^{-1}(\Psi)}(\mathrm{pr}_2^!(\mathcal{O}_Y)\otimes \mathrm{pr}_2^*\Omega^{j-d_X}_Y[d_Y]) \arrow[r, "\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathrm{pr}_2}\otimes\mathrm{id}"] & H^{i-d_X}_{\Psi}(\Omega^{j-d_X}_Y) \arrow[d, "H^*(g_Y)"] \\
H^i_{\Phi'}(\Omega^j_{X\times Y'}) \arrow[r, "\text{proj.}"] & H^{i-d'}_{\mathrm{pr}_2'^{-1}(\Psi')}(\mathrm{pr}_2'^{!}(\mathcal{O}_{Y'})\otimes \mathrm{pr}_2'^{*}\Omega^{j-d_X}_{Y'}[d_{Y'}]) \arrow[r, "\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathrm{pr}_2'}\otimes\mathrm{id}"] & H^{i-d_X}_{\Psi'}(\Omega^{j-d_X}_{Y'}),
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $d' = d_X + d_{Y'}$. To this end we define the map

$$\tau_f : Rf_*R\underline{\Gamma}_{\Phi}(\omega_{X\times Y/Y}[d_X]) \to R\underline{\Gamma}_{\Psi}\mathcal{O}_Y,$$

to be the composition

$$\begin{aligned} &Rf_*R\underline{\Gamma}_{\Phi}(\omega_{X\times Y/Y}[d_X]) \xrightarrow{\text{excision} \simeq} R\mathrm{pr}_{2*}R\underline{\Gamma}_{\Phi}(\mathrm{pr}_2^!\mathcal{O}_Y) \\ &\qquad \xrightarrow{\text{nat.}} R\mathrm{pr}_{2*}R\underline{\Gamma}_{\mathrm{pr}_2^{-1}(\Psi)}(\mathrm{pr}_2^!\mathcal{O}_Y) \xrightarrow{\simeq} R\underline{\Gamma}_{\Psi}R\mathrm{pr}_{2*}\mathrm{pr}_2^!\mathcal{O}_Y \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathrm{pr}_2}} R\underline{\Gamma}_{\Psi}\mathcal{O}_Y. \end{aligned}$$

Then the upper horizontal line in diagram (2.3.4.1) equals $H^{i-d}(Y,-)$ applied to the following composition:

$$\begin{aligned} &Rf_*R\underline{\Gamma}_{\Phi}\Omega^j_{X\times Y}[d] \xrightarrow{\text{projection}} Rf_*R\underline{\Gamma}_{\Phi}(\omega_{X\times Y/Y}[d_X]\otimes f^*\Omega^{j-d_X}_Y[d_Y]) \\ &\qquad \xrightarrow{\simeq} Rf_*R\underline{\Gamma}_{\Phi}(\omega_{X\times Y/Y}[d_X])\otimes\Omega^{j-d_X}_Y[d_Y] \xrightarrow{\tau_f\otimes\mathrm{id}} R\underline{\Gamma}_{\Psi}(\Omega^{j-d_X}_Y)[d_Y]. \end{aligned}$$

(There is no intervention of signs in the definition of the projection map, this is compatible with the fact, that the isomorphism $\omega_{X\times Y}[d] \cong \omega_{X\times Y/Y}[d_X]\otimes f^*\omega_Y[d_Y]$ is defined without a sign, see [Con00, (2.2.6)].) The lower horizontal line in the diagram (2.3.4.1) equals $H^{i-d'}(Y',-)$ applied to the analog composition for f'. Then it is straightforward to check that the commutativity of diagram (2.3.4.1) is implied by the commutativity of

(2.3.4.2)
$$\begin{tikzcd}
Rf_*R\underline{\Gamma}_{\Phi}(\omega_{X\times Y/Y}[d_X]) \arrow[r, "\tau_f"] \arrow[d, "g^*_{X\times Y}"'] & R\underline{\Gamma}_{\Psi}\mathcal{O}_Y \arrow[d, "g^*_Y"] \\
Rg_{Y*}Rf'_*R\underline{\Gamma}_{\Phi'}(\omega_{X\times Y'/Y'}[d_X]) \arrow[r, "\tau_{f'}"] & Rg_{Y*}R\underline{\Gamma}_{\Psi'}\mathcal{O}_{Y'}.
\end{tikzcd}$$

To prove the commutativity of this last diagram, we can clearly assume (by definition of the pull-back and τ_f), that $\Phi' = g^{-1}_{X\times Y}(\Phi)$ and $\Psi' = g_Y^{-1}(\Psi)$. We define the map

$$\alpha : R\mathrm{pr}_{2*}\mathrm{pr}_2^!\mathcal{O}_Y \to Rg_{Y*}R\mathrm{pr}'_{2*}(\mathrm{pr}'_2)^!\mathcal{O}_{Y'}$$

to be following composition

$$\begin{array}{rcl} R\mathrm{pr}_{2*}\mathrm{pr}_2^!(\pi_Y^* k) & \xrightarrow{b^{-1}_{\pi_Y,\pi_{\bar X}}} & R\mathrm{pr}_{2*}\mathrm{pr}_1^*(\pi_{\bar X}^! k) \\ & \to & Rg_{Y*}R\mathrm{pr}'_{2*}(\mathrm{pr}'_1)^*(\pi_{\bar X}^! k) \\ & \xrightarrow{b_{\pi_{Y'},\pi_{\bar X}}} & Rg_{Y*}R\mathrm{pr}'_{2*}(\mathrm{pr}'_2)^!(\pi_{Y'}^* k) = Rg_{Y*}R\mathrm{pr}'_{2*}(\mathrm{pr}'_2)^!\mathcal{O}_{Y'}, \end{array}$$

where $b_{\pi_Y,\pi_{\bar X}} : \mathrm{pr}_1^*\pi_{\bar X}^! \simeq \mathrm{pr}_2^!\pi_Y^*$ is the isomorphism from [Har66, VII, Cor 3.4, 5)] and the middle map is the composition of the natural maps

$$R\mathrm{pr}_{2*}\mathrm{pr}_1^* \to Rg_{Y*}Lg_Y^*R\mathrm{pr}_{2*}\mathrm{pr}_1^* \to Rg_{Y*}R\mathrm{pr}'_{2*}Lg^*_{\bar X\times Y}\mathrm{pr}_1^* \cong Rg_{Y*}R\mathrm{pr}'_{2*}(\mathrm{pr}'_1)^*.$$

Now the commutativity of diagram (2.3.4.2) follows from the commutativity of

$$\begin{tikzcd} Rf_*R\underline{\Gamma}_\Phi(\omega_{X\times Y/Y}[d_X]) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "g^*_{X\times Y}"'] & R\underline{\Gamma}_\Psi R\mathrm{pr}_{2*}\mathrm{pr}_2^!\mathcal{O}_Y \arrow[d, "\alpha"'] \\ Rg_{Y*}Rf'_*R\underline{\Gamma}_{\Phi'}(\omega_{X\times Y'/Y'}[d_X]) \arrow[r] & R\underline{\Gamma}_\Psi Rg_{Y*}R\mathrm{pr}'_{2*}(\mathrm{pr}'_2)^!\mathcal{O}_{Y'}, \end{tikzcd}$$

which is clear by the explicit description of the isomorphisms $b_{(-,-)}$ in the smooth case (see [Har66, VII, Cor. 3.4, (a), Var 6]); and from the commutativity of the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} R\underline{\Gamma}_\Psi R\mathrm{pr}_{2*}\mathrm{pr}_2^!\mathcal{O}_Y \arrow[r, "\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathrm{pr}_2}"] \arrow[d, "\alpha"'] & R\underline{\Gamma}_\Psi(\mathcal{O}_Y) \arrow[d, "g_Y^*"] \\ R\underline{\Gamma}_\Psi Rg_{Y*}R\mathrm{pr}'_{2*}(\mathrm{pr}'_2)^!\mathcal{O}_{Y'} \arrow[r, "\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathrm{pr}'_2}"] & R\underline{\Gamma}_\Psi Rg_{Y*}(\mathcal{O}_{Y'}), \end{tikzcd}$$

which follows from [Har66, VII, Cor. 3.4, (b), TRA 4]. Hence the statement.

□

Proposition 2.3.5. *Let*

$$\begin{tikzcd} (X',\Phi') \arrow[r, "f'"] \arrow[d, "g_X"'] & (Y',\Psi') \arrow[d, "g_Y"] \\ (X,\Phi) \arrow[r, "f"] & (Y,\Psi), \end{tikzcd}$$

be a cartesian square with $f, f' \in V_*$ and $g_X, g_Y \in V^*$. Assume either that g_Y is flat or g_Y is a closed immersion and f is transversal to Y'. Then

$$H^*(g_Y)\circ H_*(f) = H_*(f')\circ H^*(g_X).$$

Proof. Embedding X in $X\times Y$ via the graph morphism, the above diagram splits as

$$\begin{tikzcd} (X',\Phi') \arrow[r, hook] \arrow[d, "g_X"] & (X\times Y',\Phi') \arrow[r, "\mathrm{pr}_2"] \arrow[d, "\mathrm{id}\times g_Y"] & (Y',\Psi') \arrow[d, "g_Y"] \\ (X,\Phi) \arrow[r, hook] & (X\times Y,\Phi) \arrow[r, "\mathrm{pr}_2"] & (Y,\Psi). \end{tikzcd}$$

Both squares are cartesian, the projections pr_2 are smooth and the inclusions are closed. If g_Y is a closed immersion and f is transversal to Y', then $\mathrm{id}\times g_Y$:

$X \times Y' \to X \times Y$ is transversal to $X \hookrightarrow X \times Y$. Thus the statement follows from Proposition 2.3.3, (2), Corollary 2.2.9 and Lemma 2.3.4. ☐

Lemma 2.3.6. *Let X be smooth and $\imath : D \hookrightarrow X$ the inclusion of a smooth divisor. Let Φ be a family of supports on D and denote by $\imath_1 : (D, \Phi) \to (X, \Phi)$ the map in V_* induced by $\imath$. Then $H_*(\imath_1) : H^i_\Phi(D, \Omega^j_D) \to H^{i+1}_\Phi(X, \Omega^{j+1}_X)$ is the connecting homomorphism of the long exact cohomology sequence associated to the following exact sequence*

$$0 \to \Omega^{j+1}_X \to \Omega^{j+1}_X(\log D) \xrightarrow{\text{Res}} \imath_*\Omega^j_D \to 0, \tag{2.3.6.1}$$

where $Res(\frac{dt}{t}\alpha) = \imath^*(\alpha)$, for $t \in \mathcal{O}_X$ a regular element defining D and $\alpha \in \Omega^j_X$. In particular if $\Phi \subset X$ is supported in codimension $\geq i+1$ in X, then $H_*(\imath_1)$ is injective on H^i_Φ.

Proof. By remark 2.2.6, (1), the map $\imath_*$ from Definition 2.2.2 induces a map, which we denote by $\imath_*$ again,

$$\imath_* : \imath_*\Omega^j_D \to \Omega^{j+1}_X[1].$$

It suffices to show that this map coincides with the edge homomorphism coming from the distinguished triangle (2.3.6.1), which we denote by ∂_{Res}. The diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{H}\text{om}(\Omega^{n-(j+1)}_X, \imath_*\omega_D) \arrow[r] & \mathcal{H}\text{om}(\Omega^{n-(j+1)}_X, \omega_X[1]) \\
\imath_*\Omega^{j-1}_D \arrow[u] \arrow[r] & \Omega^j_X[1], \arrow[u, "\simeq"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $n = \dim X$ and the vertical maps are induced by multiplication from the left, is commutative for both $\imath_*$ and ∂_{Res}. Thus we only need to consider the case $j = n-1$.

Let $K^\bullet$ be the complex $\mathcal{O}_X(-D) \to \mathcal{O}_X$ in degree $[-1, 0]$. Then $K^\bullet \to \imath_*\mathcal{O}_D$ is a locally free resolution. We denote by $\text{Tr}'_\imath$ the composition $\imath_*\omega_{D/X}[-1] \xrightarrow{\eta_\imath} \imath_*\imath^!\mathcal{O}_X \xrightarrow{\text{Tr}_\imath} \mathcal{O}_X$, where $\eta_\imath$ is the fundamental local isomorphism (see (A.2.0.1)). Then $\text{Tr}'_\imath$ is given by

$$\text{Tr}'_\imath : \imath_*\omega_{D/X}[-1] \xleftarrow{\simeq} \mathcal{H}\text{om}^\bullet(K^\bullet, \mathcal{O}_X) \to \mathcal{O}_X.$$

Here the first map is in degree 1 given by (see (A.2.0.2))

$$\mathcal{H}\text{om}(\mathcal{O}_X(-D), \mathcal{O}_X) = \mathcal{O}_X(D) \to \imath_*\omega_{D/X}, \quad \frac{1}{t} \mapsto -t^\vee,$$

where t is a regular parameter defining D, and the second map (in degree 0) by $\mathcal{H}\text{om}(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{O}_X) = \mathcal{O}_X$. (See the proof of Lemma A.2.1 and in particular (A.2.1.3).)

It thus follows from the commutative diagram (A.2.2.2) that $\imath_* : \imath_*\omega_D \to \omega_X$ equals the composition

$$\imath_*\omega_D \to \imath_*\omega_{D/X} \otimes \omega_X \xrightarrow{\text{Tr}'_\imath[1]\otimes\text{id}} \omega_X[1],$$

where the first map is given by $\alpha \mapsto t^\vee \otimes (dt \wedge \tilde{\alpha})$, with $\tilde{\alpha}$ a lift. Obviously the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & \omega_X \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & \omega_X(\log D) \arrow[r, "-\mathrm{Res}"] \arrow[d] & \imath_*\omega_D \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & \mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{O}_X) \otimes \omega_X \arrow[r] & \mathcal{O}_X(D) \otimes \omega_X \arrow[r, "-1"] & \imath_*\omega_{D/X} \otimes \omega_X \arrow[r] & 0.
\end{tikzcd}$$

And by the above (and the sign conventions from [Con00, 1.3]) the map $\imath_*\omega_{D/X} \otimes \omega_X \to \mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{O}_X)[1] \otimes \omega_X$ induced by the lower exact sequence equals $-(\mathrm{Tr}'_\imath \otimes \mathrm{id})$. (Here we need that $\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}^\bullet(K^\bullet, \mathcal{O}_X)[1] = \mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}(K^{-(\bullet+1)}, \mathcal{O}_X)$.) Thus the commutativity of the above diagram yields $i_* = -\partial_{-\mathrm{Res}} = \partial_{\mathrm{Res}}$. $\square$

2.4. **The Künneth morphism.** For (X, Φ) and $(Y, \Psi) \in \mathrm{ob}(V_*) = \mathrm{ob}(V^*)$ the Künneth morphism

$$\times : H^i_\Phi(X, \Omega^p_X) \times H^j_\Psi(Y, \Omega^q_Y) \to H^{i+j}_{\Phi\times\Psi}(X \times Y, \Omega^{p+q}_{X\times Y}) \tag{2.4.0.2}$$

is defined as the composition of the cartesian product with multiplication. Choose flasque resolutions $\Omega^p_X \to I^\bullet$, $\Omega^q_Y \to J^\bullet$ and denote $K^i_\Phi = \mathrm{Ker}(\Gamma_\Phi I^i \to \Gamma_\Phi I^{i+1})$ and $K^j_\Psi = \mathrm{Ker}(\Gamma_\Psi J^j \to \Gamma_\Psi J^{j+1})$. Then $\mathrm{pr}_1^{-1} I^\bullet \otimes_k \mathrm{pr}_2^{-1} J^\bullet$ is a resolution of $\mathrm{pr}_1^{-1}\Omega^p_X \otimes_k \mathrm{pr}_2^{-1}\Omega^q_Y$ and (2.4.0.2) is induced by the composition of the natural maps

$$\begin{aligned}
K^i_\Phi \otimes_k K^j_\Psi \to H^{i+j}_{\Phi\times\Psi}(\mathrm{pr}_1^{-1} I^\bullet \otimes_k \mathrm{pr}_2^{-1} J^\bullet) &\to \\
H^{i+j}_{\Phi\times\Psi}(X \times Y, \mathrm{pr}_1^{-1}\Omega^p_X \otimes_k \mathrm{pr}_2^{-1}\Omega^q_Y) &\to H^{i+j}_{\Phi\times\Psi}(X \times Y, \Omega^{p+q}_{X\times Y}).
\end{aligned}$$

We define

$$T : H(X, \Phi) \otimes H(Y, \Psi) \to H(X \times Y, \Phi \times \Psi) \tag{2.4.0.3}$$

by the formula

$$T(\alpha_{i,p} \otimes \beta_{j,q}) = (-1)^{(i+p)\cdot j}(\alpha_{i,p} \times \beta_{j,q}),$$

where $\alpha_{i,p} \in H^i_\Phi(X, \Omega^p_X), \beta_{j,q} \in H^j_\Psi(Y, \Omega^q_Y)$, and $\times$ is the map in 2.4.0.2.

Proposition 2.4.1. *The triples (H_*, T, e) and (H^*, T, e) define right-lax symmetric monoidal functors (see 1.1.7).*

We will need the following lemma.

Lemma 2.4.2. *Let $f : X \to Y$ be a morphism, assume Y to be smooth and X of pure dimension d. Then for any $p, q \geq 0$ there is a morphism*

$$\mu : D_X(\Omega^{d-p}_X) \otimes f^*\Omega^q_Y \longrightarrow D_X(\Omega^{d-(p+q)}_X),$$

such that:

1. *If $U \subset X$ is a smooth open subset, then the following diagram commutes*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
D_U(\Omega^{d-p}_U)[-d] \otimes f_{|U}{}^*\Omega^q_Y \arrow[r, "\mu_{|U}[-d]"] \arrow[d, "(2.2.6.1)"', "\simeq"] & D_U(\Omega^{d-(q+p)}_U)[-d] \arrow[d, "(2.2.6.1)"', "\simeq"] \\
\Omega^p_U \otimes f_{|U}{}^*\Omega^q_Y \arrow[r] & \Omega^{p+q}_U,
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the lower horizontal map is given by $\alpha \otimes \beta \mapsto \alpha \wedge f^*(\beta)$.

(2) *If f is proper, then the following diagram commutes*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
Rf_*D_X(\Omega_X^{d-p})\otimes\Omega_Y^q \arrow[r, "\simeq"] \arrow[dr, "f_*\otimes\mathrm{id}"'] & Rf_*(D_X(\Omega_X^{d-p})\otimes f^*\Omega_Y^q) \arrow[r, "\mu"] & Rf_*D_X(\Omega_X^{d-(p+q)}) \arrow[d, "f_*"] \\
 & D_Y(\Omega_Y^{d-p})\otimes\Omega_Y^q \arrow[r] & D_Y(\Omega_Y^{d-(p+q)}),
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the lower horizontal map is induced by, $\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}(\Omega_Y^{d-p},\Omega_Y^{d_Y})\otimes\Omega_Y^q\to\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}(\Omega_Y^{d-(q+p)},\Omega_Y^{d_Y})$, $\varphi\otimes\alpha\mapsto\varphi(\alpha\wedge(-))$.

Proof. We denote by π_X and π_Y the structure maps of X and Y, respectively. Since $\pi_X^!k$ and $\pi_Y^!k$ are dualizing complexes, they are represented by bounded complexes of injectives $I_X^\bullet$, $I_Y^\bullet$ and $\mathrm{Tr}_f : f_*\pi_X^!k\cong f_*f^!\pi_Y^!k\to\pi_Y^!k$ is thus represented by a morphism of complexes $\mathrm{Tr}_f : f_*I_X^\bullet\to I_Y^\bullet$. Now the map

$$\mu:\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}_X(\Omega_X^{d-p},I_X^\bullet)\otimes f^*\Omega_Y^q\longrightarrow\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}_X(\Omega_X^{d-(p+q)},I_Y^\bullet)$$

is in degree n given by

$$\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}_X(\Omega_X^{d-p},I_X^n)\otimes f^*\Omega_Y^q\longrightarrow\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}_X(\Omega_X^{d-(p+q)},I_Y^n),\quad \theta\otimes\alpha\mapsto\theta(f^*(\alpha)\wedge -).$$

It is immediate that this defines a map of complexes which satisfies (1). For (2) we observe, that it suffices to check the commutativity of

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}_X(f_*\Omega_X^{d-p},f_*I_X^\bullet)\otimes\Omega_Y^q \arrow[r, "\mu"] \arrow[d, "\mathrm{Tr}_f\circ(-)\circ f^*"'] & \mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}_X(f_*\Omega_X^{d-(p+q)},f_*I_X^\bullet) \arrow[d, "\mathrm{Tr}_f\circ(-)\circ f^*"] \\
\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}_Y(\Omega_Y^{d-p},I_Y^\bullet)\otimes\Omega_Y^q \arrow[r] & \mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}(\Omega_Y^{d-(p+q)},I_Y^\bullet),
\end{tikzcd}$$

which is straightforward.

□

Proof of Proposition 2.4.1. Recall that $H^*(X,\Phi)$ is graded by 2.0.12.1 and $H_*(X,\Phi)$ is graded by 2.0.12.2. The morphism T respects the grading for both gradings. In the following we will work with the upper grading H^*. All arguments will also work for the lower grading H_* because the difference between lower and upper grading is an even integer.

By using the associativity of × (2.4.0.2) it is straightforward to prove the associativity of T. Let us prove the commutativity of T, i.e. that the diagram

(2.4.2.1)
$$\begin{tikzcd}
H(X,\Phi)\otimes H(Y,\Psi) \arrow[r, "T"] \arrow[d] & H(X\times Y,\Phi\times\Psi) \arrow[d] \\
H(Y,\Psi)\otimes H(X,\Phi) \arrow[r, "T"] & H(Y\times X,\Psi\times\Phi)
\end{tikzcd}$$

is commutative. The left vertical map is defined by $a\otimes b\mapsto(-1)^{\deg(a)\deg(b)}b\otimes a$, and the right vertical map is given by $H^*(\epsilon_1)$ and $H_*(\epsilon_2)$, respectively, with

$$\epsilon_1:(Y\times X,\Psi\times\Phi)\to(X\times Y,\Phi\times\Psi)$$
$$\epsilon_2:(X\times Y,\Phi\times\Psi)\to(Y\times X,\Psi\times\Phi)$$

the obvious morphisms $\epsilon_1 \in V^*$ and $\epsilon_2 \in V_*$. Obviously, $H^*(\epsilon_1) = H_*(\epsilon_2)$, thus we may work with $H^*(\epsilon_1)$ in the following. Note that the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H^i_\Phi(X, \Omega^p_X) \times H^j_\Psi(Y, \Omega^q_Y) \arrow[r, "(2.4.0.2)"] \arrow[d] & H^{i+j}_{\Phi\times\Psi}(X \times Y, \Omega^{p+q}_{X\times Y}) \arrow[d, "(-1)^{p\cdot q} H^*(\epsilon_1)"] \\
H^j_\Psi(Y, \Omega^q_Y) \times H^i_\Phi(X, \Omega^p_X) \arrow[r, "(2.4.0.2)"] & H^{i+j}_{\Psi\times\Phi}(Y \times X, \Omega^{p+q}_{Y\times X})
\end{tikzcd}$$

is commutative, where the left vertical arrow is defined by $a \times b \mapsto (-1)^{i\cdot j}(b \times a)$. By using this diagram it is a straightforward calculation to prove the commutativity of (2.4.2.1).

We still need to prove the functoriality of T for H^* and H_*. For H^* this follows immediately from the definitions. Let us prove the functoriality for H_*. We will write $H^i_\Phi(-)$ instead of $H^i_\Phi(X, -)$. By using the commutativity of T (2.4.2.1) it is enough to prove that the following diagram commutes

(2.4.2.2)
$$\begin{tikzcd}
H^i_\Phi(\Omega^p_X) \times H^j_\Psi(\Omega^q_Y) \arrow[r, "T"] \arrow[d, "H_*(h)\times \mathrm{id}"'] & H^{i+j}_{\Phi\times\Psi}(\Omega^{p+q}_{X\times Y}) \arrow[d, "H_*(h\times \mathrm{id})"] \\
H^{i-r}_{\Phi'}(\Omega^{p-r}_{X'}) \times H^j_\Psi(\Omega^q_Y) \arrow[r, "T"] & H^{i+j-r}_{\Phi'\times\Psi}(\Omega^{p+q-r}_{X'\times Y}),
\end{tikzcd}$$

for any $(Y, \Psi) \in V_*$ and $h : (X, \Phi) \to (X', \Phi')$ in V_* and $r = \dim X - \dim X'$ (X and X' are assumed to be equidimensional). Equivalently, the diagram as in (2.4.2.2), but with $\times$ instead of T as horizontal arrows, commutes. Observe that $\times$ can be factored as

(2.4.2.3)
$$\times : H^i_\Phi(\Omega^p_X) \times H^j_\Psi(\Omega^q_Y) \xrightarrow{H^*(\mathrm{pr}_1)\times \mathrm{id}} H^i_{\Phi\times Y}(\Omega^p_{X\times Y}) \times H^j_\Psi(\Omega^q_Y) \to H^{i+j}_{\Phi\times\Psi}(\Omega^{p+q}_{X\times Y}),$$

where the map on the right is the composition of the cartesian product with the multiplication map $\Omega^p_{X\times Y} \otimes_k \mathrm{pr}_2^{-1}\Omega^q_Y \to \Omega^{p+q}_{X\times Y}$, $\alpha \otimes \beta \mapsto \alpha \wedge \mathrm{pr}_2^*\beta$. By Proposition 2.3.5 the diagram

(2.4.2.4)
$$\begin{tikzcd}
H^i_\Phi(\Omega^p_X) \arrow[r, "H^*(\mathrm{pr}_1)"] \arrow[d, "H(h_*)"'] & H^i_{\Phi\times Y}(\Omega^p_{X\times Y}) \arrow[d, "H_*(h\times \mathrm{id})"] \\
H^{i-r}_{\Phi'}(\Omega^{p-r}_{X'}) \arrow[r, "H^*(\mathrm{pr}_1)"] & H^{i-r}_{\Phi'\times Y}(\Omega^{p-r}_{X'\times Y})
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutes. Thus it suffices to prove that

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H^i_{\Phi\times Y}(\Omega^p_{X\times Y}) \times H^j_\Psi(\Omega^q_Y) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "H_*(h\times \mathrm{id})\times \mathrm{id}"'] & H^{i+j}_{\Phi\times\Psi}(\Omega^{p+q}_{X\times Y}) \arrow[d, "H_*(h\times \mathrm{id})"] \\
H^{i-r}_{\Phi'\times Y}(\Omega^{p-r}_{X'\times Y}) \times H^j_\Psi(\Omega^q_Y) \arrow[r] & H^{i+j-r}_{\Phi'\times\Psi}(\Omega^{p+q-r}_{X'\times Y})
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutes.

Now let $\bar{h} : \bar{X} \to X'$ be a compactification of h and set $d = \dim X + \dim Y$. We write

$$\omega^p_{\bar{X}\times Y} := D_{\bar{X}\times Y}(\Omega^{d-p}_{\bar{X}\times Y}), \quad \omega^p_{X'\times Y} := D_{X'\times Y}(\Omega^{d-p}_{X'\times Y}).$$

Notice that $\omega^p_{\bar{X}\times Y|X\times Y}\cong\Omega^p_{X\times Y}[d]$ and $\omega^p_{X'\times Y}\cong\Omega^{p-r}_{X'\times Y}[d-r]$. With this notation the push-forward is a morphism

$$(\bar{h}\times\mathrm{id})_*: R(\bar{h}\times\mathrm{id})_*\omega^p_{\bar{X}\times Y}\to\omega^p_{X'\times Y}$$

and we have to show that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H^i_{\Phi\times Y}(\omega^p_{\bar{X}\times Y})\times H^j_\Psi(\Omega^p_Y) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "(h\times\mathrm{id})_*\times\mathrm{id}"'] & H^{i+j}_{\Phi\times\Psi}(\omega^{p+q}_{\bar{X}\times Y}) \arrow[d, "(h\times\mathrm{id})_*"] \\
H^i_{\Phi'\times Y}(\omega^p_{X'\times Y})\times H^j_\Psi(\Omega^p_Y) \arrow[r] & H^{i+j}_{\Phi'\times\Psi}(\omega^{p+q}_{X'\times Y}),
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the upper map is given by the cartesian product composed with the μ from Lemma 2.4.2. Clearly we may assume $\Phi=\bar{h}^{-1}(\Phi')$; thus

$$H^i_{\Phi\times Y}(\omega^p_{\bar{X}\times Y})=H^i_{\Phi'\times Y}(R(\bar{h}\times\mathrm{id})_*\omega^p_{\bar{X}\times Y}). \tag{2.4.2.5}$$

Now it follows from Lemma 2.4.2, (2), that it is enough to prove the commutativity of the following two diagrams

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H^i_{\Phi\times Y}(\omega^p_{\bar{X}\times Y})\times H^j_\Psi(\Omega^q_Y) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "(\bar{h}\times\mathrm{id})_*\times\mathrm{id}"'] & H^{i+j}_{\Phi'\times\Psi}(R(\bar{h}\times\mathrm{id})_*(\omega^p_{\bar{X}\times Y})\otimes_k\mathrm{pr}_2^{-1}\Omega^q_Y) \arrow[d, "(\bar{h}\times\mathrm{id})_*\otimes\mathrm{id}"] \\
H^i_{\Phi'\times Y}(\omega^p_{X'\times Y})\times H^j_\Psi(\Omega^q_Y) \arrow[r] & H^{i+j}_{\Phi'\times\Psi}(\omega^p_{X'\times Y}\otimes_k\mathrm{pr}_2^{-1}\Omega^q_Y),
\end{tikzcd}$$

here the upper horizontal map is the composition of (2.4.2.5) with the cartesian product, and

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H^{i+j}_{\Phi'\times\Psi}(R(\bar{h}\times\mathrm{id})_*(\omega^p_{\bar{X}\times Y})\otimes_k\mathrm{pr}_2^{-1}\Omega^q_Y) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "(\bar{h}\times\mathrm{id})_*\otimes\mathrm{id}"'] & H^{i+j}_{\Phi'\times\Psi}(R(\bar{h}\times\mathrm{id})_*(\omega^p_{\bar{X}\times Y})\otimes_{\mathcal{O}}\mathrm{pr}_2^*\Omega^q_Y) \arrow[d, "(\bar{h}\times\mathrm{id})_*\otimes\mathrm{id}"] \\
H^{i+j}_{\Phi'\times\Psi}(\omega^p_{X'\times Y}\otimes_k\mathrm{pr}_2^{-1}\Omega^q_Y) \arrow[r] & H^{i+j}_{\Phi'\times\Psi}(\omega^p_{X'\times Y}\otimes_{\mathcal{O}}\mathrm{pr}_2^*\Omega^q_Y).
\end{tikzcd}$$

For this take injective resolutions $\omega^p_{\bar{X}\times Y}\to I^\bullet$ and $\omega^p_{X'\times Y}\to J^\bullet$, then the push-forward is given by an actual morphism $(\bar{h}\times\mathrm{id})_*I^\bullet\to J^\bullet$. Now the commutativity of the first diagram is easily checked by taking an injective resolution of Ω^q_Y. For the commutativity of the second diagram we observe, that $(\bar{h}\times\mathrm{id})_*I^\bullet\otimes_k\mathrm{pr}_2^{-1}\Omega^q_Y$ and $(\bar{h}\times\mathrm{id})_*I^\bullet\otimes_{\mathcal{O}}\mathrm{pr}_2^*\Omega^q_Y$ still represent $R(\bar{h}\times\mathrm{id})_*\omega^p_{\bar{X}\times Y}\otimes_k\mathrm{pr}_2^{-1}\Omega^q_Y$ and $R(\bar{h}\times\mathrm{id})_*\omega^p_{\bar{X}\times Y}\otimes_{\mathcal{O}}\mathrm{pr}_2^*\Omega^q_Y$, respectively and similar with $(\bar{h}\times\mathrm{id})_*I^\bullet$ replaced by $J^\bullet$ and $(\bar{h}\times\mathrm{id})_*\omega^p_{\bar{X}\times Y}$ by $\omega^p_{X'\times Y}$. Thus it is enough to check the commutativity using these complexes, which is obvious. □

2.5. **Summary.** Let (H_*,H^*,T,e) be the datum defined above, i.e. $H_*:V_*\to\mathbf{GrAb}$ is defined on objects by (2.0.12.2) and on morphisms by Definition 2.3.2, $H^*:(V^*)^{op}\to\mathbf{GrAb}$ is defined on objects by (2.0.12.1) and on morphisms by (2.1.2.4), T is defined by (2.4.0.3) and e by (2.0.12.3).

Theorem 2.5.1. *The datum (H_*,H^*,T,e) is an object in $\mathbf{T}$, i.e. it is a datum as in 1.1.6 and satisfies the properties 1.1.7.*

We denote by HP the pure part of H, i.e.

$$HP(X,\Phi) := \bigoplus_{n\geq 0} H^n_\Phi(X,\Omega^n_X), \quad (X,\Phi)\in V_*, \tag{2.5.1.1}$$

and let $HP^*(X,\Phi)$ be the graded abelian group, which in degree $2n$ equals

$$HP^{2n}(X,\Phi) = H^n_\Phi(X,\Omega^n_X)$$

and is zero in odd degrees. The graded abelian group $HP_*(X,\Phi)$ is defined as in (2.0.12.2). Then the functors H_*, H^* induce functors HP_*, HP^* and T and e restrict to HP. We obtain:

Corollary 2.5.2. *The datum (HP_*, HP^*, T, e) is an object in $\mathbf{T}$. Furthermore HP satisfies the semi-purity condition from Definition 1.2.1 and the natural map $(HP_*, HP^*, T, e) \to (H_*, H^*, T, e)$ is a morphism in $\mathbf{T}$.*

Proof. The semi-purity condition follows from [SGA2, Exp.III, Prop. 3.3]. □

3. Cycle class map to Hodge cohomology and applications

In this section k is assumed to be a perfect field (unless stated otherwise).

3.1. Cycle class.

Proposition 3.1.1 (Cycle class). *Let X be a smooth scheme and $W \subset X$ an irreducible closed subset of codimension c. There is a class $cl_{(X,W)} = cl(W) \in H^c_W(X,\Omega^c_X)$ with the property*

$$H^*(\jmath)(cl(W)) = H_*(\imath_{U\cap W})(1)$$

for every open subset $U\subset X$ such that $U\cap W$ is smooth (and non-empty), where $\jmath : (U, W\cap U) \to (X,W)$ is induced by the open immersion, $\imath : W\cap U \to (U, W\cap U)$ is induced by the closed immersion, and 1 is the identity element of the ring $H^0(X,\mathcal{O}_X)$.

Remark 3.1.2. The cycle class in the above proposition is Grothendieck's "fundamental class" (see e.g. [Lip84, p.39, (ii)]). For the convenience of the reader and to be sure about the compatibility with the push-forward constructed in the previous section, we give a proof of the proposition, which is standard.

Proof. 1st Step: Let η be the generic point of W. We define

$$H^c_\eta(X,\Omega^c_X) := \varinjlim_{\eta\in U} H^c_{U\cap W}(U,\Omega^c_U)$$

where the inductive limit runs over all open sets $U\subset X$ with $\eta\in U$. Choose U such that $U\cap W\neq\emptyset$ is smooth. The image of $H_*(\imath_{U\cap W})(1)\in H^c_{U\cap W}(U,\Omega^c_U)$ in $H^c_\eta(X,\Omega^c_X)$ doesn't depend on the choice of U by 1.1.7(4). We denote this class by $cl(W)_\eta$.

2nd Step: A class $a\in H^c_\eta(X,\Omega^c_X)$ is in the image of

$$H^c_W(X,\Omega^c_X)\to H^c_\eta(X,\Omega^c_X)$$

(i.e. extends to a global class) if and only if for all 1-codimensional points x in W there is an open subset $U\subset X$ containing x, so that a lies in the image of

$$H^c_{W\cap U}(U,\Omega^c_U)\to H^c_\eta(X,\Omega^c_X).$$

Indeed, the Cousin resolution yields an exact sequence

$$0 \to H^c_W(X,\Omega^c_X) \to H^c_\eta(X,\Omega^c_X) \to \bigoplus_{x\in W, cd(x)=1} H^{c+1}_x(X,\Omega^c_X), \tag{3.1.2.1}$$

and $H^c_{U\cap W}(U,\Omega^c_U) \to H^c_\eta(X,\Omega^c_X) \to H^{c+1}_x(X,\Omega^c_X)$ vanishes for all x and U as above.

3rd Step: If W is normal then $cl(W)_\eta$ extends (uniquely) to a class in $H^c_W(X,\Omega^c_X)$. Indeed, since W is regular in codimension $=1$ and we assume that k is perfect, we may choose an open $U\subset X$ such that $U\cap W$ is smooth and $U\cap W$ contains all points of codimension $=1$ of W. So that the class extends by the 2nd Step. Note that the extension is unique because of the exact sequence 3.1.2.1.

4th Step: We claim that the class $cl(W)_\eta$ extends to a class in $H^c_W(X,\Omega^c_X)$. In view of the 2nd Step it is sufficient to extend the class at all points $x\in W$ of codimension $=1$. Thus we may assume that X (and therefore W) is affine. The normalisation $\tilde{W}\to W$ is a finite morphism and thus projective. Choose an embedding $\tilde{W}\to W\times_k \mathbb{P}^n_k$ over W. The previous step yields a class $cl(\tilde{W})\in H^{n+c}_{\tilde{W}}(X\times\mathbb{P}^n,\Omega^{n+c}_{X\times\mathbb{P}^n})$. Consider $H_*(\mathrm{pr}_1)(cl(\tilde{W}))\in H^c_W(X,\Omega^c_X)$; for an open $U\subset X$ such that $W\cap U\neq\emptyset$ and $U\cap W$ is smooth we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} H^*(\jmath)H_*(\mathrm{pr}_1)(cl(\tilde{W})) = H_*(\mathrm{pr}_{1|U\times\mathbb{P}^n})H^*(\jmath')(cl(\tilde{W})) = \\ H_*(\mathrm{pr}_{1|U\times\mathbb{P}^n})H_*(\imath_{(U\times\mathbb{P}^n)\cap\tilde{W}})(1) = H_*(\imath_{U\cap W})(1), \end{aligned}$$

with $\jmath' : (U\times\mathbb{P}^n,(U\times\mathbb{P}^n)\cap\tilde{W}) \to (X\times\mathbb{P}^n,\tilde{W})$. Thus $H_*(\mathrm{pr}_1)(cl(\tilde{W}))$ is the desired lift. □

3.1.3. *Explicit description of the cycle class.* Let X be a smooth scheme and $W\subset X$ an irreducible closed subset of codimension c with generic point $\eta\in X$. Denote $A=\mathcal{O}_{X,\eta}$. Then

$$H^c_\eta(X,\Omega^c_X) = \varinjlim_{f\subset\mathfrak{m}_\eta} \frac{\Omega^c_A}{(f)},$$

where the limit is over all A-sequences $f=(f_1,\dots,f_c)$ of length c which are contained in $\mathfrak{m}_\eta$ (in particular $\sqrt{(f_1,\dots,f_c)}=\mathfrak{m}_\eta$). The class of $\omega\in\Omega^c_A$ under the composition in $\Omega^c_A\to\Omega^c_A/(f)\to H^c_\eta(X,\Omega^c_X)$ is denoted by $\left[\begin{smallmatrix}\omega\\ f\end{smallmatrix}\right]$. See A.1 for details.

Now let U be an affine open subset of X such that $U\cap W$ is smooth and the ideal of $W\cap U$ in $\mathcal{O}_U$ is generated by global sections $t_1,\dots,t_c$ on U. Then by Proposition 2.2.8

$$cl(W)_\eta = (-1)^c\begin{bmatrix} dt_1\cdots dt_c \\ t_1,\dots,t_c\end{bmatrix}.$$

Lemma 3.1.4. *For a closed immersion $\imath: X\to Y$ between smooth schemes and an effective smooth divisor $D\subset Y$ such that*

- *D meets X properly, thus $D\cap X := D\times_Y X$ is a divisor on X,*
- *$D' := (D\cap X)_{red}$ is smooth and connected, and thus $D\cap X = n\cdot D'$ as divisors (for some $n\in\mathbb{Z}, n\geq 1$),*

we denote by $\imath_X : X\to(Y,X), \imath_{D'}: D'\to(D,D')$ the morphisms in V_* induced by $\imath$, and we define $g_2:(D,D')\to(Y,X)$ in V^* by the inclusion $g: D\hookrightarrow Y$. Then the following equality holds:

$$H^*(g_2)(H_*(\imath_X)(1_X)) = n\cdot H_*(\imath_{D'})(1_{D'}).$$

Proof. Let c be the codimension of X in Y and $g_3 : (D, D') \to (Y, D')$ be induced by the inclusion $D \subset Y$. Then

$$H_*(g_3) : H^c_{D'}(D, \Omega^c_D) \to H^{c+1}_{D'}(Y, \Omega^{c+1}_Y)$$

is injective (by Lemma 2.3.6) and thus we need to prove

$$H_*(g_3)H^*(g_2)H_*(\imath_X)(1_X) = n \cdot H_*(g_3)H_*(\imath_{D'})(1_{D'}).$$

Let $g_1 : D \to (Y, D)$ be induced by g, then projection formula 1.1.11 gives

$$H_*(g_3)H^*(g_2)(H_*(\imath_X)(1_X)) = H_*(g_1)(1_D) \cup H_*(\imath_X)(1_X).$$

Therefore it suffices to prove

$$H_*(g_1)(1_D) \cup H_*(\imath_X)(1_X) = n \cdot H_*(g_3 \circ \imath_{D'})(1_{D'}). \tag{3.1.4.1}$$

Let η be the generic point of D'. Since $H^{c+1}_Z(Y, \Omega^{c+1}_Y) = 0$ for all closed subsets $Z \subset Y$ of codimension $\geq c+2$, by [SGA2, III, Prop. 3.3], the restriction map

$$H^{c+1}_{D'}(Y, \Omega^{c+1}_Y) \to H^{c+1}_\eta(Y, \Omega^{c+1}_Y) \tag{3.1.4.2}$$

is injective. Thus it is sufficient to prove the equality (3.1.4.1) in $H^{c+1}_\eta(Y, \Omega^{c+1}_Y)$.

Since X is smooth we may find a regular sequence $t_1, \ldots, t_c \in \mathcal{O}_{Y,\eta}$, which generates the ideal of X. If $D = \operatorname{div}(f)$ around η then

$$(-1)\begin{bmatrix} df \\ f \end{bmatrix} \cup (-1)^c \begin{bmatrix} dt_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dt_c \\ t_1, \ldots, t_c \end{bmatrix} = (-1)^{c+1} \begin{bmatrix} df \wedge dt_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dt_c \\ f, t_1, \ldots, t_c \end{bmatrix}$$

is the image of $H_*(g_1)(1_D) \cup H_*(\imath_X)(1_X)$ in $H^{c+1}_\eta(Y, \Omega^{c+1}_Y)$.

Let $\pi \in \mathcal{O}_{Y,\eta}$ be a lift of a generator of the maximal ideal in $\mathcal{O}_{X,\eta}$. By the explicit description of the cycle class in 3.1.3 we get

$$H_*(g_3 \circ \imath_{D'})(1_{D'}) = (-1)^{c+1} \begin{bmatrix} d\pi \wedge dt_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dt_c \\ \pi, t_1, \ldots, t_c \end{bmatrix}.$$

Obviously $f = a\pi^n$ in $\mathcal{O}_{X,\eta}$ for a unit $a \in \mathcal{O}^*_{X,\eta}$. Choose a lift $\tilde{a} \in \mathcal{O}^*_{Y,\eta}$ of a, thus $f = \tilde{a}\pi^n$ modulo $(t_1, \ldots, t_c)$, and we obtain

$$\begin{bmatrix} df \wedge dt_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dt_c \\ f, t_1, \ldots, t_c \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} n\tilde{a}\pi^{n-1} \cdot d\pi \wedge dt_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dt_c \\ \tilde{a}\pi^n, t_1, \ldots, t_c \end{bmatrix} = n \cdot \begin{bmatrix} d\pi \wedge dt_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dt_c \\ \pi, t_1, \ldots, t_c \end{bmatrix},$$

which proves (3.1.4.1). □

Theorem 3.1.5. *There exists a morphism* $cl : \mathrm{CH} \to H = (H_*, H^*, T, e)$ *in* $\mathbf{T}$.

Proof. Since there is a morphism $HP = (HP_*, HP^*, T, e) \to H$ in $\mathbf{T}$, it suffices to prove the existence of $cl : \mathrm{CH} \to HP$.

This follows from Theorem 1.2.3, since HP satisfies all the conditions listed there: We have $HP \in \mathbf{T}$ and it satisfies the semi-purity condition 1.2.1 by Corollary 2.5.2, it satisfies 1.2.3(1) by Proposition 2.3.3,(3) and (1.2.3)(3) by Lemma 3.1.4. Finally the element $cl_{(X,W)}$ from (1.2.3)(4) is the cycle class constructed in Proposition 3.1.1 and (1.2.3)(2) is obvious. □

3.2. **Main theorems.**

3.2.1. Let $f : (X, \Phi) \to (Y, \Psi)$ be a morphism in V_* or V^*. In view of Theorem 3.1.5, Section 1.3.11 and Lemma 1.3.12, the morphism

$$H_*(f) : H_*(X, \Phi) \to H_*(Y, \Psi), \quad \text{resp. } H^*(f) : H^*(Y, \Psi) \to H^*(X, \Phi)$$

is given by (we write cl instead of $Cor(cl)$)

$$H_*(f) = \rho_H \circ cl \circ \tau_*^{\mathrm{CH}}(f), \quad \text{resp. } H^*(f) = \rho_H \circ cl \circ \tau^*_{\mathrm{CH}}(f).$$

Thus we may use composition of correspondences in Cor_{CH} to compute $H^*(f) \circ H_*(f), H_*(f) \circ H^*(f)$, etc.

Proposition 3.2.2. *Let X, Y be smooth and connected, and let*

$$\alpha \in \mathrm{Hom}_{Cor_{\mathrm{CH}}}(X, Y)^0 = CH^{\dim X}(X \times Y, P(\Phi_X, \Phi_Y)).$$

1. *If the support of α projects to an r-codimensional subset in Y, then the restriction of $\rho_H \circ cl(\alpha)$ to $\bigoplus_{j<r,i} H^i(X, \Omega^j_X)$ vanishes.*
2. *If the support of α projects to an r-codimensional subscheme in X, then the restriction of $\rho_H \circ cl(\alpha)$ to $\bigoplus_{j \geq \dim X - r+1,i} H^i(X, \Omega^j_X)$ vanishes.*

Proof. (1). We may assume $\alpha = [V]$ for $V \subset X \times Y$ a closed irreducible subset of dimension $\dim(Y) =: d_Y$, with $p_Y(V) \subset Y$ of codimension r. We set $d_X = \dim X$.

By definition of ρ_H (see 1.3.11) and Lemma 2.3.4 it is sufficient to prove that for all $0 \leq q \leq r-1$ the image of the class $cl(V)$ vanishes via the map

$$H^{d_X}_V(\Omega^{d_X}_{X \times Y}) \xrightarrow{\text{proj.}} H^{d_X}_V(\mathrm{pr}_1^* \Omega^{d_X - q}_X \otimes \mathrm{pr}_2^* \Omega^q_Y).$$

To prove this we may also localize at the generic point η of V (by [SGA2, III, Prop. 3.3]).

We write $B = \mathcal{O}_{X \times Y, \eta}$ and $A = \mathcal{O}_{Y, p_Y(\eta)}$. Now A is a regular local ring of dimension r and B is formally smooth over A. Let $t_1, \ldots, t_r \in A$ be a regular system of parameters of A. Since $B/(1 \otimes t_1, \ldots, 1 \otimes t_r)$ is a local regular ring there exist elements $s_{r+1}, \ldots, s_{d_X} \in B$ such that $1 \otimes t_1, \ldots, 1 \otimes t_r, s_{r+1}, \ldots, s_{d_X}$ is a system of regular parameters for B. Thus by the explicit description of the cycle class in 3.1.3 we obtain

$$cl(V)_\eta = (-1)^{d_X} \begin{bmatrix} d(1 \otimes t_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge d(1 \otimes t_r) \wedge ds_{r+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge ds_{d_X} \\ 1 \otimes t_1, \ldots, 1 \otimes t_r, s_{r+1}, \ldots, s_{d_X} \end{bmatrix}.$$

This clearly implies the claim.

(2) Let $\alpha = [V]$ be as in (1) and suppose $p_X(V)$ has codimension r in X. As above it suffices to prove that for all $0 \leq q \leq r-1$ the image of the class $cl(V)$ vanishes under the projection map

$$H^{d_X}_V(\Omega^{d_X}_{X \times Y}) \xrightarrow{\text{proj.}} H^{d_X}_V(\mathrm{pr}_1^* \Omega^q_X \otimes \mathrm{pr}_2^* \Omega^{d_X - q}_Y).$$

Write $C = \mathcal{O}_{X, p_X(\eta)}$. Then as in (1) we find $\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_r \in C$ and $\sigma_{r+1}, \ldots, \sigma_{d_X} \in B$, such that $\tau_1 \otimes 1, \ldots, \tau_r \otimes 1, \sigma_{r+1}, \ldots, \sigma_{d_X}$ is a system of regular parameters for B. Thus

$$cl(V)_\eta = (-1)^{d_X} \begin{bmatrix} d(\tau_1 \otimes 1) \wedge \cdots \wedge d(\tau_r \otimes 1) \wedge d\sigma_{r+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d\sigma_{d_X} \\ \tau_1 \otimes 1, \ldots, \tau_r \otimes 1, \sigma_{r+1}, \ldots, \sigma_{d_X} \end{bmatrix},$$

which implies the claim. □

3.2.3. Let S be a k-scheme and let $f : X \to S$ and $g : Y \to S$ be two integral S-schemes, which are smooth over k. Let $Z \subset X \times_S Y$ be a closed integral subscheme of dimension equal to the dimension of Y and such that $\mathrm{pr}_{2|Z} : Z \to Y$ is proper. For an open subset $U \subset S$, we denote by $Z_U \subset f^{-1}(U) \times_U g^{-1}(U)$ the pullback of Z over U. This gives a correspondence $[Z_U] \in \mathrm{Hom}_{Cor_{\mathrm{CH}}}(f^{-1}(U), g^{-1}(U))^0$, which induces a morphism of k-vector spaces

$$\rho_H \circ cl([Z_U]) : H^i(f^{-1}(U), \Omega^j_{f^{-1}(U)}) \to H^i(g^{-1}(U), \Omega^j_{g^{-1}(U)}), \quad \text{for all } i, j.$$

Proposition 3.2.4. *In the above situation, the collection* $\{\rho_H \circ cl([Z_U]) \,|\, U \subset Z \text{ open}\}$ *induces a morphism of quasi-coherent* $\mathcal{O}_S$*-modules*

$$\rho_H(Z/S) : R^i f_* \Omega^j_X \to R^i g_* \Omega^j_Y, \quad \textit{for all } i, j.$$

Proof. We need to show the following statements:

(1) The maps $\rho_H \circ cl([Z_U])$ are compatible with restriction to open sets.
(2) The maps $\rho_H \circ cl([Z_U])$ are $\mathcal{O}(U)$-linear.

For (1): Let us denote by

$$\mathrm{pr}_{1,U} : f^{-1}(U) \times g^{-1}(U) \to f^{-1}(U), \quad \mathrm{pr}_{1,U} \in V^*,$$
$$\mathrm{pr}_{2,U} : (f^{-1}(U) \times g^{-1}(U), P(\Phi_{f^{-1}(U)}, \Phi_{g^{-1}(U)}) \to g^{-1}(U), \quad \mathrm{pr}_{2,U} \in V_*,$$

the morphism induced by the projections (see 1.1.1.1 and 1.3.4.1 for the definition of $P(\Phi_{f^{-1}(U)}, \Phi_{g^{-1}(U)})$). Let $j : V \hookrightarrow U$ be an open immersion and denote by

$$j_f : f^{-1}(V) \to f^{-1}(U), \quad j_g : g^{-1}(V) \to g^{-1}(U)$$

the morphisms in V^* induced by j.

We have to show that for all $a \in H^i(f^{-1}(U), \Omega^j_{f^{-1}(U)})$ the following equality holds:

$$(3.2.4.1) \quad H^*(j_g) H_*(\mathrm{pr}_{2,U})(H^*(\mathrm{pr}_{1,U})(a) \cup cl([Z_U])) \\ = H_*(\mathrm{pr}_{2,V})(H^*(\mathrm{pr}_{1,V})(H^*(j_f)(a) \cup cl([Z_V])).$$

As a first step from the left hand side to the right hand side we observe that

$$H^*(j_g) H_*(\mathrm{pr}_{2,U}) = H_*(\mathrm{pr}'_{2,V}) H^*(id_{f^{-1}(U)} \times j_g)$$

where

$$\mathrm{pr}'_{2,V} : (f^{-1}(U) \times g^{-1}(V), \Phi) \to g^{-1}(V)$$

in V_* is induced by the projection and $\Phi := (id \times j_g)^{-1} P(\Phi_{f^{-1}(U)}, \Phi_{g^{-1}(U)})$. Denoting $\mathrm{pr}'_{1,U} : f^{-1}(U) \times g^{-1}(V) \to f^{-1}(U)$ as a morphism in V^* we obtain the equality

$$H^*(id_{f^{-1}(U)} \times j_g)(H^*(\mathrm{pr}_{1,U})(a) \cup cl([Z_U])) = H^*(\mathrm{pr}'_{1,U})(a) \cup cl([Z_V])$$

in $H(f^{-1}(U) \times g^{-1}(V), \Phi)$; here we consider $Z_V \in \Phi$ as a closed subset of $f^{-1}(U) \times g^{-1}(V)$. Next, consider the morphisms

$$j_f \times id_{g^{-1}(V)} : f^{-1}(V) \times g^{-1}(V) \to f^{-1}(U) \times g^{-1}(V),$$
$$\tau : (f^{-1}(V) \times g^{-1}(V), Z_V) \to (f^{-1}(U) \times g^{-1}(V), \Phi),$$
$$id' : (f^{-1}(V) \times g^{-1}(V), Z_V) \to (f^{-1}(V) \times g^{-1}(V), P(\Phi_{f^{-1}(V)}, \Phi_{g^{-1}(V)})),$$

with

$$j_f \times id_{g^{-1}(V)} \in V^*, \quad \tau \in V_*, \quad id' \in V_*,$$

and where id' is induced by the identity. The projection formula yields

$$\begin{aligned} H^*(\mathrm{pr}'_{1,U})(a) \cup cl([Z_V]) = H^*(\mathrm{pr}'_{1,U})(a) \cup cl(\mathrm{CH}_*(\tau)([Z_V])) = \\ H_*(\tau)(H^*(j_f \times id_{g^{-1}(V)})H^*(\mathrm{pr}'_{1,U})(a) \cup cl([Z_V])). \end{aligned}$$

Now the equalities

$$\begin{aligned} H_*(\mathrm{pr}'_{2,V})H_*(\tau) &= H_*(\mathrm{pr}_{2,V})H_*(id'), \\ H^*(j_f \times id_{g^{-1}(V)})H^*(\mathrm{pr}'_{1,U}) &= H^*(\mathrm{pr}_{1,V})H^*(j_f), \end{aligned}$$

imply the claim 3.2.4.1.

For (2): It suffices to consider the case $U = S = \operatorname{Spec} R$. The ring homomorphisms $g^* : R \to H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ and $f^* : R \to H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$ induce R-module structures on $H(X)$ and $H(Y)$ respectively.

We have to prove the following equality for all $r \in R$ and $a \in H^i(X, \Omega^j_X)$:

$$g^*(r) \cup H_*(\mathrm{pr}_2)(H^*(\mathrm{pr}_1)(a) \cup cl([Z])) = H_*(\mathrm{pr}_2)(H^*(\mathrm{pr}_1)(f^*(r) \cup a) \cup cl([Z])).$$

For this, it is enough to show that

(3.2.4.2)
$$H^*(\mathrm{pr}_2)(g^*(r)) \cup cl([Z]) = H^*(\mathrm{pr}_1)(f^*(r)) \cup cl([Z]) \quad \text{in } H^d_Z(X \times Y, \Omega^d_{X\times Y}).$$

Choose an open set $U \subset X \times Y$ such that $Z \cap U$ is nonempty and smooth. Since the natural map $H^d_Z(X \times Y, \Omega^d_{X\times Y}) \to H^d_{Z\cap U}(U, \Omega^d_U)$ is injective it suffices to check (3.2.4.2) on $H^d_{Z\cap U}(U, \Omega^d_U)$. We write $\imath_1 : Z \cap U \to (U, Z \cap U)$ in V_* and $\imath_2 : Z \cap U \to U$ in V^* for the obvious morphisms. By using the projection formula and $cl([Z \cap U]) = H_*(\imath_1)(1)$ we reduce to the statement

$$H^*(\imath_2)H^*(\mathrm{pr}_2)(g^*(r)) = H^*(\imath_2)H^*(\mathrm{pr}_1)(f^*(r)).$$

This follows from $g \circ \mathrm{pr}_2 \circ \imath_2 = f \circ \mathrm{pr}_1 \circ \imath_2$. □

Definition 3.2.5. Two integral schemes X, Y over a base S are called properly birational over S if there is an integral scheme Z over S and morphisms over S:

$$\begin{tikzcd} & Z \arrow[dl, "\text{proper,birational}"'] \arrow[dr, "\text{proper,birational}"] & \\ X & & Y. \end{tikzcd}$$

Theorem 3.2.6. *Let S be a scheme over a perfect field k. Let $f : X \to S$ and $g : Y \to S$ be integral S-schemes, which are smooth over k and properly birational over S. Let Z be an integral scheme together with proper birational morphisms $Z \to X, Z \to Y$ such that*

$$\begin{tikzcd} & Z \arrow[dl] \arrow[dr] & \\ X \arrow[dr, "f"'] & & Y \arrow[dl, "g"] \\ & S & \end{tikzcd}$$

is commutative. We denote by Z_0 be the image of Z in $X \times_S Y$. Then, for all i, $\rho_H(Z_0/S)$ induces isomorphisms of $\mathcal{O}_S$-modules ($d = \dim X = \dim Y$)

$$R^i f_*\mathcal{O}_X \xrightarrow{\simeq} R^i g_*\mathcal{O}_Y, \quad R^i f_*\Omega^d_X \xrightarrow{\simeq} R^i g_*\Omega^d_Y.$$

Proof. Recall that $\rho_H(Z_0/S)$ is defined in Proposition 3.2.4 as the sheafication of the maps

$$\rho_H \circ cl([(Z_{0,U}]) : H^i(f^{-1}(U), \Omega^j_{f^{-1}(U)}) \to H^i(g^{-1}(U), \Omega^j_{g^{-1}(U)}), \tag{3.2.6.1}$$

where U runs over all open sets of S and $Z_{0,U}$ denotes the restriction of Z_0 to $f^{-1}(U) \times_U g^{-1}(U)$. By Proposition 3.2.4, $\rho_H(Z_0/S)$ is a morphism of $\mathcal{O}_S$-modules.

Obviously, it is sufficient to prove that (3.2.6.1), for $j = 0$ and $j = d$, is an isomorphism for every open U. Thus we may suppose that $U = S$, $f^{-1}(U) = X$, $g^{-1}(U) = Y$, $Z_{0,U} = Z$, and we need to prove that

$$\rho_H \circ cl([(Z_0]) : H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \to H^i(Y \mathcal{O}_Y),$$
$$\rho_H \circ cl([(Z_0]) : H^i(X, \Omega^d_X) \to H^i(Y, \Omega^d_Y),$$

are isomorphisms for all i. In other words, we reduced to the case $S = \mathrm{Spec}(k)$.

Obviously, we may assume that $Z \subset X \times Y$. Let $Z' \subset Z, X' \subset X, Y' \subset Y$ be non-empty open subsets such that $\mathrm{pr}_1^{-1}(X') = Z'$, $\mathrm{pr}_2^{-1}(Y') = Z'$, and $\mathrm{pr}_1 : Z' \to X'$, $\mathrm{pr}_2 : Z' \to Y'$ are isomorphisms.

We obtain a correspondence $[Z] \in \mathrm{Hom}_{Cor_{\mathrm{CH}}}(X, Y)^0$, and we denote by $[Z^t] \in \mathrm{Hom}_{Cor_{\mathrm{CH}}}(Y, X)^0$ the correspondence defined by Z considered as subset of $Y \times X$.

We claim that

$$[Z] \circ [Z^t] = \Delta_Y + E_1, \quad [Z^t] \circ [Z] = \Delta_X + E_2,$$

with cycles E_1, resp. E_2, supported in $(Y \backslash Y') \times (Y \backslash Y')$, resp. $(X \backslash X') \times (X \backslash X')$. Indeed, in view of Lemma 1.3.2, $[Z^t] \circ [Z]$ is naturally supported in

$$\mathrm{supp}(Z, Z^t) = \{(x_1, x_2) \in X \times X \mid \exists y \in Y, (x_1, y) \in Z, (y, x_2) \in Z^t\}.$$

By using Lemma 1.3.3 for the open $X' \subset X$ we conclude that $[Z^t] \circ [Z]$ maps to $[\Delta_{X'}]$ via the localization map

$$\mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{supp}(Z, Z^t)) \to \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{supp}(Z, Z^t) \cap (X' \times X')).$$

Thus

$$[Z^t] \circ [Z] = \Delta_X + E_2$$

with E_2 supported in $\mathrm{supp}(Z, Z^t) \backslash (X' \times X')$. Finally, we observe that

$$\mathrm{supp}(Z, Z^t) \cap ((X' \times X) \cup (X \times X')) = \Delta_{X'} = \mathrm{supp}(Z, Z^t) \cap (X' \times X'),$$

and thus E_2 has support in $(X \times X) \backslash ((X' \times X) \cup (X \times X')) = (X \backslash X') \times (X \backslash X')$. The same argument works for $[Z] \circ [Z^t]$.

Now, Proposition 3.2.2 implies that $\rho_H \circ cl([Z])$ induce isomorphisms

$$H^*(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \xrightarrow{\simeq} H^*(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y), \quad H^*(X, \Omega^d_X) \xrightarrow{\simeq} H^*(Y, \Omega^d_Y).$$

□

Corollary 3.2.7. *Let k be an arbitrary field and let $f : X \to Y$ be a proper birational morphism between smooth schemes X, Y. Then*

$$Rf_*(\mathcal{O}_X) = \mathcal{O}_Y, \quad Rf_*(\omega_X) = \omega_Y.$$

Proof. By base change we may assume that k is algebraically closed. The claim follows from Theorem 3.2.6 for $S = Y, X = Z$. □

3.2.8. Consider a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
Y_a \arrow[r, hook] \arrow[rd, "\text{gen.fin.}"] & Y \arrow[d, two heads, "f"] \\
\tilde{X} \arrow[r, "\text{bir.}", "\pi"'] & X.
\end{tikzcd}$$

Here, all morphisms are proper and all schemes are integral, $\tilde{X}, Y$ are smooth of dimension d_X, d_Y, π is birational, f is surjective, $Y_a \to X$ is generically finite and surjective, and $Y_a \hookrightarrow Y$ is a closed immersion. Let η be the generic point of X then $Y_a \times_X \eta$ is finite over $\operatorname{Spec} k(\eta)$ of degree $\deg(Y_a/X)$.

Choose a nonempty open set $U \subset X$ with $\pi : \pi^{-1}(U) \xrightarrow{\cong} U$ and such that $Y_a' := Y_a \cap f^{-1}(U) \subset f^{-1}(U) \xrightarrow{f} U$ is a finite morphism. Set

$$Z_a = \overline{Y_a' \times_U \pi^{-1}(U)} \subset Y_a \times_X \tilde{X}$$

which gives a morphism $[Z_a] : Y \to \tilde{X}$ in Cor_{CH}. Furthermore, set

$$\Gamma = \overline{\pi^{-1}(U) \times_U f^{-1}(U)} \subset \tilde{X} \times_X Y$$

which defines an element $[\Gamma] : \tilde{X} \to Y$ in Cor_{CH}. By using Lemma 1.3.2 and 1.3.3, we obtain

$$[Z_a] \circ [\Gamma] = \deg(Y_a/X) \cdot id_{\tilde{X}} + E_1,$$

where E_1 has support in $\pi^{-1}(X \setminus U) \times \pi^{-1}(X \setminus U)$; thus $\rho_H \circ cl(E_1)$ acts trivially on $H^*(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}) \oplus H^*(\tilde{X}, \Omega^{d_X}_{\tilde{X}})$ by Proposition 3.2.2. On the other hand, Lemma 1.3.2 and 1.3.3 imply

$$[\Gamma] \circ [Z_a] = \overline{Y_a' \times_U f^{-1}(U)} + E_2,$$

where E_2 has support in $f^{-1}(X \setminus U) \times f^{-1}(X \setminus U)$; thus $\rho_H \circ cl(E_2) = 0$ on $H^*(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) \oplus H^*(Y, \Omega^{d_Y}_Y)$. Moreover, by using Lemma 1.3.2 and 1.3.3 again,

$$[\Gamma] \circ [Z_a] \circ [\Gamma] \circ [Z_a] = \deg(Y_a/X) \cdot \overline{Y_a' \times_U f^{-1}(U)} + E_3,$$

with a cycle E_3 supported in $f^{-1}(X \setminus U) \times f^{-1}(X \setminus U)$, and therefore $\rho_H \circ cl(E_3) = 0$ on $H^*(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) \oplus H^*(Y, \Omega^{d_Y}_Y)$.

We obtain an endomorphism

$$P(Y_a) := \rho_H \circ cl([\Gamma] \circ [Z_a]) \mid H^*(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) \oplus H^*(Y, \Omega^{d_Y}_Y)$$

of $H^*(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) \oplus H^*(Y, \Omega^{d_Y}_Y)$ such that $P(Y_a)^2 = \deg(Y_a/X) \cdot P(Y_a)$. Note that $P(Y_a)$ does not depend on $\tilde{X}$, because it is given by

$$P(Y_a) = \rho_H(cl([\overline{Y_a' \times_U f^{-1}U}])).$$

Proposition 3.2.9. *If* $\deg(Y_a/X)$ *is invertible in* k *then*

$$\rho_H \circ cl(\Gamma) : H^*(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}) \oplus H^*(\tilde{X}, \Omega^{d_X}_{\tilde{X}}) \to \operatorname{image}\Big(P(Y_a) \mid H^*(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) \oplus H^*(Y, \Omega^{d_Y}_Y)\Big)$$

is a well-defined isomorphism.

Proof. Indeed $(\rho_H \circ cl(Z_a)) \circ (\rho_H \circ cl(\Gamma)) \mid H^*(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}) \oplus H^*(\tilde{X}, \Omega^{d_X}_{\tilde{X}})$ is multiplication by $\deg(Y_a/X)$. It follows that $\rho_H \circ cl(\Gamma)$ is injective and the image is contained in the image of $P(Y_a)$. The opposite inclusion is obvious. □

Corollary 3.2.10. *Let $Y, \tilde{X}, X$ be as in 3.2.8. Let $a \in Y$ be a closed point of the generic fiber $f^{-1}(\eta)$ with $\deg_{k(\eta)}(a) \in k^*$, we denote the corresponding closed subvariety by Y_a. For $i \geq 0$ the following are equivalent:*

1. $R^i\pi_*(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}) \oplus R^i\pi_*(\Omega^{d_X}_{\tilde{X}}) = 0$
2. $P(Y_a \cap f^{-1}(X'))$ *vanishes on*
$$H^i(f^{-1}(X'), \mathcal{O}_{f^{-1}(X')}) \oplus H^i(f^{-1}(X'), \Omega^{d_X}_{f^{-1}(X')})$$
for every affine open subset $X' \subset X$.

Proof. In view of Proposition 3.2.9 we get

$$H^i(\pi^{-1}(X'), \mathcal{O}_{\pi^{-1}(X')}) = \text{image}\left(P(Y_a \cap f^{-1}(X')) \mid H^i(f^{-1}(X'), \mathcal{O}_{f^{-1}(X')})\right)$$

and

$$H^i(\pi^{-1}(X'), \Omega^{d_X}_{\pi^{-1}(X')}) = \text{image}\left(P(Y_a \cap f^{-1}(X')) \mid H^i(f^{-1}(X'), \Omega^{d_Y}_{f^{-1}(X')})\right)$$

for every open subset $X' \subset X$. □

Theorem 3.2.11. *Let k be an arbitrary field. Consider*

$$\begin{tikzcd} & Y \arrow[d, "f"] \\ \tilde{X} \arrow[r, "\pi"] & X, \end{tikzcd}$$

where $Y, \tilde{X}$ are smooth and connected, X is integral and normal, f is surjective and finite with $\deg(f) \in k^*$, and finally π is birational and proper. Then X is Cohen-Macaulay and

$$R\pi_*\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}} = \mathcal{O}_X, \quad R\pi_*\omega_{\tilde{X}} = \omega_X,$$

where ω_X is the dualizing sheaf of X.

Proof. Choose an algebraic closure $\bar{k}$ of k. We claim that X is geometrically normal, i.e.

$$X \times_k \bar{k} = \coprod_{i=1}^{r} X_i \quad \text{(disjoint union)} \tag{3.2.11.1}$$

with X_i integral and normal for all i. Indeed, since X is normal we obtain $\mathcal{O}_X \xrightarrow{=} \pi_*\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}$, and this isomorphism is stable under the base change to $\bar{k}$. Because $\tilde{X}$ is smooth, $\tilde{X} \times_k \bar{k}$ is a disjoint union of smooth schemes

$$\tilde{X} \times_k \bar{k} = \coprod_{i=1}^{r} \tilde{X}_i.$$

From $\mathcal{O}_{X \times_k \bar{k}} \xrightarrow{=} \pi_*\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X} \times_k \bar{k}}$ we conclude that $\pi \times_k \bar{k}$ has connected fibres; thus we obtain the equality (3.2.11.1) with $X_i := (\pi \times_k \bar{k})(\tilde{X}_i)$. Of course, $\tilde{X}_i \to X_i$ is birational, and $\mathcal{O}_{X_i} \xrightarrow{=} (\pi \times_k \bar{k})_*\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}_i}$ implies that X_i is normal.

We denote by $Y_{\bar{k}}, X_{\bar{k}}, \tilde{X}_{\bar{k}}$ the base change to $\bar{k}$, and by $\sigma_X : X_{\bar{k}} \to X$ the obvious morphism. Since

$$\sigma_X^* R^i\pi_*\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}} = R^i\pi_{\bar{k}*}\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}_{\bar{k}}}, \quad \sigma_X^* R^i\pi_*\omega_{\tilde{X}} = R^i\pi_{\bar{k}*}\omega_{\tilde{X}_{\bar{k}}},$$

and σ_X is faithfully flat it is sufficient to prove

$$R^i\pi_{\bar{k}*}\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}_{\bar{k}}} = 0 = R^i\pi_{\bar{k}*}\omega_{\tilde{X}_{\bar{k}}} \quad \text{for all } i > 0.$$

Now $\tilde{X}\times_k\bar{k} = \coprod_{i=1}^{r}\tilde{X}_i$ with $\tilde{X}_i$ smooth and connected such that $\pi_{\bar{k}}\mid_{\tilde{X}_i}\colon \tilde{X}_i \to X_i$ is birational. We define $Y_i := Y_{\bar{k}} \cap f_{\bar{k}}^{-1}(X_i)$ and let $Y_i = \coprod_j Y_{i,j}$ be the decomposition into connected (smooth) components. Since $\deg(Y_i/X_i) \in k^*$ is invertible there exists j such that $\deg(Y_{i,j}/X_i) \in k^*$. Thus we are reduced to proving the claim for an algebraically closed field k.

Since f is affine the statement $R\pi_*\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}} = \mathcal{O}_X$ follows from Corollary 3.2.10 and X normal. Applying D_X and shift by $[-d_X]$ (with $d_X = \dim X$) we obtain $R\pi_*\Omega^{d_X}_{\tilde{X}} = \pi^!_X k[-d_X]$ (with $\pi_X : X \to \operatorname{Spec} k$ the structure map). Now again by Corollary 3.2.10 we obtain $R^i\pi_*\Omega^{d_X}_{\tilde{X}} = 0$ for all $i \neq 0$. Thus $\pi^!_X k[-d_X] = \omega_X$ is a sheaf and hence X is Cohen-Macaulay. □

4. GENERALIZATION TO TAME QUOTIENTS

The goal of this section is to generalize Theorem 3.2.6 by replacing the assumption on the smoothness of X, Y with the weaker assumption that X, Y are tame quotients (see Definition 4.2.6). We already proved in Theorem 3.2.11 that the cohomology of the structure sheaf and the dualizing sheaf of a tame quotient behaves like for smooth schemes. Therefore it is a natural question to extend Theorem 3.2.6 in order to include tame quotients.

4.1. **The action of finite correspondences.** Let X be a smooth scheme and Z a closed integral subscheme of pure codimension c. Then $\mathcal{H}^j_Z(\Omega^c_X) = 0$ for $j < c$. Consequently, there is a natural morphism

$$\mathcal{H}^c_Z(\Omega^c_X)[-c] \to R\underline{\Gamma}_Z\Omega^c_X, \tag{4.1.0.2}$$

which induces an isomorphism $H^c_Z(X, \Omega^c_X) = H^0(X, \mathcal{H}^c_Z(\Omega^c_X))$.

Definition 4.1.1. Let $f : X \to Y$ be a morphism between smooth k-schemes of pure dimension d_X and d_Y, respectively. Let $Z \subset X$ be a $c := d_X - d_Y$ codimensional integral subscheme such that the restriction of f to Z is *finite*. Then we define for $q \geq 0$ the local push-forward

$$f_{Z*} : f_*\mathcal{H}^c_Z(\Omega^q_X) \to \Omega^{q-c}_Y$$

in the following way: Choose a compactification of f, i.e. a proper morphism $\bar{f} : \bar{X} \to Y$ and an open immersion $j : X \hookrightarrow \bar{X}$ such that $f = \bar{f} \circ j$, and then define f_{Z*} as the composition in $D^+_{\mathrm{qc}}(Y)$ of the natural map $f_*\mathcal{H}^c_Z(\Omega^q_X) \xrightarrow{(4.1.0.2)} Rf_*(R\underline{\Gamma}_Z(\Omega^q_X)[c])$ with

$$\begin{aligned} Rf_*(R\underline{\Gamma}_Z(\Omega^q_X)[c]) &\xrightarrow[+\text{excision}]{(2.2.6.1)} R\bar{f}_*R\underline{\Gamma}_Z(D_{\bar{X}}(\Omega^{d_X-q}_{\bar{X}})[c-d_X]) \\ &\xrightarrow{\text{forget support}} R\bar{f}_*D_{\bar{X}}(\Omega^{d_X-q}_{\bar{X}})[-d_Y] \xrightarrow{\bar{f}_*} D_Y(\Omega^{d_X-q}_Y)[-d_Y] \xrightarrow{(2.2.6.1)} \Omega^{q-c}_Y, \end{aligned} \tag{4.1.1.1}$$

where $\bar{f}_*$ is the morphism from Definition 2.2.2.

Applying $H^0(X, -)$ gives a morphism

$$H^0(Y, f_*\mathcal{H}^c_Z(\Omega^q_X)) = H^0(X, \mathcal{H}^c_Z(\Omega_X)) = H^c_Z(X, \Omega^q_X) \to H^0(Y, \Omega^{q-c}_Y),$$

which by the very definition coincides with the cohomological degree zero part of the pushforward for $f : (X, Z) \to Y$, see Definition 2.3.2. This also implies that f_{Z*} is independent of the chosen compactification.

Definition 4.1.2. Let S be a k-scheme and let $f : X \to S$ and $g : Y \to S$ be two integral S-schemes, which are smooth over k. Let $Z \subset X \times_S Y$ be a closed integral subscheme such that $\mathrm{pr}_{2|Z} : Z \to Y$ is *finite and surjective.* In particular the codimension of Z in $X \times Y$ equals $\dim X := c$. The projections from $X \times Y$ to X and Y are denoted by pr_1 and pr_2 respectively. For all $q \geq 0$ we define a morphism

$$\varphi^q_Z : f_*\Omega^q_X \to g_*\Omega^q_Y$$

as follows: Let $cl(Z) \in H^c_Z(X \times Y, \Omega^c_{X\times Y}) = H^0(X \times Y, \mathcal{H}^c_Z(\Omega^c_{X\times Y}))$ be the cycle class of Z. The cup product with $cl(Z)$ yields a morphism

$$\cup\, cl(Z) : \Omega^q_{X\times Y} \to \mathcal{H}^c_Z(\Omega^{c+q}_{X\times Y}) \tag{4.1.2.1}$$

and hence a morphism $f_*\mathrm{pr}_{1*}\Omega^q_{X\times Y} \to f_*\mathrm{pr}_{1*}\mathcal{H}^c_Z(\Omega^{c+q}_{X\times Y})$. We claim that it also induces a morphism of $\mathcal{O}_S$-modules

$$f_*\mathrm{pr}_{1*}\Omega^q_{X\times Y} \to g_*\mathrm{pr}_{2*}\mathcal{H}^c_Z(\Omega^{q+c}_{X\times Y}). \tag{4.1.2.2}$$

Indeed since $\mathcal{H}^c_Z(\Omega^{q+c}_{X\times Y})$ has support in $Z \subset X \times_S Y$, the two abelian sheaves $g_*\mathrm{pr}_{2*}\mathcal{H}^c_Z(\Omega^{q+c}_{X\times Y})$ and $f_*\mathrm{pr}_{1*}\mathcal{H}^c_Z(\Omega^{q+c}_{X\times Y})$ are equal; we denote this abelian sheaf on S by $\mathcal{A}$. Now there are two $\mathcal{O}_S$-module structures on $\mathcal{A}$: One is induced by $\mathcal{O}_S \xrightarrow{g^*} g_*\mathcal{O}_Y \xrightarrow{\mathrm{pr}_2^*} g_*\mathrm{pr}_{2*}\mathcal{O}_{X\times Y}$ and the other is induced by $\mathcal{O}_S \xrightarrow{f^*} f_*\mathcal{O}_X \xrightarrow{\mathrm{pr}_1^*} f_*\mathrm{pr}_{1*}\mathcal{O}_{X\times Y}$. The claim (4.1.2.2) is now a consequence of the following equality in $\mathcal{A}$:

$$\mathrm{pr}_2^*g^*(a)\cdot(\beta \cup cl(Z)) = \mathrm{pr}_1^*f^*(a)\cdot(\beta \cup cl(Z)), \quad \text{for all } a \in \mathcal{O}_S, \beta \in f_*\mathrm{pr}_{1*}\Omega^q_{X\times Y},$$

which holds by (3.2.4.2). We can therefore define the morphism φ^q_Z as the composition

$$f_*\Omega^q_X \xrightarrow{\mathrm{pr}_1^*} f_*\mathrm{pr}_{1*}\Omega^q_{X\times Y} \xrightarrow{(4.1.2.2)} g_*\mathrm{pr}_{2*}\mathcal{H}^c_Z(\Omega^{c+q}_{X\times Y}) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{pr}_{2,Z*}} g_*\Omega^q_Y. \tag{4.1.2.3}$$

We write $\varphi_Z = \oplus_q \varphi^q_Z$.

Let $\alpha = \sum_i n_i[Z_i]$ be a formal sum of integral closed subschemes Z_i of $X \times_S Y$, which are finite and surjective over Y, with coefficients n_i in $\mathbb{Z}$. Then we define

$$\varphi_\alpha := \sum_i n_i\varphi_{Z_i} : \bigoplus_q f_*\Omega^q_X \to \bigoplus_q g_*\Omega^q_Y. \tag{4.1.2.4}$$

Lemma 4.1.3. *In the above situation, assume additionally that f and g are affine. Then for any cycle $\alpha = \sum_i n_i[Z_i]$, with $Z_i \subset X \times_S Y$ integral closed subschemes, which are finite and surjective over Y, and $n_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have the following equality*

$$\oplus_i H^i(S, \varphi_\alpha) = \rho_H(cl(\bar{\alpha})) : \bigoplus_{i,j} H^i(X, \Omega^j_X) \to \bigoplus_{i,j} H^i(Y, \Omega^j_Y),$$

where $\bar{\alpha}$ is the image of α in $\mathrm{CH}_{\dim Y}(X \times Y, P(\Phi_X, \Phi_Y))$ with $P(\Phi_X, \Phi_X)$ as in (1.3.4.1)*, ρ_H is defined in 1.3.11 and cl is a shorthand notation for $Cor(cl)$ with $cl : CH \to H$ the morphism from 3.1.5.*

Proof. Let $\pi : S \to \operatorname{Spec} k$ be the structure map. We may assume $\alpha = [Z]$ with $Z \subset X \times_S Y$ an integral closed subscheme, which is finite and surjective over Y. It is easy to see that $\rho_H(cl(\bar{\alpha}))$ is induced by taking the cohomology of the following composition

$$\begin{array}{lll}
(4.1.3.1) & R\pi_* f_*\Omega^q_X & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{pr}_1^*} R\pi_* R(f\mathrm{pr}_1)_*\Omega^q_{X\times Y} \\
& & \xrightarrow{\cup cl(Z)} R\pi_* R(f\mathrm{pr}_1)_*\mathcal{H}^c_Z(\Omega^{q+c}_{X\times Y}) \\
& & \xrightarrow{Z\subset X\times_S Y} R\pi_* R(g\mathrm{pr}_2)_*\mathcal{H}^c_Z(\Omega^{q+c}_{X\times Y}) \\
(4.1.3.2) & & \to R\pi_*(g\mathrm{pr}_2)_*\mathcal{H}^c_Z(\Omega^{q+c}_{X\times Y}) \\
& & \xrightarrow{(4.1.0.2)} R\pi_* R(g\mathrm{pr}_2)_* R\underline{\Gamma}_Z(\Omega^{q+c}_{X\times Y})[c] \\
(4.1.3.3) & & \xrightarrow{(4.1.1.1)} R\pi_* g_*\Omega^q_Y.
\end{array}$$

We used for the fourth arrow the isomorphism

$$(g\mathrm{pr}_2)_*\mathcal{H}^c_Z(\Omega^{q+c}_{X\times Y}) \xrightarrow{\cong} R(g\mathrm{pr}_2)_*\mathcal{H}^c_Z(\Omega^{q+c}_{X\times Y}),$$

because $\mathcal{H}^c_Z(\Omega^{q+c}_{X\times Y})$ is a quasicoherent $\mathcal{O}_{X\times Y}$-module with support in Z, $Z \to Y$ is finite, and $g : Y \to S$ is affine. For the third arrow, notice that there is no map $R(f\mathrm{pr}_1)_*\mathcal{H}^c_Z \to R(g\mathrm{pr}_2)_*\mathcal{H}^c_Z$ in the derived category of $\mathcal{O}_S$-modules, but in the derived category of sheaves of k-vector spaces on S these two complexes are isomorphic and that's all we need to define the third arrow.

We have to compare the morphism from (4.1.3.1) to (4.1.3.3) with $R\pi_*\varphi_Z$, where φ_Z is defined in (4.1.2.3). Obviously, the morphism from (4.1.3.1) to (4.1.3.2) is equal to $R\pi_*((4.1.2.2) \circ \mathrm{pr}_1^*)$. The morphism from (4.1.3.2) to (4.1.3.3) equals $R\pi_*(\mathrm{pr}_{2,Z*})$, which proves the claim.

□

4.2. **Tame quotients.**

4.2.1. Let X be a k-scheme which is normal, Cohen-Macaulay (CM) and equidimensional of pure dimension n and denote by $\pi : X \to \operatorname{Spec} k$ its structure map.

Then $H^i(\pi^! k) = 0$ for all $i \neq -n$ (see [Con00, Thm 3.5.1]). The *dualizing sheaf of* X is then by definition

$$\omega_X := H^{-n}(\pi^! k).$$

We list some well-known properties:

1. $\omega_X[n]$ is canonically isomorphic to $\pi^! k$ in $D^+_c(X)$.
2. ω_X is a dualizing complex on X, i.e. ω_X is coherent, has finite injective dimension and the natural map $\mathcal{O}_X \to R\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}(\omega_X, \omega_X)$ is an isomorphism. (Indeed by [Har66, V, §10, 1., 2.], $\pi^! k$ is a dualizing complex.)
3. ω_X is CM with respect to the codimension filtration on X, i.e.

   $$\mathrm{depth}_{\mathcal{O}_{X,x}}\omega_{X,x} = \dim \mathcal{O}_{X,x}, \quad \text{for all } x \in X,$$

   (By [Har66, V, Prop. 7.3] ω_X is Gorenstein, in particular CM, with respect to its associated filtration. Therefore we have to show that the associated codimension function to ω_X (see [Har66, V, §7]) is the usual codimension function. By [Har66, V, Prop. 7.1] it suffices to show that $\mathrm{Ext}^0_{\mathcal{O}_{X,\eta}}(k(\eta), \omega_{X,\eta}) \neq 0$ for all generic points $\eta \in X$. But X is normal and thus $\omega_{X,\eta} \cong k(\eta)$.

(4) In case X is smooth ω_X is canonically isomorphic to Ω^n_X, via the isomorphism
$$\omega_X \cong \pi^! k[-n] \xrightarrow{e_\pi,\,\simeq} \pi^\# k[-n] = \Omega^n_X,$$
where $e_\pi : \pi^! \cong \pi^\#$ is the isomorphism from [Con00, (3.3.21)].

(5) If $u : U \to X$ is étale, then $u^*\omega_X$ is canonically isomorphic to ω_U via the isomorphism
$$u^*\omega_X = u^\#\omega_X \xrightarrow{e_u,\,\simeq} u^!\omega_X \cong u^!\pi^! k \xrightarrow{c^{-1}_{u,\pi},\,\simeq} (\pi \circ u)^! k \cong \omega_U,$$
where $c_{u,\pi} : (\pi \circ u)^! \cong u^! \circ \pi^!$ is the isomorphism from [Con00, 3.3.14].

(6) Let U be an open subscheme of X, which is smooth over k and contains all 1-codimensional points and denote by $j : U \hookrightarrow X$ the corresponding open immersion. Then adjunction induces an isomorphism
$$\omega_X \xrightarrow{\simeq} j_*j^*\omega_X \cong j_*\omega_U \cong j_*\Omega^n_U,$$
where the two last isomorphism are induced by (4) and (5). (This follows from (3). Indeed, let $V \subset X$ be open then $\Gamma(V, \omega_X) \to \Gamma(V, j_*j^*\omega_X) = \Gamma(V \cap U, \omega_X)$ is the restriction. Since all points in the complement of U have codimension ≥ 2, we obtain from (3) that $\mathrm{depth}(\omega_{X,x}) \geq 2$ for all $x \in X \setminus U$. Therefore $\Gamma(V, \omega_X) \to \Gamma(V \cap U, \omega_X)$ is bijective by [SGA2, Exp. III, Cor. 3.5].)

4.2.2. Let X be smooth and Y a normal CM scheme both of pure dimension n and let $f : X \to Y$ be a finite and surjective morphism. Then we have the usual pull-back on the structure sheaves $f^* : \mathcal{O}_Y \to f_*\mathcal{O}_X$ as well as a trace map $\tau^0_f : f_*\mathcal{O}_X \to \mathcal{O}_Y$, which extends the the usual trace over the smooth locus of Y (over which f is flat). We define a pull-back and a trace between the dualizing sheaves as follows.

Definition 4.2.3. Let X be smooth and Y a normal CM scheme both of pure dimension n and let $f : X \to Y$ be a finite and surjective morphism.

(1) We define a pullback morphism
$$f^* : \omega_Y \to f_*\omega_X$$
as follows: Choose $j : U \hookrightarrow Y$ open and smooth over k such that it contains all 1-codimensional points of Y, let $j' : U' = X \times_Y U \to X$ and $f' : U' \to U$ be the base changes of j and f. Then we define f^* as the composition
$$\omega_Y \simeq j_*\Omega^n_U \xrightarrow{f'^*} j_*f'_*\Omega^n_{U'} = f_*j'_*\omega_{U'} \cong f_*\omega_X,$$
for the last isomorphism observe, that U' contains all 1-codimensional points of X. It is straightforward to check, that this morphism is independent of the choice of U. (One only needs the compatibility statements (VAR1) and (VAR3) of [Har66, VII, Cor. 3.4, (a)].)

(2) We define the trace
$$\tau^n_f : f_*\omega_X \to \omega_Y$$
as the composition in $D^+_c(Y)$
$$f_*\omega_X \cong f_*\pi^!_X k[-n] \xrightarrow{c_{f,\pi_Y}} f_*f^!\pi^!_Y k[-n] \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Tr}_f} \pi^!_Y k[-n] \cong \omega_Y,$$
where π_X and π_Y are the structure maps of X and Y and Tr_f is the trace morphism [Con00, (3.3.2)].

We will also write $f^* : \mathcal{O}_Y \oplus \omega_Y \to f_*(\mathcal{O}_X \oplus \omega_X)$ for the sum of the usual pull-back with the pull-back defined in (1), and we will write $\tau_f := \tau_f^0 \oplus \tau_f^n : f_*(\mathcal{O}_X \oplus \omega_X) \to \mathcal{O}_Y \oplus \omega_Y$.

Remark 4.2.4. By its very definition the τ_f constructed above equals, when restricted to the smooth locus of Y, the τ_f from Proposition 2.2.10.

Corollary 4.2.5. *Let X, Y and f be as in Definition 4.2.3. Suppose that X is connected. Then the composition*

$$\tau_f \circ f^* : \mathcal{O}_Y \oplus \omega_Y \to \mathcal{O}_Y \oplus \omega_Y$$

is equal to the multiplication with the degree of f.

Proof. We have to check, that the section $s = \tau_f \circ f^* - \deg f$ of

$$H^0(Y, \mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}_Y(\mathcal{O}_Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)) \oplus H^0(Y, \mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}_Y(\omega_Y, \omega_Y))$$

is zero. But $H^0(Y, \mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}_Y(\mathcal{O}_Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)) = H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) = H^0(Y, \mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}_Y(\omega_Y, \omega_Y))$ (for the last equality we need that ω_Y is a dualizing complex). Therefore it is enough to check that s is zero over an open and dense subset U of Y. We may choose U such that it is smooth and contains all 1-codimensional points of Y. Thus the statement follows from Proposition 2.2.10, (3). □

Definition 4.2.6. Let X be a k-scheme. We say that X is a *tame quotient* if X is integral, normal and there exists a smooth and integral scheme X' with a finite and surjective morphism $f : X' \to X$ whose degree is invertible in k.

Remark 4.2.7. Assume X is a tame quotient. Then X is CM (see [KM98, Prop. 5.7, (1)]).

We may describe the cohomology of the structure sheaf and of the dualizing sheaf of a tame quotient as a direct summand of the corresponding cohomology of a smooth scheme as follows.

Proposition 4.2.8. *Let $f : X \to Y$ be a finite and surjective morphism between integral schemes. Assume X is smooth and Y is normal. Furthermore, we assume that* $\deg f$ *is invertible in k. Set*

$$\alpha := [X \times_Y X] \quad \textit{in } \mathrm{CH}_{\dim X}(X \times X, P(\Phi_X, \Phi_X)) =: \mathrm{Hom}_{Cor_{\mathrm{CH}}}(X, X)^0,$$

(cf. (1.3.4.1) *for the definition of $P(\Phi_X, \Phi_X)$). Then, for all i, the pull-back morphism*

$$f^* : (H^i(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) \oplus H^i(Y, \omega_Y)) \to (H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \oplus H^i(X, \omega_X))$$

induces an isomorphism

$$(H^i(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) \oplus H^i(Y, \omega_Y)) \cong \rho_H(cl(\alpha))(H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \oplus H^i(X, \omega_X)).$$

(The functor ρ_H is defined in 1.3.11 and cl is a shorthand notation for $Cor(cl)$ with $cl : CH \to H$ the morphism from Theorem 3.1.5.)

Proof. Write $\alpha = [X \times_Y X] = \sum_T n_T[T]$, where the sum is over all irreducible components T of $X \times_Y X$. Notice that all T's have dimension equal to $\dim X$ and project (via both projections) finitely and surjectively to X. Therefore

$$\varphi_\alpha : f_*(\mathcal{O}_X \oplus \omega_X) \to f_*(\mathcal{O}_X \oplus \omega_X)$$

is defined, where φ_α is the morphism from Definition 4.1.2. By Lemma 4.1.3 we have for all i:

$$H^i(Y,\varphi_\alpha)=\rho_H\circ cl(\alpha):H^i(X,\mathcal{O}_X\oplus\omega_X)\to H^i(X,\mathcal{O}_X\oplus\omega_X). \tag{4.2.8.1}$$

We claim

$$\varphi_\alpha=f^*\circ\tau_f:f_*(\mathcal{O}_X\oplus\omega_X)\to f_*(\mathcal{O}_X\oplus\omega_X). \tag{4.2.8.2}$$

Let $U\subset Y$ be a non-empty smooth open subscheme which contains all 1- codimensional points of Y. Then $f^{-1}(U)$ is smooth and contains all 1-codimensional points of X. Hence for any open $V\subset Y$ we have that the restriction map

$$H^0(f^{-1}(V),\mathcal{O}_X\oplus\omega_X)\to H^0(f^{-1}(V)\cap f^{-1}(U),\mathcal{O}_X\oplus\omega_X)$$

is an isomorphism (see [SGA2, Exp. III, Cor. 3.5]). Since both maps in (4.2.8.2) are compatible with restriction to open subsets of Y, we may therefore assume that Y is smooth. In particular f is flat and thus α equals $[\Gamma_f^t]\circ[\Gamma_f]$, where Γ_f is the graph of f and Γ_f^t its transposed. Now the identity (4.2.8.2) follows from (4.2.8.1) (in the case $i=0$), Proposition 2.3.3, (3) and 3.2.1. Thus applying again (4.2.8.1) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_H(cl(\alpha))(&H^i(X,\mathcal{O}_X)\oplus H^i(X,\omega_X))\\ &=\mathrm{Image}(f^*\circ\tau_f:H^i(X,\mathcal{O}_X\oplus\omega_X))\to H^i(X,\mathcal{O}_X\oplus\omega_X)).\end{aligned}$$

Since $\tau_f\circ f^*:(H^i(Y,\mathcal{O}_Y)\oplus H^i(Y,\omega_Y))\to(H^i(Y,\mathcal{O}_Y)\oplus H^i(Y,\omega_Y))$ is multiplication with the degree of f (by Corollary (4.2.5)) the statement of the proposition follows. □

4.3. **Main theorem for tame quotients.**

Theorem 4.3.1. *Let S be a scheme over a perfect field k. Let $\pi_X:X\to S$ and $\pi_Y:Y\to S$ be two integral S-schemes, which are tame quotients (cf. Definition 4.2.6). Furthermore, we assume that X and Y are properly birational equivalent. Then any Z as in Definition 3.2.5 induces isomorphisms of $\mathcal{O}_S$-modules*

$$R^i\pi_{X*}\mathcal{O}_X\cong R^i\pi_{Y*}\mathcal{O}_Y,\quad R^i\pi_{X*}\omega_X\cong R^i\pi_{Y*}\omega_Y,\quad \textit{for all } i\geq 0.$$

These isomorphisms depend only on the $\mathcal{O}_{S,\eta}$-isomorphism $k(X)\cong k(Y)$ induced by Z, where $\eta=\pi_X$(generic point of X) $=\pi_Y$(generic point of Y).

Proof. We first prove

Claim 1: There are isomorphisms as in the statement in the case $S=\operatorname{Spec} k$.

Choose integral and smooth schemes X' and Y' with finite and surjective morphisms $f:X'\to X$ and $g:Y'\to Y$ whose degree is invertible in k. Choose Z as in Definition 3.2.5. We may assume that $Z\subset X\times Y$ is a closed integral subscheme.

We define $Z_{X'}$, $Z_{Y'}$ and Z' by the cartesian diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & & Z' \arrow[dl] \arrow[dr] & & \\
 & Z_{X'} \arrow[dl, "\sim"'] \arrow[dr] & & Z_{Y'} \arrow[dl] \arrow[dr, "\sim"] & \\
X' \arrow[dr, "f"'] & & Z \arrow[dl, "\sim"'] \arrow[dr, "\sim"] & & Y' \arrow[dl, "g"] \\
 & X & & Y. &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Here the arrows with an $\sim$ are proper and birational morphisms between integral schemes and all other morphisms are finite and surjective. Notice that we may identify Z' with a closed subscheme of $X' \times Y'$ whose irreducible components are proper and surjective over both X' and Y', and all irreducible components have the same dimension equal to $d := \dim X = \dim X' = \dim Y = \dim Y'$ (since f and g are finite and universally equidimensional). Therefore Z' and its transpose define cycles $[Z'] \in \mathrm{CH}^d(X' \times Y', P(\Phi_{X'}, \Phi_{Y'}))$ and $[Z']^t \in \mathrm{CH}^d(Y' \times X', P(\Phi_{Y'}, \Phi_{X'}))$. Now choose non-empty smooth open subschemes X_o, Y_o of X, Y, such that the morphisms $Z \to X, Z \to Y$ induce isomorphisms $Z_o \xrightarrow{\simeq} X_o, Z_o \xrightarrow{\simeq} Y_o$ with

$$Z_o := X_o \times_X Z = Z \times_Y Y_o.$$

Set $X'_o = f^{-1}(X_o)$ and $Y'_o = g^{-1}(Y_o)$ and denote by f_o and g_o the restrictions of f and g to X'_o and Y'_o, respectively. We define $Z_{X'_o}$, $Z_{Y'_o}$ and Z'_o by the cartesian diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & & Z'_o \arrow[dl] \arrow[dr] & & \\
 & Z_{X'_o} \arrow[dl, "\simeq"'] \arrow[dr] & & Z_{Y'_o} \arrow[dl] \arrow[dr, "\simeq"] & \\
X'_o \arrow[dr, "f_o"'] & & Z_o \arrow[dl, "\simeq"'] \arrow[dr, "\simeq"] & & Y'_o \arrow[dl, "g_o"] \\
 & X_o & & Y_o. &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Here the arrows with an $\simeq$ are isomorphisms, all other arrows are finite and surjective. We set $X'_c = X' \setminus X'_o$ and $Y'_c = Y' \setminus Y'_o$; these are closed subsets of codimension ≥ 1. Now we define

$$\alpha := [X' \times_X X'] \in \mathrm{CH}^d(X' \times X', P(\Phi_{X'}, \Phi_{X'})),$$
$$\beta := [Y' \times_Y Y'] \in \mathrm{CH}^d(Y' \times Y', P(\Phi_{Y'}, \Phi_{Y'})).$$

We claim

$$\deg g \cdot ([Z'] \circ \alpha) - \deg f \cdot (\beta \circ [Z']) \in \mathrm{image}(\mathrm{CH}_*(X'_c \times Y'_c)), \tag{4.3.1.1}$$

$$\deg f \cdot ([Z']^t \circ \beta) - \deg g \cdot (\alpha \circ [Z']^t) \in \mathrm{image}(\mathrm{CH}_*(Y'_c \times X'_c)), \tag{4.3.1.2}$$

$$([Z']^t \circ [Z'] \circ \alpha) - \deg f \deg g \cdot \alpha \in \mathrm{image}(\mathrm{CH}_*(X'_c \times X'_c)), \tag{4.3.1.3}$$

$$([Z'] \circ [Z']^t \circ \beta) - \deg f \deg g \cdot \beta \in \mathrm{image}(\mathrm{CH}_*(Y'_c \times Y'_c)). \tag{4.3.1.4}$$

By symmetry, it suffices to prove (4.3.1.1) and (4.3.1.3). Let us prove (4.3.1.1). By using Lemma 1.3.2 we can consider

$$\alpha \in \mathrm{CH}(X' \times_X X'), \quad \beta \in \mathrm{CH}(Y' \times_Y Y'), \quad [Z'] \in \mathrm{CH}(Z'),$$

and see that $[Z'] \circ \alpha$ and $\beta \circ [Z']$ are naturally supported in $\mathrm{CH}(Z')$.

Since $Z' \cap ((X'_o \times Y') \cup (X' \times Y'_o)) = Z' \cap (X'_o \times Y'_o)$, Lemma 1.3.3 and the localization sequence for Chow groups implies the claim provided that the equality

$$\deg(g) \cdot [Z']_{|X' \times Y'_o} \circ \alpha_{|X'_o \times X'} = \deg(f) \cdot \beta_{|Y' \times Y'_o} \circ [Z']_{|X'_o \times Y'} \tag{4.3.1.5}$$

holds in $\mathrm{CH}(Z' \cap (X'_o \times Y'_o))$. Here we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{|X'_o \times X'} &\in \mathrm{CH}(X'_o \times_X X') = \mathrm{CH}(X'_o \times_{X_o} X'_o) \\ \beta_{|Y' \times Y'_o} &\in \mathrm{CH}(Y' \times_Y Y'_o) = \mathrm{CH}(Y'_o \times_{Y_o} Y'_o) \\ [Z']_{|X'_o \times Y'} &\in \mathrm{CH}(Z' \cap (X'_o \times Y')) = \mathrm{CH}(Z' \cap (X'_o \times Y'_o)) \\ [Z']_{|X' \times Y'_o} &\in \mathrm{CH}(Z' \cap (X' \times Y'_o)) = \mathrm{CH}(Z' \cap (X'_o \times Y'_o)). \end{aligned}$$

Obviously,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{|X'_o \times X'} &= [X'_o \times_{X_o} X'_o] = [\Gamma^t_{f_o}] \circ [\Gamma_{f_o}] \\ \beta_{|Y' \times Y'_o} &= [Y'_o \times_{Y_o} Y'_o] = [\Gamma^t_{g_o}] \circ [\Gamma_{g_o}] \\ [Z']_{|X'_o \times Y'} &= [Z'_o] = [\Gamma^t_{g_o}] \circ [Z_o] \circ [\Gamma_{f_o}] \\ [Z']_{|X' \times Y'_o} &= [Z'_o]. \end{aligned} \tag{4.3.1.6}$$

Thus (4.3.1.5) follows from

$$\begin{aligned} [\Gamma_{f_o}] \circ [\Gamma^t_{f_o}] &= \deg(f)[\Delta_{X_o}] \\ [\Gamma_{g_o}] \circ [\Gamma^t_{g_o}] &= \deg(g)[\Delta_{Y_o}]. \end{aligned} \tag{4.3.1.7}$$

This finishes the proof of (4.3.1.1). The proof of (4.3.1.3) is similar. The cycles $[Z']^t \circ [Z'] \circ \alpha$ and α are supported in

$$B = \{(x'_1, x'_2) \in X' \times X' \mid \exists y \in Y : \ (f(x'_1), y) \in Z, (f(x'_2), y) \in Z\}.$$

We see that $B \cap ((X'_o \times X') \cup (X' \times X'_o)) = B \cap (X'_o \times X'_o)$, and by using Lemma 1.3.3 it is sufficient to prove

$$[Z'_o]^t \circ [Z'_o] \circ [X'_o \times_{X_o} X'_o] = \deg f \deg g \cdot [X'_o \times_{X_o} X'_o].$$

In view of (4.3.1.6) this follows immediately from (4.3.1.7).

Since $\deg f$ and $\deg g$ are invertible in k, it follows from Proposition 3.2.2 and (4.3.1.1) that $\frac{1}{\deg f}\rho_H \circ cl([Z'])$ induces a morphism

$$(\rho_H \circ cl(\alpha))H^*(X', \mathcal{O}_{X'} \oplus \omega_{X'}) \to (\rho_H \circ cl(\beta))H^*(Y', \mathcal{O}_{Y'} \oplus \omega_{Y'})$$

and by (4.3.1.2) $\frac{1}{\deg g}\rho_H \circ cl([Z']^t)$ induces a morphism

$$(\rho_H \circ cl(\beta))H^*(Y', \mathcal{O}_{Y'} \oplus \omega_{Y'}) \to (\rho_H \circ cl(\alpha))H^*(X', \mathcal{O}_{X'} \oplus \omega_{X'}).$$

By (4.3.1.3) and (4.3.1.4) these two morphism are inverse to each other. Thus Proposition 4.2.8 yields isomorphisms

$$H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \cong H^i(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y), \quad H^i(X, \omega_X) \cong H^i(Y, \omega_Y), \quad \text{for all } i \geq 0.$$

This proves Claim 1.

Claim 2: The isomorphisms constructed in Claim 1 depends only on the isomorphism $k(X) \cong k(Y)$ induced by a Z.

We use the shorthand notation $H^i(X) = H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X \oplus \omega_X)$. Choose Z as in Definition 3.2.5. Denote by Z_0 the image of Z in $X \times Y$. Choose $f_1 : X_1 \to X$ and $g_1 : Y_1 \to Y$ finite and surjective, with X_1, Y_1 smooth and integral and $\deg f_1$, $\deg g_1 \in k^*$. Define

$$\underline{\alpha}_1 := \rho_H \circ cl([X_1 \times_X X_1]), \quad \underline{\beta}_1 := \rho_H \circ cl([Y_1 \times_Y Y_1]),$$

$$\underline{\gamma}_1(Z) := \frac{1}{\deg f_1} \rho_H \circ cl([X_1 \times_X Z_0 \times_Y Y_1]).$$

Then we saw in the proof of Claim 1 above, that we obtain isomorphisms

$$H^i(X) \xrightarrow{f_1^*, \simeq} \underline{\alpha}_1 H^i(X_1) \xrightarrow{\underline{\gamma}_1(Z), \simeq} \underline{\beta}_1 H^i(Y_1) \xleftarrow{g_1^*, \simeq} H^i(Y).$$

Now choose two different Z's as in Definition 3.2.5, say Z_1, Z_2, which induce the same isomorphism $k(X) \cong k(Y)$. Then we can find smooth open subschemes X_o, Y_o, $Z_{1,o}$, $Z_{2,o}$ of X, Y, Z_1, Z_2, such that for $i = 1, 2$ we have

$$Z_{i,o} = Z_i \times_X X_o = Z_i \times_Y Y_o,$$

the projections $Z_{i,o} \xrightarrow{\simeq} X_o$, $Z_{i,o} \xrightarrow{\simeq} Y_o$ are isomorphisms and the induced isomorphisms $h_i : X_o \xrightarrow{\simeq} Z_{o,i} \xrightarrow{\simeq} Y_o$, $i = 1, 2$, are *equal*. Proposition 3.2.2 implies

$$\underline{\gamma}_1(Z_1) = \underline{\gamma}_1(Z_2)$$

on $H^i(X_1)$. Therefore $\underline{\gamma}_1(Z)$ depends only on the isomorphism $k(X) \cong k(Y)$, which Z induces. From now on we fix such an isomorphism and simply write $\underline{\gamma}_1$.

Now choose $f_2 : X_2 \to X$ and $g_2 : Y_2 \to Y$ finite and surjective, with X_2, Y_2 smooth and integral and $\deg f_2$, $\deg g_2 \in k^*$. Define $\underline{\alpha}_2$, $\underline{\beta}_2$ and $\underline{\gamma}_2$ as above (in the above formulas replace 1 by 2) and set

$$\underline{\alpha}_{12} := \frac{1}{\deg f_1} \rho_H \circ cl([X_1 \times_X X_2]), \quad \underline{\alpha}_{21} := \frac{1}{\deg f_2} \rho_H \circ cl([X_2 \times_X X_1])$$

$$\underline{\beta}_{12} := \frac{1}{\deg g_1} \rho_H \circ cl([Y_1 \times_Y Y_2]), \quad \underline{\beta}_{21} := \frac{1}{\deg g_2} \rho_H \circ cl([Y_2 \times_Y Y_1]).$$

Then one checks as in the proof of Claim 1 that $\underline{\alpha}_{12} : H^i(X_1) \to H^i(X_2)$ induces an isomorphism $\underline{\alpha}_1 H^i(X_1) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \underline{\alpha}_2 H^i(X_2)$ with inverse $\underline{\alpha}_{21}$ and $\underline{\beta}_{12} : H^i(Y_1) \to H^i(Y_2)$ induces an isomorphism $\underline{\beta}_1 H^i(Y_1) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \underline{\beta}_2 H^i(Y_2)$ with inverse $\underline{\beta}_{21}$. Further one checks that $\underline{\beta}_{12} \circ \underline{\gamma_1} \circ \underline{\alpha}_1 = \underline{\gamma}_2 \circ \underline{\alpha}_{12} \circ \underline{\alpha}_1$. Thus we obtain the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & \underline{\alpha}_1 H^i(X_1) \arrow[r, "\underline{\gamma}_1{,}\simeq"] \arrow[d, "\simeq"', "\underline{\alpha}_{12}"] & \underline{\beta}_1 H^i(Y_1) \arrow[d, "\simeq"', "\underline{\beta}_{12}"] & \\
H^i(X) \arrow[ur, "f_1^*{,}\simeq"] \arrow[dr, "f_2^*{,}\simeq"'] & & & H^i(Y). \arrow[ul, "g_1^*{,}\simeq"'] \arrow[dl, "g_2^*{,}\simeq"] \\
 & \underline{\alpha}_2 H^i(X_2) \arrow[r, "\underline{\gamma}_2{,}\simeq"] & \underline{\beta}_2 H^i(Y_2) &
\end{tikzcd} \tag{4.3.1.8}$$

Therefore the isomorphisms of Claim 1 do not depend on the choice of f_1, g_1. This proves Claim 2 and also the theorem in the case $S = \operatorname{Spec} k$.

Finally we consider the case of a general basis S. Choose Z as in Definition 3.2.5 and choose integral, smooth schemes X', Y' with finite, surjective morphisms $f : X' \to X, g : Y' \to Y$ whose degree is invertible in k. For $U \subset S$ open denote by X_U, f_U, etc. the pull-backs over U. By Proposition 4.2.8 the pull-back f_U^* realizes $H^i(X_U, \mathcal{O}_{X_U} \oplus \omega_{X_U})$ as a direct summand of $H^i(X'_U, \mathcal{O}_{X'_U} \oplus \omega_{X'_U})$. This is clearly compatible with restrictions along opens $V \subset U \subset S$ and thus the pull-back f^* realizes $R^i\pi_{X*}(\mathcal{O}_X \oplus \omega_X)$ as a direct summand of the $\mathcal{O}_S$-module $R^i\pi_{X*}f_*(\mathcal{O}_{X'} \oplus \omega_{X'})$. In the same way g^* realizes $R^i\pi_{Y*}(\mathcal{O}_Y \oplus \omega_Y)$ as a direct summand of the $\mathcal{O}_S$-module $R^i\pi_{Y*}g_*(\mathcal{O}_{Y'} \oplus \omega_{Y'})$. Further by the case $S = \operatorname{Spec} k$ considered above, the map

$$\frac{1}{\deg f}\rho_H \circ cl([Z'_U]) : H^*(X'_U, \mathcal{O}_{X'_U} \oplus \omega_{X'_U}) \to H^*(Y'_U, \mathcal{O}_{Y'_U} \oplus \omega_{Y'_U})$$

induces an isomorphism between $H^*(X_U, \mathcal{O}_{X_U} \oplus \omega_{X_U})$ and $H^*(Y_U, \mathcal{O}_{Y_U} \oplus \omega_{Y_U})$. Write $[Z'] = \sum_T n_T[T]$, where the sum is over the irreducible components of Z'. Then the collection $\{\frac{1}{\deg f}\rho_H \circ cl([Z'_U]) \mid U \subset S\}$ induces a morphism of $\mathcal{O}_S$-modules (by Proposition 3.2.4)

$$\rho_H(Z'/S) := \sum_T n_T\, \rho_H(T/S) : R^i\pi_{X*}f_*(\mathcal{O}_{X'} \oplus \omega_{X'}) \to R^i\pi_{Y*}g_*(\mathcal{O}_{Y'} \oplus \omega_{Y'}),$$

which by the above induces an isomorphism

$$R^i\pi_{X*}(\mathcal{O}_X \oplus \omega_X) \xrightarrow{\simeq} R^i\pi_{Y*}(\mathcal{O}_Y \oplus \omega_Y). \tag{4.3.1.9}$$

Claim 2 implies that (4.3.1.9) depends only on the $\mathcal{O}_{S,\eta}$-isomorphism $k(X) \cong k(Y)$ induced by Z. □

Remark 4.3.2. Theorem 4.3.1 implies Theorem 3.2.6 and Theorem 3.2.11.

Corollary 4.3.3. *Let $\pi : X \to Y$ be a birational and proper morphism between integral schemes over a perfect field k. Assume X and Y are tame quotients. Then π^* induces isomorphisms*

$$R\pi_*\mathcal{O}_X \cong \mathcal{O}_Y, \quad R\pi_*\omega_X \cong \omega_Y.$$

Proof. In Theorem 4.3.1 take $S = Y$, $\pi_X = \pi$ and $\pi_Y = \mathrm{id}_Y$. □

4.4. **Open questions.** Questions in $\mathrm{char}(k) = p$.

4.4.1. Do the statements in Corollary 3.2.6 and Theorem 3.2.11 hold when k is not perfect and smooth is replaced by regular?

4.4.2. Let $f : Y \to X$ be a surjective projective morphism with connected fibres between smooth varieties Y, X. Is $R^{\dim(Y)-\dim(X)}f_*\omega_Y = \omega_X$? In $\mathrm{char}(k) = 0$ this holds by [Kol86, Proposition 7.6].

4.4.3. Let $f : Y \to X$ be a surjective projective morphism with connected fibres between smooth varieties Y, X. Is $R^e f_*\omega_Y = 0$ for $e > \dim(Y) - \dim(X)$? In $\mathrm{char}(k) = 0$ this holds by [Kol86, Theorem 2.1(ii)].

Appendix A.

All schemes in this Appendix are assumed to be finite dimensional and noetherian.

A.1. **Local Cohomology.** Let $Y = \operatorname{Spec} B$ be an affine scheme and $X \subset Y$ a closed subscheme of pure codimension c, defined by the ideal $I \subset B$. We assume that there exists a B-regular sequence $t = t_1, \dots, t_c \in I$ with $\sqrt{(t)} = \sqrt{I}$, where (t) denotes the ideal $(t_1, \dots, t_c) \subset B$. We denote by $K^\bullet(t)$ the Koszul complex of the sequence t, i.e. $K^{-q}(t) = K_q(t) = \bigwedge^q B^c$, $q = 0, \dots, c$, and if $\{e_1, \dots, e_c\}$ is the standard basis of B^c and $e_{i_1,\dots,i_q} := e_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_q}$, then the differential is given by

$$d^{-q}_{K^\bullet}(e_{i_1,\dots,i_q}) = d^{K_\bullet}_q(e_{i_1,\dots,i_q}) = \sum_{j=1}^{q} (-1)^{j+1} t_{i_j} e_{i_1,\dots,\widehat{i_j},\dots i_q}.$$

For any B-module M we define the complex

$$K^\bullet(t, M) := \operatorname{Hom}_B(K^{-\bullet}(t), M),$$

and denote its n-th cohomology by $H^n(t, M)$. The map

$$\operatorname{Hom}_B(\bigwedge^c B^c, M) \to M/(t)M, \quad \varphi \mapsto \varphi(e_{1,\dots,c})$$

induces a canonical isomorphism $H^c(t, M) \simeq M/(t)M$.

If t and t' are two sequences as above with $(t') \subset (t)$, then there exists a $c \times c$-matrix T with coefficients in B such that $t' = Tt$ and T induces a morphism of complexes $K^\bullet(t') \to K^\bullet(t)$, which is the unique (up to homotopy) morphism lifting the natural map $B/(t') \to B/(t)$. Furthermore we observe that, for any pair of sequences t, t' as above there exists an $N \geq 0$ such that $(t^N) \subset (t')$, where t^N denotes the sequence $t_1^N, \dots, t_c^N$. Thus the sequences t form a directed set and $H^c(t, M) \to H^c(t', M)$, $(t') \subset (t)$, becomes a direct system. It follows from [SGA2, Exp. II, Prop. 5], that we have an isomorphism

$$\varinjlim_t M/(t)M = \varinjlim_t H^c(t, M) \cong H^c_X(Y, \widetilde{M}),$$

where the limit is over all B-regular sequences $t = t_1, \dots, t_c$ in B with $V((t)) = X$ and $\widetilde{M}$ is the sheaf associated to M. We denote by

$$\begin{bmatrix} m \\ t \end{bmatrix}$$

the image of $m \in M$ under the composition

$$M \to M/(t)M \to H^c(t, M) \to H^c_X(Y, \widetilde{M}).$$

It is a consequence of the above explanations that we have the following properties:

(1) Let t and t' be two sequences as above with $(t') \subset (t)$. Let T be a $c \times c$-matrix with $t' = Tt$, then
$$\begin{bmatrix} \det(T)\, m \\ t' \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} m \\ t \end{bmatrix}.$$

(2)
$$\begin{bmatrix} m + m' \\ t \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} m \\ t \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} m' \\ t \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} t_i m \\ t \end{bmatrix} = 0 \quad \text{all } i.$$

(3) If M is any B-module of finite rank, then
$$H^c_X(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) \otimes_B M \xrightarrow{\simeq} H^c_X(Y, \widetilde{M}), \quad \begin{bmatrix} b \\ t \end{bmatrix} \otimes m \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} bm \\ t \end{bmatrix}$$
is an isomorphism.

Remark A.1.1. Since for a B-regular sequence t as above $K^\bullet(t)\to B/(t)$ is a free resolution, we have an isomorphism for all n,

$$\mathrm{Ext}^n(B/(t),M)\simeq H^n(\mathrm{Hom}^\bullet_B(K^\bullet(t),M)).$$

Notice that we also have an isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Hom}^\bullet_B(K^\bullet(t),M)\simeq K^\bullet(t,M),$$

which is given by multiplication with $(-1)^{n(n+1)/2}$ in degree n. We obtain an isomorphism

$$\psi_{t,M}:\mathrm{Ext}^c(B/(t),M)\xrightarrow{\simeq}H^c(t,M)=M/(t)M,$$

which has the sign $(-1)^{c(c+1)/2}$ in it. In particular under the composition

$$\mathrm{Ext}^c(B/(t),M)\xrightarrow{\psi_{t,M}}M/(t)M\to H^c_X(Y,\widetilde{M})$$

the class of a map $\varphi\in\mathrm{Hom}_B(\bigwedge^c B^c,M)$ is sent to

$$(-1)^{c(c+1)/2}\begin{bmatrix}\varphi(e_{1,\ldots,c})\\ t\end{bmatrix}.$$

Lemma A.1.2. *Let $Y=\operatorname{Spec}B$ be as above, $\mathcal{M}$ a quasi-coherent sheaf on Y, $c\geq 0$ and $t_1,\ldots,t_{c+1}$ a B-regular sequence. We set $X':=V(t_1,\ldots,t_{c+1})\subset X:=V(t_1,\ldots,t_c)$. Let $\partial:H^c_{X\setminus X'}(Y\setminus X',\mathcal{M})\to H^{c+1}_{X'}(Y,\mathcal{M})$ be the boundary map of the localisation long exact sequence. Then*

$$\partial\begin{bmatrix}m/t_{c+1}\\ t_1,\ldots,t_c\end{bmatrix}=\begin{bmatrix}m\\ t_1,\ldots,t_c,t_{c+1}\end{bmatrix}.$$

Proof. Let M be the B-module of global sections of $\mathcal{M}$. By [SGA2, Exp. II, Cor. 4] and Čech computations, we may identify

$$H^c_{X\setminus X'}(Y\setminus X',\mathcal{M})=\frac{M_{t_1\cdots t_c t_{c+1}}}{\sum_{i=1}^c M_{t_1\cdots\widehat{t_i}\cdots t_c t_{c+1}}},\quad H^{c+1}_{X'}(Y,\mathcal{M})=\frac{M_{t_1\cdots t_{c+1}}}{\sum_{i=1}^{c+1} M_{t_1\cdots\widehat{t_i}\cdots t_{c+1}}}$$

and ∂ is the natural map from left to right. Under this identifications the map $M_{t_{c+1}}/(t_1,\ldots,t_c)=H^c(K^\bullet(t,M))\to H^c_{X\setminus X'}(Y,\mathcal{M})$ sends the class of m/t_{c+1} ($m\in M$) to the class of $\frac{m/t_{c+1}}{t_1\cdots t_c}$ and similar for $M/(t_1,\ldots,t_{c+1})\to H^{c+1}_{X'}(Y,\mathcal{M})$. This proves the claim. □

A.2. **The trace for a regular closed embedding.** In this section we give an explicit description of the trace morphism for a regular closed embedding. This is well-known and appears in various incarnations in the literature, see e.g. [Lip84], [HS97, Section 4]. But in all the articles we are aware of, more elementary version of duality theory are used (e.g. no derived categories appear). Since the compatibility of this theories with the one we are working with, namely the one developed in [Har66] and [Con00], is not evident to the authors, and also to be sure about the signs, we recall the description of the trace in this situation.

Let $i:X\hookrightarrow Y$ be a closed immersion of pure codimension c between two Gorenstein schemes and assume that the ideal sheaf $\mathcal{I}$ of X is generated by a sequence $t=(t_1,\ldots,t_c)$ of global sections of $\mathcal{O}_Y$. Then the image of t in any local ring of Y is automatically a regular sequence. We denote by $K^\bullet(t)$ the sheafified Koszul complex of t and set

$$\omega_{X/Y}:=\bigwedge^c\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{I}/\mathcal{I}^2,\mathcal{O}_X).$$

The fundamental local isomorphism (see e.g. [Con00, 2.5]) gives an isomorphism in $D^b_c(Y)$
(A.2.0.1)

$$\eta_i : i_*\omega_{X/Y}[-c] \xleftarrow{\simeq} \mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}^\bullet_Y(K^\bullet(t), \mathcal{O}_Y) \cong R\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}_Y(i_*\mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{O}_Y) \cong i_*i^!\mathcal{O}_Y.$$

The first map is induced by

$$\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}(\bigwedge^c \mathcal{O}_Y^c, \mathcal{O}_Y) = \mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}^c(K^\bullet(t), \mathcal{O}_Y) \to i_*\omega_{X/Y}, \tag{A.2.0.2}$$
$$\varphi \mapsto (-1)^{\frac{c(c+1)}{2}}\varphi(e_{1,\ldots,c})t_1^\vee \wedge \ldots \wedge t_c^\vee.$$

(The reason for the sign is Remark A.1.1.) Composing the morphism η_i with the trace $\mathrm{Tr}_i : i_*i^!\mathcal{O}_Y \to \mathcal{O}_Y$ (see e.g. [Con00, 3.4]) we obtain a morphism in $D^b_{\mathrm{c}}(Y)$

$$i_*\omega_{X/Y}[-c] \xrightarrow{\eta_i} i_*i^!\mathcal{O}_Y \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Tr}_i} \mathcal{O}_Y \tag{A.2.0.3}$$

which factors in $D^b_{\mathrm{qc}}(Y)$ as

$$i_*\omega_{X/Y}[-c] \xrightarrow{\eta_i} i_*i^!\mathcal{O}_Y \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Tr}_i} R\underline{\Gamma}_X\mathcal{O}_Y. \tag{A.2.0.4}$$

Lemma A.2.1. *In the above situation there is a natural isomorphism*

$$R\underline{\Gamma}_X\mathcal{O}_Y \cong \mathcal{H}^c_X(\mathcal{O}_Y)[-c], \quad \textit{in } D^b_{\mathrm{qc}}(Y) \tag{A.2.1.1}$$

and $\mathcal{H}^c((\text{A.2.0.4}))$ *is given by*

$$i_*\omega_{X/Y} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}^c_X(\mathcal{O}_Y), \quad at_1^\vee \wedge \ldots \wedge t_c^\vee \mapsto (-1)^{\frac{c(c+1)}{2}}\begin{bmatrix} \tilde{a} \\ t_1, \ldots, t_c\end{bmatrix}, \tag{A.2.1.2}$$

where $\tilde{a} \in \mathcal{O}_Y$ *is any lift of* $a \in \mathcal{O}_X$.

Proof. The first statement is equivalent to $\mathcal{H}^i_X(\mathcal{O}_Y) = 0$, for $i \neq c$, and hence we may assume that Y is affine. We have the vanishing for $i < c$ since Y is CM (by [SGA2, Exp. III, Prop. 3.3]) and for $i > c$ since the ideal of X in Y is generated by c elements, which by a Čech-argument implies that $H^i(Y \setminus X, \mathcal{O}_Y) = 0$ for $i > c$.

We denote by $E^\bullet = E^\bullet(\mathcal{O}_Y)$ the Cousin complex of $\mathcal{O}_Y$ (see e.g. [Har66, IV,§2]). In particular $E^\bullet$ is an injective resolution of $\mathcal{O}_Y$ (since Y is Gorenstein) and if $Y^{(c)}$ denotes the set of points of codimension c in Y, then

$$E^q = \bigoplus_{y \in Y^{(c)}} i_{y*}H^q_y(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y),$$

where $i_y : y \to Y$ is the inclusion and $H^q_y(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) = \varinjlim_{y \in U} H^q_{\overline{y}\cap U}(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$, the limit being over all open subsets $U \subset Y$ which contain y. Further we denote $K^\bullet := K^\bullet(t)$.

The trace $\mathrm{Tr}_i : i_*i^!\mathcal{O}_Y \to \mathcal{O}_Y$ is now induced by the "evaluation at 1" morphism $\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}^\bullet(i_*\mathcal{O}_X, E^\bullet) \to E^\bullet$. Furthermore the augmentation morphisms $K^\bullet \to i_*\mathcal{O}_X$ and $\mathcal{O}_Y \to E^\bullet$ induce quasi-isomorphisms

$$\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}^\bullet(K^\bullet, \mathcal{O}_Y) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}^\bullet(K^\bullet, E^\bullet) \xleftarrow{\sim} \mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}^\bullet(i_*\mathcal{O}_X, E^\bullet). \tag{A.2.1.3}$$

To prove the second statement, we may assume $a = 1 \in \mathcal{O}_X$. We define $\alpha \in \mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}^c(K^\bullet, \mathcal{O}_Y) = \mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}(\wedge^c\mathcal{O}_Y^c, \mathcal{O}_Y)$ by

$$\alpha(e_{1,\ldots,c}) = 1$$

and $\beta \in \mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}^c(i_*\mathcal{O}_X, E^\bullet) = \mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}(i_*\mathcal{O}_X, E^c)$ by

$$\beta(1) = (\beta_y) \in E^c = \bigoplus_{y \in Y^{(c)}} i_{y*}H^c_y(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$$

with

$$\beta_y = \begin{cases} \left[\begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ t_1,\ldots,t_c \end{smallmatrix}\right], & \text{if } y \text{ is a generic point of } X, \\ 0, & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Then

$$Tr_i(\bar{\beta}) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ t_1,\ldots,t_c \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{H}^c_X(\mathcal{O}_Y),$$

where $\bar{\beta}$ is the residue class of β in $\mathcal{H}^c(\mathcal{H}\text{om}^\bullet(i_*\mathcal{O}_X, E^\bullet))$ and

$$\eta_i(\alpha) = (-1)^{\frac{c(c+1)}{2}} t_1^\vee \wedge \ldots \wedge t_c^\vee \in i_*\omega_{X/Y}.$$

Thus the second statement of the lemma follows if we can show that the images of α and β in $\mathcal{H}\text{om}^c(K^\bullet, E^\bullet)$ differ by an element in $d^{c-1}_{\mathcal{H}\text{om}^\bullet}(\mathcal{H}\text{om}^{c-1}(K^\bullet, E^\bullet))$.

For $j = 0, \ldots, c-1$ we define

$$\gamma^{c-1-j} = (\gamma^{c-1-j}_y) \in E^{c-1-j} = \bigoplus_{y \in Y^{(c-1-j)}} i_{y*} H^{c-1-j}_y(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$$

by

$$\gamma^{c-1-j}_y := \begin{cases} \left[\begin{smallmatrix} 1/t_{c-j} \\ t_1,\ldots,t_{c-j-1} \end{smallmatrix}\right], & \text{if } y \in Y^{(c-j-1)} \cap V(t_1,\ldots,t_{c-1-j}), \\ 0, & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

In particular $\gamma^0 = \frac{1}{t_1} \in \bigoplus_i H^0_{\eta_i}(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) = \oplus_i k(\eta_i)$, with η_i the generic points of Y. Notice that (by Lemma A.1.2)

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{(A.2.1.4)} \qquad & d_E \gamma^{c-1-j} &= t_{c-j+1}\gamma^{c-j}, \quad \forall\, j \geq 1. \\ &\text{(A.2.1.5)} \qquad & t_i \gamma^{c-1-j} &= 0, \quad \forall\, j \geq 0,\, i \in \{1,\ldots,c-1-j\}. \end{aligned}$$

Further define

$$\psi = (\psi_0, \ldots, \psi_{c-1}) \in \mathcal{H}\text{om}^{c-1}(K^\bullet, E^\bullet) = \bigoplus_{j=0}^{c-1} \mathcal{H}\text{om}(K_j, E^{c-1-j})$$

by

$$\psi_j(e_{i_1,\ldots,i_j}) = \begin{cases} (-1)^{j(c+j)}\gamma^{c-1-j}, & \text{if } (i_1,\ldots,i_j) = (c+1-j,\ldots,c), \\ 0, & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

By definition and (A.2.1.5) we have

$$\text{(A.2.1.6)} \qquad t_{i_q}\psi_{j-1}(e_{i_1,\ldots,\widehat{i_q},\ldots,i_j}) \neq 0 \text{ if } q \neq 1 \text{ or } (i_1,\ldots,i_j) \neq (c+1-j,\ldots,c).$$

Now we calculate the boundary of ψ,

$$d^{c-1}_{\mathcal{H}\text{om}}\psi = (d^{c-1}_E \circ \psi_0, \ldots, d^{c-1-j}_E \circ \psi_j + (-1)^c \psi_{j-1} \circ d^K_j, \ldots, (-1)^c \psi_{c-1} \circ d^K_c).$$

1st Case: $j = 0$.

$$d^{c-1}_E \circ \psi_0(1) = d^{c-1}_E \gamma^{c-1} = \beta(1), \quad \text{by (A.2.1.4)}.$$

2nd Case: $1 \leq j \leq c-2$. By (A.2.1.6) and the definition of ψ we have

$$(d^{c-1-j}_E \circ \psi_j + (-1)^c \psi_{j-1} \circ d^K_j)(e_{i_1,\ldots,i_j}) = 0$$

if $(i_1,\dots,i_j) \neq (c+1-j,\dots,c)$. Else

$$\begin{aligned}
&(d_E^{c-1-j}\circ\psi_j + (-1)^c\psi_{j-1}\circ d_j^K)(e_{c+1-j,\dots,c})\\
&\qquad = (-1)^{j(c+j)} d_E^{c-1-j}\gamma^{c-1-j} + (-1)^c t_{c+1-j}\psi_{j-1}(e_{c+2-j,\dots,c})\\
&\qquad\qquad = (-1)^{j(c+j)}(d_E^{c-1-j}\gamma^{c-1-j} - t_{c-j+1}\gamma^{c-j}) = 0, \quad \text{by (A.2.1.4).}
\end{aligned}$$

3rd Case: $j = c-1$*.* By (A.2.1.6) we have

$$(-1)^c\psi_{c-1}(d_c^K(e_{1,\dots,c})) = (-1)^c t_1\psi_{c-1}(e_{2,\dots,c}) = -1 = -\alpha(e_{1,\dots,c}).$$

All in all we obtain

$$d^{c-1}_{\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}}(\psi) = (\beta, 0, \dots, 0, -\alpha)$$

and this proves the lemma. ☐

Lemma A.2.2. *Let* S *be a Gorenstein scheme and* $i : X \hookrightarrow Y$ *a closed immersion between smooth, separated and equidimensional* S*-schemes with structure maps* $\pi_X : X \to S$*,* $\pi_Y : Y \to S$ *and denote by* $d_{X/S}$ *and* $d_{Y/S}$ *their relative dimensions. We set* $\omega_{X/S} := \Omega^{d_{X/S}}_{X/S}$*,* $\omega_{Y/S} := \Omega^{d_{Y/S}}_{Y/S}$ *and* $c = d_{Y/S} - d_{X/S}$*. Assume that the ideal sheaf of* X *in* Y *is generated by a sequence* $t = t_1,\dots,t_c$ *of global sections of* $\mathcal{O}_Y$*. Define a morphism* $\imath_X$ *by*

$$\imath_X : i_*\omega_{X/S} \to \mathcal{H}^c_X(\omega_{Y/S}), \quad \alpha \mapsto (-1)^c \begin{bmatrix} dt\tilde{\alpha} \\ t \end{bmatrix},$$

with $\tilde{\alpha} \in \Omega^{d_{X/S}}_{Y/S}$ *any lift of* α *and* $dt = dt_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dt_c$*. Then the following diagram in* $D^b_{\mathrm{qc}}(\mathcal{O}_Y)$ *is commutative*

(A.2.2.1)
$$\begin{tikzcd}
i_*\pi_X^!\mathcal{O}_S \arrow[r, "c_{i,\pi_Y}"] & i_*i^!\pi_Y^!\mathcal{O}_S \arrow[r, "\mathrm{Tr}_i"] & \pi_Y^!\mathcal{O}_S \\
i_*\omega_{X/S}[d_{X/S}] \arrow[u, "\simeq"] \arrow[r, "\imath_X"] & \mathcal{H}^c_X(\omega_{Y/S})[d_{X/S}] \arrow[r, "\simeq"] & R\underline{\Gamma}_X(\omega_{Y/S})[d_{Y/S}] \arrow[u]
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the vertical map on the left is the well-known canonical isomorphism (see [Con00, (3.3.21)]*), the vertical map on the right is the composition of the forget supports map* $R\underline{\Gamma}_X(\omega_{Y/S})[d_{Y/S}] \to \omega_{Y/S}[d_{Y/S}]$ *with the canonical isomorphism* $\omega_{Y/S}[d_{Y/S}] \cong \pi_Y^!\mathcal{O}_S$ *and* $c_{i,\pi_Y} : \pi_X^! \cong i^!\pi_Y^!$ *is the canonical isomorphism* [Con00, (3.3.14)].

Proof. Let $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{O}_Y$ be the ideal sheaf of X. As above we write

$$\omega_{X/Y} := \bigwedge^c \mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{I}/\mathcal{I}^2, \mathcal{O}_X).$$

Further let $\tau_Y : \pi_Y^!\mathcal{O}_S \cong \omega_{Y/S}[d_{Y/S}]$ and $\tau_X : \pi_X^!\mathcal{O}_S \cong \omega_{X/S}[d_{X/S}]$ be the canonical isomorphisms and $\eta_i : \omega_{X/Y}[-c] \cong i^!\mathcal{O}_Y$ the fundamental local isomorphism

(A.2.0.1). Consider the following diagram in $D^b_{\mathrm{c}}(\mathcal{O}_Y)$

(A.2.2.2)
$$\begin{tikzcd}
i_*\pi_X^!\mathcal{O}_S \arrow[r, "{c_{i,\pi_Y},\simeq}"] \arrow[d, equal] & i_*i^!\pi_Y^!\mathcal{O}_S \arrow[r, "\mathrm{Tr}_i"] \arrow[d, "\simeq"] & \pi_Y^!\mathcal{O}_S \arrow[d, equal] \\
i_*\pi_X^!\mathcal{O}_S \arrow[r, "\simeq"] \arrow[d, "\tau_X", "\simeq"'] & i_*i^!\mathcal{O}_Y \otimes^L \pi_Y^!\mathcal{O}_S \arrow[r, "\mathrm{Tr}_i\otimes\mathrm{id}"] \arrow[d, "\eta_i^{-1}\otimes\tau_Y", "\simeq"'] & \pi_Y^!\mathcal{O}_S \arrow[d, "\tau_Y", "\simeq"'] \\
i_*\omega_{X/S}[d_{X/S}] \arrow[r, "\simeq"] & i_*\omega_{X/Y}[-c]\otimes\omega_{Y/S}[d_{Y/S}] \arrow[r, "\mathrm{Tr}'_i\otimes\mathrm{id}"] & \omega_{Y/S}[d_{Y/S}].
\end{tikzcd}$$

Here some explanations: The middle horizontal arrow on the left is defined such that the upper left square commutes. We have a canonical identification $i_*i^!(-) = R\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}_{\mathcal{O}_Y}(i_*\mathcal{O}_X, (-))$ and since $\pi_Y^!\mathcal{O}_S$ is isomorphic to a shifted locally free $\mathcal{O}_Y$-module we have $R\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}_{\mathcal{O}_Y}(i_*\mathcal{O}_X, \pi_Y^!\mathcal{O}_S) = R\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}_{\mathcal{O}_Y}(i_*\mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{O}_Y)\otimes^L \pi_Y^!\mathcal{O}_S$; this defines the upper vertical arrow in the middle. Furthermore $\mathrm{Tr}_i : i_*i^!(-)\to(-)$ may be identified with $R\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}_{\mathcal{O}_Y}(i_*\mathcal{O}_X, -)\to(-)$ given by the evaluation at 1. This shows, that in the above diagram the upper square on the right commutes. The map $\mathrm{Tr}'_i : i_*\omega_{X/Y}[-c]\to\mathcal{O}_Y$ on the right bottom is the composition (A.2.0.3) and thus the lower square on the right commutes by definition. The horizontal isomorphism on the lower left is given by (see [Con00, p.29, 30, (c), (2.2.6)], note the ordering)

$$\text{(A.2.2.3)}\quad i_*\omega_{X/S}[d_{X/S}]\to i_*\omega_{X/Y}[-c]\otimes\omega_{Y/S}[d_{Y/S}],$$
$$\alpha\mapsto(t_1^\vee\wedge\ldots\wedge t_c^\vee)\otimes dt_c\wedge\ldots\wedge dt_1\wedge\tilde{\alpha},$$

with $\tilde{\alpha}\in\Omega^{d_{X/S}}_{Y/S}$ any lift of α. That the square on the lower left commutes, follows from [Con00, Thm 3.3.1, (3.3.27)] and [Con00, Lem 3.5.3]. (Notice that by [Con01, p.5, pp.160-164] the statement of [Con00, Lem 3.5.3] should be "(3.5.8) is equal to (3.5.7)" instead of "(3.5.8) is equal to $(-1)^{n(N-n)}$ times (3.5.7)".) Thus the whole diagram commutes. The upper line equals the upper line in (A.2.2.1) and the lower line factors as the composition

$$\text{(A.2.2.4)}\quad i_*\omega_{X/S}[d_{X/S}]\to i_*\omega_{X/Y}[-c]\otimes\omega_{Y/S}[d_{Y/S}]$$
$$\xrightarrow{\mathrm{tr}_i\otimes\mathrm{id}}\mathcal{H}^c_X(\mathcal{O}_Y)[-c]\otimes\omega_{Y/S}[d_{Y/S}]\simeq\mathcal{H}^c_X(\omega_{Y/S})[d_{X/S}]$$

with the natural map

$$\mathcal{H}^c_X(\omega_{Y/S})[d_{X/S}]\simeq R\underline{\Gamma}_X(\omega_{Y/S})[d_{Y/S}]\to\omega_{Y/S}[d_{Y/S}].$$

Here tr_i denotes the composition of $R\underline{\Gamma}_X(\mathrm{Tr}'_i)$ with the isomorphism $R\underline{\Gamma}_X(\mathcal{O}_Y)\cong\mathcal{H}^c_X(\mathcal{O}_Y)[-c]$. Thus the lemma is proved once we know that (A.2.2.4) equals $\imath_X$. But by Lemma A.2.1 the map tr_{i} is given by

$$i_*\omega_{X/Y}\to\mathcal{H}^c_X(\mathcal{O}_Y),\quad t_1^\vee\wedge\ldots\wedge t_c^\vee\mapsto(-1)^{\frac{c(c+1)}{2}}\begin{bmatrix}1\\t\end{bmatrix}.$$

Together with (A.2.2.3) we obtain, that (A.2.2.4) is given by

$$\alpha\mapsto(t_1^\vee\wedge\ldots\wedge t_c^\vee)\otimes dt_c\wedge\ldots\wedge dt_1\wedge\tilde{\alpha}$$
$$\mapsto(-1)^{\frac{c(c+1)}{2}}\begin{bmatrix}1\\t\end{bmatrix}\otimes dt_c\wedge\ldots\wedge dt_1\wedge\tilde{\alpha}=(-1)^c\begin{bmatrix}dt\tilde{\alpha}\\t\end{bmatrix},$$

which by definition equals $\imath_X$. This proves the lemma. □

A.3. **The trace for a finite and surjective morphism.**

A.3.1. Let S be a Gorenstein scheme and $f : X \to Y$ a finite and surjective morphism of smooth, separated and equidimensional S-schemes, which both have relative dimension n. We denote by $\pi_X : X \to S$ and $\pi_Y : Y \to S$ the respective structure maps. Then we define the trace map

$$\tau_f^n : f_*\omega_{X/S} \to \omega_{Y/S} \tag{A.3.1.1}$$

to be the composition

$$f_*\omega_{X/S} \cong Rf_*\pi_X^!\mathcal{O}_S[-n] \xrightarrow{\simeq c_{f,\pi_Y}} Rf_*f^!\pi_Y^!\mathcal{O}_S[-n] \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Tr}_f} \pi_Y^!\mathcal{O}_S[-n] \cong \omega_{Y/S}.$$

In the lemma below we give a well-known explicit description of this trace map, for which we could not find an appropriate reference. There are well studied ad hoc definitions of this trace map not using the machinery of duality theory (see e.g. [Kun86, §16]), but it is a priori not clear that these construction coincide with the one above.

Lemma A.3.2. *Let $f : X \to Y$ be as above and assume it factors as*

$$\begin{tikzcd} X \arrow[r, hook, "i"] \arrow[d, "f"'] & P \arrow[ld, "\pi"] \\ Y, & \end{tikzcd}$$

where π is smooth and separated of pure relative dimension d and i is a closed immersion whose ideal sheaf $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{O}_P$ is generated by global sections $t_1, \ldots, t_d \in \Gamma(P, \mathcal{O}_P)$. Then for any local section $\alpha \in f_*\omega_{X/S}$ we have the following formula for $\tau_f^n(\alpha)$: Let $\tilde{\alpha} \in \Omega^n_{P/S}$ be any lift of α and write in $i^*\Omega^{n+d}_{P/S} = i^*\omega_{P/S} = i^*(\omega_{P/Y}) \otimes f^*\omega_{Y/S}$

$$i^*(dt_d \wedge \ldots \wedge dt_1 \wedge \tilde{\alpha}) = \sum_j i^*\gamma_j \otimes f^*\beta_j, \quad \gamma_j \in \omega_{P/Y}, \beta_j \in \omega_{Y/S}.$$

Then

$$\tau_f^n(\alpha) = (-1)^{d(d-1)/2} \sum_j \mathrm{Res}_{P/Y}\begin{bmatrix} \gamma_j \\ t_1, \ldots, t_d \end{bmatrix} \beta_j \in \omega_{Y/S},$$

where $\mathrm{Res}_{P/Y}\left[\begin{smallmatrix} \gamma_j \\ t_1, \ldots, t_d \end{smallmatrix}\right] \in \mathcal{O}_Y$ is the residue symbol defined in [Con00, (A.1.4)].

Proof. The proof is a collection of compatibility statements from [Con00]. First we collect some notations.

1. $\zeta'_{i,\pi_P} : \omega_{X/S} \to \omega_{X/P} \otimes i^*\omega_{P/S}$ is defined in [Con00, p.29/30, (c)] and sends α to $(t_1^\vee \wedge \ldots \wedge t_d^\vee) \otimes i^*(dt_d \wedge \ldots \wedge dt_1 \wedge \tilde{\alpha})$, where we identify $\omega_{X/P} = \bigwedge^d(\mathcal{I}/\mathcal{I}^2)^\vee$.
2. $\eta_i : \mathcal{E}xt^d_P(i_*\mathcal{O}_X, -) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \omega_{X/P} \otimes i^*(-)$ is the fundamental local isomorphism [Con00, (2.5.1)].
3. For a smooth and separated morphism of pure relative dimension n between two schemes $g : V \to W$, $e_g : g^! \xrightarrow{\simeq} g^\# = \omega_{V/W}[n] \otimes^{\boldsymbol{L}} (-)$ denotes the natural transformation [Con00, (3.3.21)].

(4) In case g as above factors as $g = h \circ i$ with $i : V \to Z$ finite and $h : Z \to W$ smooth, then $\psi_{i,h} : g^{\#} \xrightarrow{\simeq} i^{\flat} h^{\#}$ is the isomorphism defined in [Con00, (2.7.5)], where $i^{\flat}(-) = i^{-1} R\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}_Z(i_*\mathcal{O}_V, -) \otimes_{i^{-1}i_*\mathcal{O}_V} \mathcal{O}_V$ is defined in [Con00, (2.2.8)].

(5) $d_f : f^! \xrightarrow{\simeq} f^{\flat}$ is the isomorphism defined in [Con00, (3.3.19)].

(6) $\mathrm{Tr}_f : f_* f^! \to \mathrm{id}$ is the trace morphism defined in [Con00, 3.4], and $\mathrm{Trf}_f : f_* f^{\flat} \to \mathrm{id}$ is the finite trace morphism defined in [Con00, (2.2.9)] and which is induced by evaluation at 1.

Consider the following diagram:
(A.3.2.1)

$$\begin{tikzcd}
f_*\omega_{X/S} \arrow[r, equal] \arrow[d, "\simeq"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\text{①}"] & f_*\omega_{X/S} \arrow[rr, "\zeta'_{i,\pi_P}"] \arrow[d, "\simeq"] \arrow[drr, phantom, "\text{②}"] & & f_*(\omega_{X/P} \otimes i^*\omega_{P/S}) \arrow[d, "\eta_i^{-1}"] \\
f_*\pi_X^!\mathcal{O}_S[-n] \arrow[r, "e_{\pi_X}"] \arrow[d, "c_{f,\pi_Y}"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\text{③}"] & f_*\pi_X^{\#}\mathcal{O}_S[-n] \arrow[r, "\psi_{i,\pi_P}"] \arrow[d, "\psi_{f,\pi_Y}"] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\text{④}"] & f_*(i^{\flat}\pi_P^{\#}\mathcal{O}_S[-n]) \arrow[r, "\simeq"] \arrow[d, "\simeq"] \arrow[ddr, phantom, "\text{⑤}"] & f_*\mathcal{E}xt_P^d(i_*\mathcal{O}_X, \omega_{P/S}) \arrow[dd, "\simeq"] \\
f_*f^!\pi_Y^!\mathcal{O}_S[-n] \arrow[r, "d_f", "e_{\pi_Y}"'] \arrow[d, "\simeq"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\text{⑥}"] & f_*f^{\flat}\pi_Y^{\#}\mathcal{O}_S[-n] \arrow[r, "\psi_{i,\pi}"] \arrow[d, "\simeq"] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\text{⑦}"] & f_*(i^{\flat}\pi^{\#}\pi_Y^{\#}\mathcal{O}_S[-n]) \arrow[d, "\simeq"] & \\
f_*f^!\omega_{Y/S} \arrow[r, "d_f"] \arrow[d, "\mathrm{Tr}_f"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\text{⑧}" near start] & f_*f^{\flat}\omega_{Y/S} \arrow[r, "\psi_{i,\pi}"] \arrow[d, "\simeq"] \arrow[dl, "\mathrm{Trf}_f" description] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\text{⑩}"] & f_*i^{\flat}\pi^{\#}\omega_{Y/S} \arrow[r, "\simeq"] \arrow[d, "\simeq"] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\text{⑪}"] & f_*\mathcal{E}xt_P^d(i_*\mathcal{O}_X, \omega_{P/Y} \otimes \pi^*\omega_{Y/S}) \arrow[d, "\simeq"] \\
\omega_{Y/S} \arrow[ur, phantom, "\text{⑨}" near end] & f_*f^{\flat}(\mathcal{O}_Y) \otimes \omega_{Y/S} \arrow[l, "\mathrm{Trf}\otimes\mathrm{id}"] \arrow[r, "\psi_{i,\pi}"] & f_*(i^{\flat}\pi^{\#}\mathcal{O}_Y) \otimes \omega_{Y/S} \arrow[r, "\simeq"] & f_*\mathcal{E}xt_P^d(i_*\mathcal{O}_X, \omega_{P/Y}) \otimes \omega_{Y/S}.
\end{tikzcd}$$

Let us describe the different squares and triangles in this diagram:

1 The vertical isomorphism on the right in square 1 is immediate from the definition of $\pi_Y^{\#}$, the left vertical isomorphism is defined such that the square commutes.

2 See [Con00, Thm. 3.5.1, Cor. 3.5.2] for the isomorphism in the lower right of square 2. The square commutes by [Con00, Lem. 3.5.3]. (Notice by [Con01, comment to pp. 160-164] the last statement of [Con00, Lem. 3.5.3] should be *..., then (3.5.8) is equal to (3.5.7).*)

3 Square 3 commutes by [Con00, (3.3.27)].

4 The vertical isomorphism on the right of square 4 is induced by the natural isomorphism $(\pi\pi_Y)^{\#} \cong \pi_Y^{\#}\pi^{\#}$. For the commutativity of the square see the discussion in [Con00, p. 83/84] (our case is point three).

5 The isomorphism on the right of square 5 is induced by the natural isomorphism $\omega_{P/S} \cong \omega_{P/Y} \otimes \pi^*\omega_{Y/S}$. The square commutes by the functoriality of the horizontal isomorphisms, which are just induced by taking the 0-th cohomology (the other cohomology groups being zero).

6 The vertical isomorphism on the right of square 6 is induced by $\omega_{Y/S} \cong \pi_Y^!\mathcal{O}_S[-n] \cong \pi_Y^{\#}\mathcal{O}_S[-n]$. Thus the square commutes by the functoriality of d_f.

7 The vertical isomorphism on the right of square 7 is defined as above. Thus the square commutes by the functoriality of $\psi_{i,\pi}$.

8 Triangle 8 commutes by [Con00, Lem 3.4.3, (TRA2)].

9 By [Har66, III, proof of Prop. 6.5] we may identify $f_*f^\flat\omega_{Y/S}$ with the sheaf $\mathcal{H}om_Y(f_*\mathcal{O}_X,\omega_{Y/S})$ (since $\omega_{Y/S}$ is locally free) and Trf_f is given by evaluation at 1. The vertical isomorphism on the right in triangle 9 is defined by the isomorphism $\mathcal{H}om_Y(f_*\mathcal{O}_X,\omega_{Y/S})\cong\mathcal{H}om_Y(f_*\mathcal{O}_X,\mathcal{O}_Y)\otimes\omega_{Y/S}$. The triangle thus obviously commutes.

10 By [Con00, (2.8.3) and the paragraph after this, p.100/101] we have a commutative square

$$\begin{tikzcd}
f^\flat\omega_{Y/S} \arrow[r, "\psi_{i,\pi}"] \arrow[d, "\simeq"] & i^\flat\pi^\#\omega_{Y/S} \arrow[d, "\simeq"] \\
f^\flat\mathcal{O}_Y\otimes f^*\omega_{Y/S} \arrow[r, "\psi_{i,\pi}"] & i^\flat\pi^\#\mathcal{O}_Y\otimes f^*\omega_{Y/S}.
\end{tikzcd}$$

Applying f_* to this diagram and using projection formula defines the commutative square 10.

11 The horizontal maps in square 11 are induced by taking the 0-th cohomology, the vertical maps are the natural isomorphisms ($\omega_{Y/S}$ is locally free & projection formula). The commutativity of the diagram is clear.

12 The isomorphism in the upper right of triangle 12 is induced by the isomorphism $\omega_{P/S}\cong\omega_{P/Y}\otimes\pi^*\omega_{Y/S}$ and the projection formula. The triangle commutes by [Con00, Thm. 2.5.2, 1.].

Thus diagram (A.3.2.1) is commutative. The composition of the vertical maps along the left outer edge of the diagram equals τ_f^n by definition. The composition of the vertical maps along the right outer edge of the diagram is by [Con00, Thm. 2.5.2, 1.] equal to the composition

$$\begin{aligned}
f_*(\omega_{X/P}\otimes i^*\omega_{P/S}) &\xrightarrow{\simeq} f_*(\omega_{X/P}\otimes i^*\omega_{P/Y})\otimes\omega_{Y/S}\\
&\qquad\xrightarrow{\eta_i^{-1}\otimes\mathrm{id}} f_*\mathcal{E}xt^d_P(i_*\mathcal{O}_X,\omega_{P/Y})\otimes\omega_{Y/S}.
\end{aligned}$$

All together we see that τ_f^n equals the composition

$$\begin{aligned}
&f_*\omega_{X/S}\xrightarrow{\zeta'_{i,\pi_P}} f_*(\omega_{X/P}\otimes i^*\omega_P)\cong f_*(\omega_{X/P}\otimes i^*\omega_{P/Y})\otimes\omega_{Y/S}\xrightarrow{\eta_i^{-1}\otimes\mathrm{id}}\\
&f_*(i^\flat\pi^\#\mathcal{O}_Y)\otimes\omega_{Y/S}\xrightarrow{\psi_{i,\pi}^{-1}} f_*f^\flat\mathcal{O}_Y\otimes\omega_Y\cong\mathcal{H}om_Y(f_*\mathcal{O}_X,\mathcal{O}_Y)\otimes\omega_{Y/S}\xrightarrow{\text{eval. at }1}\omega_{Y/S}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence the claim follows from the definition of ζ'_{i,π_P} (see (1) above) and the definition of the residue symbol in [Con00, (A.1.4)]. □

References

[Con00] Brian Conrad. *Grothendieck duality and base change*, volume 1750 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2000.

[Con01] Brian Conrad. Clarifications and corrections for Grothendieck duality and base change. *http://math.stanford.edu/ conrad/papers/dualitycorrections.pdf*, 2001.

[Con07] Brian Conrad. Deligne's notes on Nagata compactifications. *Journal of the Ramanujan Mathematical Society*, 22(3):205–257, 2007.

[Ful98] William Fulton. *Intersection theory*, volume 2 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, second edition, 1998.

[SGA2] Alexander Grothendieck. *Cohomologie locale des faisceaux cohérents et théorèmes de Lefschetz locaux et globaux (SGA 2)*. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1968. Advanced Studies in Pure Mathematics, Vol. 2.

[Har66] Robin Hartshorne. *Residues and duality*. Lecture notes of a seminar on the work of A. Grothendieck, given at Harvard 1963/64. With an appendix by P. Deligne. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, No. 20. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1966.

[HS97] Reinhold Hübl and Gerhard Seibert. The adjunction morphism for regular differential forms and relative duality. *Compositio Mathematica*, 106(1):87–123, 1997.

[KKMS73] G. Kempf, F. F. Knudsen, D. Mumford, and B. Saint-Donat. *Toroidal embeddings. I*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 339. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1973.

[Kol86] János Kollár. Higher direct images of dualizing sheaves. I. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 123(1):11–42, 1986.

[KM98] János Kollár and Shigefumi Mori. *Birational geometry of algebraic varieties*, volume 134 of *Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998. With the collaboration of C. H. Clemens and A. Corti, Translated from the 1998 Japanese original.

[Kun86] Ernst Kunz. *Kähler differentials*. Advanced Lectures in Mathematics. Friedr. Vieweg & Sohn, Braunschweig, 1986.

[Lip84] Joseph Lipman. Dualizing sheaves, differentials and residues on algebraic varieties. *Astérisque*, (117):ii+138, 1984.

[LH09] Joseph Lipman and Mitsuyasu Hashimoto. *Foundations of Grothendieck duality for diagrams of schemes*, volume 1960 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2009.

[Lan98] Saunders Mac Lane. *Categories for the working mathematician*, volume 5 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, second edition, 1998.

[Vie77] Eckart Viehweg. Rational singularities of higher dimensional schemes. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 63(1):6–8, March 1977.

Fachbereich Mathematik, Universität Duisburg-Essen, 45117 Essen, Germany
E-mail address: `a.chatzistamatiou@uni-due.de`
E-mail address: `kay.ruelling@uni-due.de`